

*REPUBLIC OF IRAQ
MINISTRY OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH
UNIVERSITY OF BABYLON
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS*

On The Riesz Spaces

A thesis

Submitted to the department of mathematics of the
college of education of the University of Babylon
in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the
master degree in mathematics science

By

Mohammed Yahya Abid Ali

Supervised by

Dr. Ali H. Battor
Assistant professor

Dr. Norri A. Farhan
Assistant professor

Nov, ۲۰۰۴

Table of Contents

Table of contents	i
Abstract	ii
List of symbols	iii
List of definitions	vi

Chapter one "INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES"

§ 1.1.	The origin point of the theory of Riesz spaces	1
§ 1.2.	Technical result from the set theory, relations, linear spaces structures and topological spaces	4
§ 1.3.	The elementary properties of the Riesz Spaces	8
§ 1.4.	Examples	13

Chapter two "THE LATTICE STRUCTURE OF THE RIESZ SPACES"

§ 2.1.	Order completeness properties and some results	10
§ 2.2.	Order bounded transformation on the Riesz spaces	17
§ 2.3.	Normed Riesz spaces and some properties	20

Chapter three "SOME THEOREMS IN THE THEORY OF RIESZ SPACES"

§ 3.1.	Hahn-Banach Theorem	31
§ 3.2.	A modified Hahn-Banach theorem and some new Results	37
§ 3.3.	A modified Cauchy-Schwarz inequality	43

REFERENCES	40
-------------------	----

Abstract

In order to draw a meaningful picture in our minds for the Riesz spaces and prepare some information that we need in our work and motivate our result. We have to know the point of origin for the theory of Riesz Spaces, recall some definitions and results related to the basic concepts of this thesis.

The first result that we obtain in this work is "If a Riesz Spaces is Dedekind complete or order separable then we prove that any ideal of Riesz space has the same property".

And we prove a known remark that says "The linear map is isomorphism if this linear map and its inverse are positive".

Now we prove by a simple example that the converse of the theorem that say " If $x_n \uparrow$ and $\|x - x_n\| \Rightarrow \theta$ then $x = \sup x_n$ " is impossible.

Also throught out the generalization of the Hahn-Banach theorem by interchanging the range in the classical Hahn-Banach theorem by Dedekind complete Riesz spaces we find and prove some important results that related by the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Finally we get a new result of the classical Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality.

List of symbols

Symbols	Mean
R	The set of real numbers
R^n	The Euclidean space
R_+	$=[\cdot, \infty)$
$u_\alpha \rightarrow u$	Order convergence
$u_\alpha \uparrow u$	Increasing net with supremum
$C[a,b]$	Space of real valued continuous function on $[a,b]$
$ x $	Absolute value of x
$\ x\ $	Norm of x
$(X, \ \cdot\)$	Normed space
\sup	Least upper bound
\inf	Greatest lower bound
\cdot	Zero element
θ	Zero vector
\diamond	The end of proof

List of definitions

Definitions	Page
<i>Bilinear map</i>	ε
<i>Linear map</i>	ε
<i>Sublinear map</i>	ο
<i>Linear hull</i>	ο
<i>Partial ordered relation</i>	ο
<i>Linear order or (total order)</i>	ο
<i>Maximal element</i>	τ
<i>Zorn's lemma</i>	τ
<i>Sequence</i>	τ
<i>Directed set</i>	τ
<i>Net</i>	ν
<i>Riesz space</i>	λ
<i>Positive cone</i>	ρ
<i>Solid set , solid hull</i>	1.
<i>Riesz subspace , ideal</i>	1.- 11
<i>Band</i>	11
<i>(Increasing , Decreasing) net</i>	11
<i>Dedekind complete</i>	16
<i>Order separable</i>	16
<i>Super Dedekind complete</i>	17
<i>Riesz homomorphism</i>	17
<i>Normed Riesz homomorphism</i>	17
<i>Riesz isomorphism</i>	18
<i>Order bounded linear transformation</i>	18
<i>Positive linear transformation</i>	20
<i>Normal Riesz space</i>	20
<i>Banach lattice</i>	22
<i>Isometrically</i>	22
<i>Order continuous & σ-order continuous</i>	22
<i>Isometrically Riesz isomorphic</i>	22
<i>Dense in Riesz space</i>	20
<i>e-Cauchy net</i>	ε3
<i>Almost f-algebra</i>	ε3
<i>Orthosymmetric</i>	

Chapter One:

Introduction and preliminaries

§ 1.1. The origin point of the theory of Riesz spaces

Our interest in the theory of Riesz spaces stems from its beauty its utility and its rich history. The authenticity of the Riesz spaces due to Riesz F. in 1928 at the international mathematical congress in Bolo, Riesz F. in a short address [9] triggered the investigation of what is today called the Riesz spaces.

In mid-thirties, Freudenthal H. [1] and Kantorovich L.V. [10,11] independently set-up the axiomatic foundation and derived a number of properties dealing with the lattice structure of Riesz spaces . In the forties and early fifties the Japanese school, led by Nakano H., Ogasaware T. and Yosida K., and the Russian school , led by Kantorovich L.V., Judin A.I. and Vulikh B.Z., made fundamental contributions on a Riesz spaces .At the same time a number of books started to appear in this field. Most of the early work on Riesz spaces, as well as most of books, were devoted to the so-called "algebraic part" of the theory and little attention was given to the "analytic part".

The bulk of the existing work on the analytic part is in the area of normed Riesz spaces, and in particular in the theory of Banach lattice. The work of Luxemburg W.A.J. and Zaanen A.C. appeared in a series of articles [16] and the book of Schaefer H.H. [10]. The general theory of topological Riesz spaces seems some how to have neglected. The book by Fremlin D.H. [6] devoted to this subject.

The thesis is organized as follows :

In chapter one we give the basic definitions and facts concerning the set theory, linear and topological spaces. This chapter consists of four sections. In § 1.1. the origin point of the theory of Riesz space is given. In § 1.2. the necessary for subsequent account basic definitions , notations and result concerned with the set theory , linear and topological spaces is given . In § 1.3. the definition of Riesz spaces and some important properties that's we needed in this work are cited. In § 1.4. several examples about Riesz space are considered.

Chapter two deals with the lattice structure of the Riesz spaces. A series of properties of order completeness and order transformation of Riesz spaces are obtained. This chapter consists of three sections. In § 2.1. the order completeness properties and some results are obtained. In § 2.2. the order bounded transformation of the Riesz spaces is considered. in § 2.3. the normed Riesz spaces and some properties are given .

Chapter three is devoted to study some theorems in the theory of Riesz space and we introduced a new result in this field. In § 3.1. we generalized the Hahn Banach Theorem for the Riesz spaces. In § 3.2. we prove some important properties as results of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

In the last section we proved the main corollaries concerning the classical Cauchy –Schwarz inequality.

§ 1.2. Technical result from the set theory, relations, linear spaces structures and topological spaces.

In this section series of known notations and facts about the set theory, topological and linear spaces are cited.

Definition 1.2.1 [11]

Let X, Y are linear spaces over the same field of scalar F

A mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ is said to be **linear** if

(i) T is additive: $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$

(ii) T is homogenous: $T(\alpha x) = \alpha T(x) \quad \forall x \in X, \alpha \in F$

Definition 1.2.2 [11]

Let X, Y are linear spaces over the same field of scalar F ,

a mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be **sublinear** if

(i) $T(x+y) \leq T(x) + T(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$

(ii) $T(\alpha x) = \alpha T(x) \quad \forall x \in X, \forall \alpha \in F$

Definition 1.2.3 [2]

Let X, Y and Z be a linear spaces and f is a map from $X \times Y$

into Z . Associate to each $a \in X$ and each $b \in Y$ the

mapping $f_a: Y \longrightarrow Z, f_b: X \longrightarrow Z$ be defining

$f_a(b) = f(a, b) = f_b(a)$, f is said to be **bilinear**, if f_a and f_b are

linear.

Example 1.2.4

Let X be the linear space of n -tuples $x = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $y = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ over a field of scalar F such that $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in F$ $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define $\Phi: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ by $\Phi(x, y) = \sum \lambda_k \mu_k$. It is easy to see that, Φ is bilinear map.

Definition 1.2.5 [2]

Let A be a nonempty subset of a linear space X . The intersection of the family of all the linear subspaces of X that contain A is called **linear hull** of A .

Definition 1.2.6 [2]

A relation \leq on a set X is called partial order on X if satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) Reflexive, i.e. $x \leq x \quad \forall x \in X$;
- (ii) Antisymmetric, i.e. $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$;
- (iii) Transitive, i.e. $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$ implies $x \leq z$.

We shall say that a partial order relation \leq on a set X is linear order or (total order), if and only if, for each pair $x, y \in X$, we have either $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$, when \leq is linear order relation on X , we shall say that X is linearly ordered by \leq .

Definition 1.2.7 [2]

An element x of a set X that is partially ordered by \leq is **maximal element** of X if $y \in X$ and $x \leq y$ imply $x = y$

Theorem 1.2.8 [2] "Zorn's Lemma"

Let X be a non-empty partially ordered set with property that each non-empty totally ordered subset of X has an upper bound, then X has a maximal element.

Definition 1.2.9 [12]

A **sequence** in a set X is a mapping S of natural number N into X . It is customary to denote the sequence S by $\langle S_n \rangle, n \in N$.

Theorem 1.2.10 [11]

Let $x = \langle x_n \rangle$ and $y = \langle y_n \rangle$ be sequences of scalars, such that $\lim x_n = x_0$ and $\lim y_n = y_0$, where x_0 and y_0 are scalars and let α be any scalar, then

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) = x_0 + y_0$$

$$(ii) x_n < y_n \forall n \in N \Rightarrow x_0 \leq y_0$$

Definition 1.2.11 [12]

Let A be a non-empty set. We say that a binary relation \geq

on A directs the set A if

(i) $a \in A \Rightarrow a \geq a$;

(ii) $a \geq b$ and $b \geq c \Rightarrow a \geq c$ ($a, b, c \in A$)

(iii) For any two members a and b of A . There exist a member $c \in A$, such that $c \geq a$ and $c \geq b$. The pair (A, \geq) is then called ***directed set***.

Definition 1.2.12 [12]

A ***net*** in X is a mapping u of a direct set A into a set X and is denoted by $\langle u_\alpha \rangle, \alpha \in A$.

§ 1.3. The elementary properties of the Riesz Spaces

In this section, we shall define the Riesz Spaces also we offer an elementary facts and properties of Riesz spaces that we need in this work. For more details we refer to [4, 14, 15].

Definition 1.3.1 [3]

Let X be a linear space. An ordering \leq is called linear if $\forall x, y, z \in X$.

- (i) $x \leq y$ implies $x+z \leq y+z$;
- (ii) $x \leq y$ implies $\alpha x \leq \alpha y \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$.

A real linear space together with the linear ordering is called an ordered linear space, an ordered linear space is called (***Riesz Spaces or linear lattice***) if the supremum and infimum of every finite non empty subset of X exists .

Now let us introduce classical lattice notations. We denote the supremum of the set $\{x, y\}$ by $x \vee y$, which means that $x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}$. Similarly $x \wedge y$ denotes the infimum of the set $\{x, y\}$ that is $x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\}$.

The linear lattices are also a well studied object. Their properties are detailed accounted in [7, 13] for instance. We denoted the zero element of a linear space X by θ .

The element x of X with $x \geq \theta$ are called **positive element**, and those satisfying $x > \theta$ are called strictly positive elements.

The set $X^+ = \{x \in X: x \geq \theta\}$ is called the **positive cone** of X .

The positive cone of X satisfies the following properties:

(i) $X^+ + X^+ \subseteq X^+$, where $X^+ + X^+ = \{x+y: x, y \in X^+\}$

(ii) $\alpha X^+ \subseteq X^+$ for $0 \leq \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\alpha X^+ = \{\alpha x: x \in X^+\}$.

(iii) $X^+ \cap (-X^+) = \{\theta\}$ where $-X^+ = \{-x: x \in X^+\}$.

Any subset A of real linear space X satisfying properties (i), (ii) and (iii) is called a **cone** of X .

If A is a cone of X , note that the relation $x \geq y$ whenever $x-y \in A$ makes X an order linear space whose positive cone is precisely A .

For an element x of a Riesz Space X the Positive part of x is defined by $x^+ = x \vee \theta$, the negative part of x is defined by $x^- = (-x) \vee \theta$ and the absolute value by $|x| = x \vee (-x)$. For fundamental relations and additional ones see [1, 13, 14, 15].

Theorem 1.3.2 [1]

If x, y and z are elements of a Riesz space X , then we have

$$1. x+y = x \vee y + x \wedge y;$$

$$2. x = x^+ - x^- \text{ and } x^+ \wedge x^- = \theta ;$$

$$3. x \vee y = (x-y)^+ + y = (y-x)^+ - x ;$$

$$4. |x| = x^+ + x^- \text{ (hence } |x| = \theta \text{ , if and only if } x = \theta \text{) ;}$$

$$5. ||x| - |y|| \leq |x+y| \leq |x| + |y| \text{ (the triangle inequality);}$$

$$6. x+y \vee z = (x+y) \vee (x+z) \text{ and } x+y \wedge z = (x+y) \wedge (x+z)$$

10. $\alpha (x \vee y) = (\alpha x) \vee (\alpha y)$ and $\alpha (x \wedge y) = (\alpha x) \wedge (\alpha y)$ if $\alpha \geq 0$.

11. $|\alpha x| = |\alpha| |x|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;

12. $x - y \wedge z = (x - y) \vee (x - z)$ and $x - y \vee z = (x - y) \wedge (x - z)$

13. $|x - y| = x \vee y - x \wedge y$

14. $|x \vee z - y \vee z| + |x \wedge z - y \wedge z| = |x - y|$ (Birkhoff's identity)

15. $|x \vee z - y \vee z| \leq |x - y|$ and $|x \wedge z - y \wedge z| \leq |x - y|$ (Birkhoff's inequality)

16. If $x, y, z \in X^+$, then $(x + y) \wedge z \leq (x \wedge z) + (y \wedge z)$.

Definition 1.3.3 [1]

A subset S of a Riesz space X is said to be a **solid set**, if it follows from $|x| \leq |y|$ in X and $y \in S$ that $x \in S$.

Let A be a subset of X , the smallest solid set of X contain A is called a **solid hull** of A .

Definition 1.3.4 [1]

Let A be a linear subspace of a Riesz space X . We say that

(i) A is a **Riesz subspace** of X if any two elements x and y in A the supremum of x and y taken in X belongs to A .

(ii) A is an **ideal** of X , if A is solid subset of X .

by theorem (1.3.2)[3] a linear subspace A of a Riesz space X is a Riesz subspace, if and only if for every $x \in A$ we have $x^+ \in A$.

Remark 1.3.5

Every ideal of a Riesz space is a Riesz subspace but the converse is not true.

Every subset D of a Riesz space X which is contained in a smallest ideal A is called the ideal generated by D ; A is the intersection of all ideals containing D .

Definition 1.3.6 [1]

The ideal A of a Riesz space X is called a **Band** if whenever a subset of A has a supremum, this supremum belongs to A .

A net $\langle u_\alpha \rangle$ of a Riesz space X is called increasing (in symbols $u_\alpha \uparrow$) if $u_\beta \geq u_\alpha$ wherever the indices $\alpha, \beta \in A$ (A is directed set) satisfy $\beta \geq \alpha$.

The symbol $u_\alpha \downarrow$ denotes a decreasing net and its definition is analogous. The symbol $u_\alpha \uparrow u$ means that the net $\langle u_\alpha \rangle$ is increasing and the supremum of $\langle u_\alpha \rangle$ exists and equals u the meaning of $u_\alpha \downarrow u$ is defined similarly.

Definition 1.3.7 [10]

A net $\langle u_\alpha \rangle$, $\alpha \in A$ (A is directed set) of a Riesz spaces X is said to be **order convergent** to $u \in X$ (in symbol $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$) if there exists a net $\langle v_\alpha \rangle$ (with the same indexed set) such that $|u_\alpha - u| \leq v_\alpha$ holds $\forall \alpha$ and with $v_\alpha \downarrow \theta$ (we shall write the last two conditions symbolically as $|u_\alpha - u| \leq v_\alpha \downarrow \theta$)
The basic properties of order convergence are summarized in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.3.8 [10]

If we, assume that the nets $\langle u_\alpha \rangle, \alpha \in A$ (A is directed set) and $\langle v_\beta \rangle, \beta \in A$ (A is directed set) of a Riesz space X satisfy $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$ and $v_\beta \rightarrow v$, then we have

(i) $|u_\alpha| \rightarrow |u|$; $u_\alpha^+ \rightarrow u^+$ and $u_\alpha^- \rightarrow u^-$;

(ii) $\lambda u_\alpha + \mu v_\beta \rightarrow \lambda u + \mu v \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$;

(iii) $u_\alpha \vee v_\beta \rightarrow u \vee v$ and $u_\alpha \wedge v_\beta \rightarrow u \wedge v$;

(iv) if $u_\alpha \leq w$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ then $u \leq w$.

§ 1.4. Examples

In this section, we give the following examples by which we explain that the linear space $C[a,b]$ and the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} with the given conditions are Riesz spaces .

(i) The linear space $C[a,b]$ of real valued continuous functions defined on the interval $[a,b]$ with order relation :

$$f \geq g \quad \text{if} \quad f(t) \geq g(t) \quad \forall t \in [a,b]$$

This relation is partially ordered and the space of these vectors is ordered linear space, since:

$$f(t) \geq g(t) \quad \forall t \in [a,b] ,$$

so $\forall f,g,h \in C[a,b]$, we get

$$f(t) + h(t) - h(t) \geq g(t), \text{ then}$$

$$f(t) + h(t) \geq g(t) + h(t) \text{ and}$$

$$(f+h)(t) \geq (g+h)(t) \quad \forall t \in [a,b].$$

Thus $f+h \geq g+h$.

Also

$$f \geq g \Rightarrow f(t) \geq g(t) \quad \forall t \in [a,b]$$

$$f(t) - h(t) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$(f-h)(t) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha (f-h)(t) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha f(t) - \alpha h(t) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha f(t) \geq \alpha h(t) \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha f \geq \alpha g$$

Now it is easy to see that $\forall f,g \in C[a,b]$ the

Supremum and infimum of f, g exist, hence $C[a,b]$ is a Riesz space .

(ii) The set of real numbers \mathbb{R} is a real linear space with natural ordering. We can easily show that \mathbb{R} is a linear lattice whose positive cone is \mathbb{R}^+ .

Chapter Two

The lattice structure of Riesz spaces

§ 2.1. Order completeness properties and some results.

In this section, some important definitions and facts about order completeness are given. The main result in this section is the proposition "If X is a Dedekind complete or order separable, then any ideal in X has the same property" 2.1.6 and for details see [1, 14, 16].

Definition 2.1.1 [1]

A Riesz space X is said to be:

- (i) Dedekind complete, if every non empty subset that is bounded from above has a supremum
- (ii) σ -Dedekind complete, if every countable subset that is bounded from above has a supremum.

Remark 2.1.2

The sufficient condition for Dedekind completeness is that if $\theta \leq u_\alpha \uparrow \leq g$ in X , $\alpha \in A$ then the supremum of u_α exists, similarly,

it is sufficient for Dedekind σ -completeness that if $\theta \leq u_n \uparrow \leq g$ ($n=1,2,\dots$) then the supremum of u_n exists .

Definition 2.1.3 [17]

The Riesz space X is said to be **order separable**, if for every subset S of X whose supremum exists in X , there exists an at most a countable subset of S having the same supremum as S in X .

Definition 2.1.4 [17]

Any Riesz space, which is Dedekind complete and order separable is said to be **super Dedekind complete**.

Proposition 2.1.5

If X is a Dedekind complete or order separable, then any ideal in X has the same property.

Proof: Let X be a Dedekind complete, and A is an ideal in X so, A is bounded above and its supremum exists, hence any subset of A is bounded above and its supremum exists. So A is Dedekind complete.

Let X is an order separable space, and B is an ideal in X so it has a supremum, and it contains at most a countable subset having the same supremum of B . Hence any subset of B possessing a supremum contains an at most a countable subset having the same supremum as a subset it self. Thus B is an order separable \diamond

§ 2.2. Order bounded transformation on the Riesz spaces

In this section, some informations about the order bounded transformations on Riesz spaces are considered and for detail see [1, 4, 14, 15]

Definition 2.2.1 [1]

A linear mapping T from a Riesz space X into a Riesz space Y is called:

- (i) A **Riesz homomorphism**, if $x \wedge y = \theta$ in X implies $T(x) \wedge T(y) = \theta$ in Y ;
- (ii) A **Riesz σ -homomorphism**, if T is a Riesz homomorphism and $u_n \rightarrow \theta$ in X implies $T(u_n) \rightarrow \theta$ in Y $n = (1, 2, \dots)$;
- (iii) A **normal Riesz homomorphism**, if T is a Riesz homomorphism and $u_\alpha \rightarrow \theta$ in X implies $T(u_\alpha) \rightarrow \theta$ in Y $\alpha \in A$;
- (iv) A **Riesz isomorphism (into)**, if T is bijective Riesz homomorphism.

Riesz homeomorphisms preserve the lattice structure as the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2.2 [15]

For a linear mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between two Riesz spaces X and Y , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is a **Riesz homomorphism**;

- (ii) $T(x \wedge y) = T(x) \wedge T(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X;$
- (iii) $T(x \vee y) = T(x) \vee T(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X;$
- (iv) $T(x \vee y) = T(x) \vee T(y)$ whenever $x \wedge y = \theta$ holds in X
- (v) $T(x^+) = [T(x)]^+ \quad \forall x \in X;$
- (vi) $T(|x|) = |T(x)| \quad \forall x \in X;$

Definition 2.2.3[1]

A linear mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between two Riesz spaces X and Y is said to be an **order bounded linear transformation** if $T(A)$ is an order bounded subset of Y whenever A is an order bounded subset of X , also A linear mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be **positive** (denoted symbolically by $T \geq \theta$) if $T(x) \geq \theta$ holds in Y whenever $x \geq \theta$ holds in X .

Remark 2.2.4

Every Riesz homomorphism is positive.

Proposition 2.2.5:

The linear map T from a Riesz space X into a Riesz space Y is a Riesz isomorphism, if and only if T and T^{-1} are positive

Proof: since the linear map T is bijective then T^{-1} is also bijective and therefore it is linear and since T is a Riesz homomorphism then T^{-1} is a Riesz homomorphism and by Remark (2.2.4) we find that T and T^{-1} are positive \diamond

Proposition 2.2.6

If X is a Riesz space and F an order bound linear functional on X , then there are positive linear functionals G and H such that $F=G-H$

Proof: suppose that $x \geq \theta$ and let

$$G(x) = \sup\{F(y) : \theta \leq y \leq x\}$$

For $x_1, x_2 \geq \theta$, we have $G(x_1 + x_2) = G(x_1) + G(x_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } G(x_1) + G(x_2) &= \sup\{F(y_1) : \theta \leq y_1 \leq x_1\} + \\ &\quad \sup\{F(y_2) : \theta \leq y_2 \leq x_2\} \\ &= \sup\{F(y_1 + y_2) : \theta \leq y_1 \leq x_1, \theta \leq y_2 \leq x_2\} \\ &= G(x_1 + x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Also $G(\alpha x) = \sup\{F(\alpha y) : \theta \leq x \leq y\}$ since F is linear, so

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha x) &= \sup\{\alpha F(y) : \theta \leq x \leq y\} \\ &= \alpha \sup\{F(y) : \theta \leq x \leq y\} \\ &= \alpha G(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we note that, G is a positive linear functional on X .

Moreover $G(x) \geq F(x) \forall x \geq \theta$ and therefore $H=G-F$ is positive on X \diamond

§ 2.3. Normed Riesz spaces and some properties

In this section, some order and topological properties of normed Riesz space which are analogous to the corresponding properties of usual normed space are cited. Moreover we shall show that the Riesz space with this norm becomes Banach Riesz spaces. For details we refer to the books [1, 2, 3].

Definition 2.3.1 [3]

The Riesz space X is called a **normed Riesz space**, if there exists a norm in X with the property that $|x| \leq |y|$ in X implies $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$, the norm is called Riesz norm in X , if X is a norm complete with respect to a Riesz norm in X , then X is called **Banach lattice**.

Example 2.3.2

The Euclidean Riesz space R^n forms a Banach lattice when ordering is defined as follows:

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$x, y \in R^n, \quad x \leq y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x_i \leq y_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We know that the norm of the Euclidean space R^n is

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Since the condition of a norm in above definition is satisfied in R^n , then $(R^n, \| \cdot \|)$ is a normed Riesz space .

Now we want to show that R^n is a norm complete with respect to Riesz norm in R^n (the proof is given in [11])

Let $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \dots \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in R^n . Since each x_m is an n-tuple of real numbers we shall write $x_m = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)})$ so that $x_k^{(m)}$ is the K-th coordinates of x_m .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, since $\langle x_m \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence, then there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for each

$$1, m \geq m_0$$

We have $\|x_m - x_1\| < \epsilon$ then $\|x_m - x_1\|^r < \epsilon^r$ so

$$\sum |x_i^{(m)} - x_i^{(1)}| < \epsilon^r \quad (1) \quad \text{and so for } i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

We have $\|x_i^{(m)} - x_i^{(1)}\|^r < \epsilon^r$ Then

$$\|x_i^{(m)} - x_i^{(1)}\| < \epsilon$$

This shows that the sequence $\langle x_i^{(m)} \rangle_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for each i .

Since R is complete, each of these sequences converges to a point say z_i in R so that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(m)} = z_i \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

We now show that the cauchy sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ converges to the point $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in R^n$

To prove this , let $1 \rightarrow \infty$ in (1) , then by (2) and by theorem (1.2.11) we obtain $\sum |x_i^{(m)} - z_i|^r < \epsilon^r$ Then

$\|x_m - z\| < \epsilon$ it follows that the Cauchy sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$

converges to z in R^n and hence R^n is complete with respect to Riesz norm in R^n . Therefore $(R^n, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach lattice.

Definition 2.3.3 [17]

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_x)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_y)$ be a normed Riesz spaces. An isomorphism T from X into Y is said to be **isometrically** if $\|T(x)\|_y = \|x\|_x \quad \forall x \in X$, and we say that X and Y are isometrically isomorphic.

Definition 2.3.4 [4]

The Riesz norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be **σ -order continuous**, if it follows from $x_n \downarrow \theta$ ($n=1,2,\dots$) that $\|x_n\| \downarrow 0$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is called **order continuous** if $\|x_r\| \downarrow 0$ hold for any $x_r \downarrow \theta$, $r \in A$ (A is directed set).

Definition 2.3.5 [7]

The normed Riesz spaces X and Y are called **isometrically Riesz isomorphic**, if there exists a Riesz isomorphism between X and Y which is at the same time an isometry (notation $X \approx Y$).

Definition 2.3.6 [15]

A subset A of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be **dense** in X , if for every $x \in X$ there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in A such that $x_n \rightarrow x, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following theorem, we shall show that, any isomorphism between two Riesz subspaces implies to isomorphism between Riesz spaces, if the Riesz sub space has the norm dense property

Theorem 2.3.7 [17]

The two Banach lattices X and Y satisfy $X \approx Y$ if and only if there exist normed dense subspaces A and B such that

$$A \approx B$$

Proof: Let T be an isometric Riesz isomorphism between A and B , given $x \in X$, there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in A such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$. Since T is an isometry and Y is a norm complete, the element $\lim Tx_n$ exists in Y and depends only on x and not on the choice of the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$.

Writing $T'x = \lim Tx_n$, we thus obtain a linear isometry T' , such that T' and T coincide on A . It remains to prove that T' and its inverse $(T')^{-1}$ are positive. Let $x \in X, x \geq \theta, \langle x_n \rangle \subseteq A, n=1,2,\dots$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ such that $|x_n| \rightarrow |x| = x$ so $T'(x) = \lim T(|x_n|) \geq \theta$ that is T' is positive. Now let $y \in Y, y \geq \theta, \langle y_n \rangle \subseteq B, n=(1,2,\dots)$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ such that

$|y_n| \rightarrow |y| = y$ so $(T')^{-1}(y) = \lim T'(|y_n|) \geq \cdot$ that is $(T')^{-1}$ is positive \diamond

Theorem 2.3.8 [17]

If $x_n \uparrow$ and $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow \cdot$ then $x = \sup x_n$

Proof: For $m > n$, we have by Birkhoff's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x_m - (x \wedge x_n) &= (x_m \wedge x_n) - (x \wedge x_n) \\ &\leq |x_m - x| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x_m - x\| \rightarrow \cdot$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\|x_m - (x \wedge x_n)\| = \cdot \text{ so } x_m = (x \wedge x_n)$$

which shows that x is an upper bound of the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$. Let y be another upper bound of the sequence, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq (x \vee y) - y &= (x \vee y) - (x_n \vee y) \\ &\leq |x_n - x| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow \cdot$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\|x \vee y - y\| = \cdot$ and

this implies that $x \vee y = y$ so $x \leq y$, this shows that x is the least upper bound of $\langle x_n \rangle$. \diamond

In the following example we shall show that the converse of above theorem is not true

Example 2.3.9

The space of all bounded sequences l_∞ with $\|x\| = \sup |x_n|$ and the ordering defined by $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots$. It is clear that, $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$.

In the following theorem we want to show the relation between the super Dedekind complete, order continuous σ -Dedekind complete and σ -order continuous also we shall consider the case that, every bounded increasing sequence in norm Riesz space X has a norm limit. We first prove a lemma. For the formulation, we recall the definition.

Definition 2.3.10 [17]

The up wards directed set $(x_r: r \in \{\tau\})$ in X is called an ***e-Cauchy net*** if, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an index $r_0 \in \{\tau\}$ Such that $\|x_r - x_{r_0}\| < \epsilon$ for $x_r \geq x_{r_0}$

Lemma 2.3.11 [17]

(i) Given the up ward directed e- Cauchy net $\langle x_r \rangle$, $r \in \{\tau\}$ and the sequence $\langle \epsilon_n \rangle$, $(n=1,2,3,\dots)$ of real numbers such that $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$, there exists an increasing subsequence $\langle x_{r_n} \rangle$, $(n=1,2,\dots)$ such that $\|x_r \vee x_{r_n} - x_{r_n}\| < \epsilon_n \forall n$ and r . Furthermore, any upper

bound of the sub sequence is an upper bound of the given net.

(ii) If every increasing order bounded e-Cauchy sequence in X has a norm limit, then any order bounded up wards directed e-Cauchy net $\langle x_r \rangle, r \in \{\tau\}$ has supremum x and there exists an increasing subsequence $\langle x_{r_n} \rangle, n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $x = \sup x_{r_n}$. Finally we have $\|x - x_r\| \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: (i) since $x_r \uparrow$, there is an increasing sub sequence $\langle x_{r_n} \rangle, n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we have $\|x_r - x_{r_n}\| < \epsilon_n$ for $x_r \geq x_{r_n}$. For any fixed n and for any fixed r there exists an element $x_r \geq (x_r \vee x_{r_n})$ so, $\|(x_r \vee x_{r_n}) - x_{r_n}\| \leq \|x_r - x_{r_n}\| < \epsilon_n$.

To prove the second assertion, we assume that y be an upper bound of the set $\langle x_{r_n} \rangle$. We have to show that $y \vee x_r = y$ for every x . This follows from:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq y \vee x_r - y &\leq y \vee (x_r \vee x_{r_n}) - (y \vee x_{r_n}) \\ &\leq (x_r \vee x_{r_n}) - x_{r_n}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

So $\|y \vee x_r - y\| = 0$ which implies $(y \vee x_r) = y$.

(ii) Let $\langle x_r \rangle, r \in \{\tau\}$ be an order bounded up wards directed e- Cauchy net and let $\langle x_{r_n} \rangle, n = 1, 2, \dots$ be an

increasing subsequence as in part (i). This sequence is an order bounded increasing ϵ – Cauchy sequence so by assumption it has a norm limit x . According to theorem (2.3.8), x is the supremum and by part (i) we have $x = \sup x_r$. \diamond

Now we shall prove the theorem that we referred to it in page 24.

Theorem 2.3.12 [17]

The following conditions for a normed Riesz space are equivalent

- (i) X is super Dedekind complete and $\|\cdot\|$ is order continuous
- (ii) X is Dedekind σ - complete and $\|\cdot\|$ is σ - order continuous
- (iii) Every increasing order bounded sequence has a norm limit.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is evident from the definition of super Dedekind complete and order continuous.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) let $x_n \uparrow \leq x$. Then $z = \sup x_n$ exists by assumption that X is Dedekind σ -complete, so $z - x_n \downarrow \theta$, which implies $\|z - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Any order bounded up wards directed set $\langle x_r \rangle$ is ϵ -Cauchy net, since other-wise there exists an increasing subsequence without a norm limit, contrary to hypothesis. From lemma 2.3.11(ii) it follows that $x = \sup x_r$ exists and the net contains a countable subset having the same supremum as the net itself. Hence X is super Dedekind complete. Now suppose that $x_r \downarrow \theta$. Since we have to prove that $\|x_r\| \downarrow 0$. We may assume the existence of an element x_0 satisfying $x_0 \geq x_r \downarrow \theta$.

Setting $y_r = x_0 - x_r$, we have $\theta \leq y_r \uparrow x_0$. By the super Dedekind completeness of X there is a subsequence $\langle y_{r_n} \rangle$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that $y_{r_n} \uparrow x_0$. Since this sequence has a norm limit by hypothesis, it follows from theorem (2.3.8) that $\|x_0 - y_{r_n}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But then

$\inf \|x_0 - y_r\| = 0$, so $\inf \|x_r\| = 0$. \diamond

In Banach lattice the condition of the following theorem is equivalent to the condition in theorem 2.3.12

The next lemma is due to P. Meyer – Nieberg we shall use it in the proof of theorem ۲.۳.۱۴

Lemma ۲.۳.۱۳ [۱۷]

Let $\langle X_n \rangle_{n=1, 2, 3, \dots}$ be a sequence of positive elements in the normed Riesz space X , satisfying the following conditions :

(i) There exists a number $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|x_n\| \geq \epsilon \forall n$

(ii) There exists a number $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right\| \leq \epsilon \forall n$$

(iii) The sequence is order bounded i.e., $\theta \leq x_n \leq x_0$ for some $x_0 \in X$ then there exists a subsequence $\langle x_{k_1}, x_{k_2}, \dots \rangle$ and a sequence $\langle y_1, y_2, \dots \rangle$ of disjoint elements such that $\theta \leq y_n \leq x_{k_n}$ and $\|y_n\| \geq \epsilon$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$.

Theorem ۲.۳.۱۴ [۱۷]

For a normed Riesz space X the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) Every order bounded increasing sequence in X is ϵ -Cauchy sequence.

(ii) For every order-bounded sequence of disjoint positive elements the norm tends to zero.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Given an order bounded sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ disjoint positive elements.

We set

$$S_m = \sum_{n=1}^m x_n$$

Then $\langle S_m \rangle, m=1, 2, \dots$ is an order bounded increasing sequence. By assumption, this is a ϵ -Cauchy sequence. It follows that $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) let $\langle y_n \rangle, n=1, 2, \dots$ be an order bounded increasing sequence of positive elements,

$$\text{i.e. } \theta \leq y_n \uparrow \leq x_0$$

and assume that this is not ϵ -Cauchy sequence. Then there exists a number $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence

$\langle z_1 = y_{n_1}, z_2 = y_{n_2}, \dots \rangle$ with $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that

$$\|z_{k+1} - z_k\| > \delta \quad \text{for } k=1, 2, \dots$$

Let $x_k = \delta^{-1} (1 + \epsilon) (z_{k+1} - z_k)$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$

Then $\theta \leq x_k \leq \delta^{-1} (1 + \epsilon) x_0 \quad \forall k$.

Furthermore $\|x_k\| \geq 1 + \epsilon$ and

$$\|\sum x_k\| = \delta^{-1} (1 + \epsilon) \|z_{m+1} - z_m\|$$

$$\leq \delta^{-1} (1 + \epsilon) \|x_0\|$$

$$= C \quad \forall m$$

The sequence $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ satisfies the conditions of Meyer-Nieberg's lemma, so there exists a disjoint

element sequence $\langle p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots \rangle$ of positive elements
 ,majored by $\delta^{-1}(\epsilon)x_0$ and satisfying $\|p_n\| \geq \epsilon$
 for every n , This contradicts our hypothesis.
 Hence $\langle y_1, y_2, \dots \rangle$ is a ϵ -Cauchy sequence \diamond

CHAPTER THREE

Some Theorems in the Theory of Riesz Spaces

This chapter deals with a modified Hahn-Banach theorem. Some properties of this theorem are also given. In addition, new results concerning Cauchy-Schwarz inequality are included.

§ ۳.۱. Hahn-Banach Theorem

Theorem ۳.۱.۱ [۲] [Classical Hahn-Banach theorem]

Let p be a sublinear functional on a linear space X , let M be a linear subspace of X and let f_0 be a linear functional on M for which $f_0(x) \leq p(x) \forall x \in M$. Then there exists a linear functional f on X such that

$$\text{a) } f(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{b) } f(x) = f_0(x) \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Now to prove the new Hahn Banach theorem, we must prove the following lemma

Lemma ۳.۱.۲

Let X be a linear space and M its subspace for $x_0 \in X-M$, let $N = [M \cup \{x_0\}]$. Furthermore, suppose that f is a linear

mapping on M to the Dedekind complete Riesz space F and ρ is a sublinear mapping on X such that $f(x) \leq \rho(x)$ $\forall x \in M$ then there exists a linear mapping h defined on N such that $h(x) = f(x) \forall x \in M$ and $h(x) \leq \rho(x) \forall x \in N$.

Proof: since $f(x) \leq \rho(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and f is linear we

have for arbitrary $y_1, y_2 \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1) + f(y_2) &= f(y_1 + y_2) \leq \rho(y_1 + y_2) && \text{or} \\ f(y_1) + f(y_2) &\leq \rho(y_1 + x_0 + y_2 - x_0) \\ &\leq \rho(y_1 + x_0) + \rho(y_2 - x_0). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } f(y_2) - \rho(y_2 - x_0) \leq \rho(y_1 + x_0) - f(y_1) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Let } A = \{f(y_2) - \rho(y_2 - x_0) : y_2 \in M\}$$

$$B = \{\rho(y_1 + x_0) - f(y_1) : y_1 \in M\}$$

Inequality (1) shows that $\alpha \leq \beta \forall \alpha \in A$ and $\beta \in B$.

Thus A is bounded above, B is bounded below and $\sup A \leq \inf B$ in the Dedekind complete Riesz space F suppose v be an element in F with

$$\sup A \leq v \leq \inf B \quad (2)$$

It may be observed that if $\alpha = \beta$ then $v = \alpha = \beta$

Therefore; for all $y \in M$

$$f(y) - \rho(y - x_0) \leq v \leq \rho(y + x_0) - f(y) \quad (3)$$

From definition of N , it is clear that, every element x of N can be written as

$$x = y + \lambda x. \quad (4)$$

Where $x \in X - M$, λ is scalar and x are uniquely determined element in M .

We now define a mapping h on N as follows:

$$h(x) = h(y + \lambda x_0) = f(y) + \lambda v. \quad (\circ)$$

where v is given in (γ) and x given in (ϵ) .

We shall now verify that the mapping $h(x)$ satisfies the desired conditions i.e.

1. h is linear

$$2. h(x) = f(x) \quad \forall x \in M.$$

$$3. h(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in N.$$

Now

1: h is linear, for $z_1, z_2 \in N$ such that

$$z_1 = y_1 + \lambda_1 x_0, \quad z_2 = y_2 + \lambda_2 x_0 \text{ then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(z_1 + z_2) &= h(y_1 + \lambda_1 x_0 + y_2 + \lambda_2 x_0) \\ &= h((y_1 + y_2) + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x_0) \\ &= f(y_1 + y_2) + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)v \\ &= f(y_1) + f(y_2) + \lambda_1 v + \lambda_2 v \quad \text{as } f \text{ is a linear} \\ &= [f(y_1) + \lambda_1 v] + [f(y_2) + \lambda_2 v] \\ &= h(z_1) + h(z_2) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha z) &= h(\alpha(y + \lambda x_0)) \\ &= h(\alpha y + \alpha \lambda x_0) \\ &= f(\alpha y) + \alpha \lambda v \\ &= \alpha f(y) + \alpha \lambda v \\ &= \alpha(f(y) + \lambda v) \\ &= \alpha h(z) \end{aligned}$$

2: if $x \in M$, then λ must be zero in (ϵ) and the equality (\circ) gives $h(x) = f(x)$

\forall : to verify condition \forall we consider two cases (see eq. (ε))

Case 1: if $\lambda = 1$ we have seen that $h(x) = f(x)$, and since $f(x) \leq p(x)$ therefore $h(x) \leq p(x)$.

Case 2: if $\lambda > 1$ we get from eq. (3)

$$v \leq p(y+x_0) - f(y) \quad (6)$$

Since N is a subspace of X , $y/\lambda \in N$, then replacing y by y/λ inequality (6) we have

$$v \leq p\left(\frac{y}{\lambda} + x_0\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right) \text{ or } v \leq p\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}(y + \lambda x_0)\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right).$$

Since p is a sublinear map, then

$$p\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}(y + \lambda x_0)\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda}p(y + \lambda x_0) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0 \text{ and}$$

$$f\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda}f(y).$$

As f is linear therefore $\lambda v \leq p(y + \lambda x_0) - f(y)$, or $f(y) + \lambda v \leq p(y + \lambda x_0)$. Thus from (ε) and (6) $h(x) \leq p(x)$

$\forall x \in N$ \diamond

Theorem 3.1.3 Let p be a sublinear mapping from the linear space X to the Dedekind complete Riesz space F , M is a linear subspace of X and let f_0 be a linear mapping from M to F for which $f_0(x) \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in M$ then there exists a linear mapping f from X to F that extends f_0

a) $f(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in X$

b) $f(x) = f_0(x) \quad \forall x \in M$

Proof: Let φ be the family of order pairs (G, g) in which G is a linear subspace of X , G contains M and g is a linear mapping on G such that $g(x) = f_0(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $g(x) \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in G$ we define the relation \leq on φ by $(G_1, g_1) \leq (G_2, g_2)$ if and only if $G_1 \subseteq G_2$ and $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$ for all $x \in G_1$, it is easy to show that \leq is a partial order relation on φ . We shall prove that every linearly ordered subset of φ has an upper bound.

Suppose that A is a non-empty subfamily of φ , that is linearly ordered by \leq

Let $G = \bigcup G'$, suppose that (G_1, g_1) and (G_2, g_2) are pairs in A and let $x \in G_1 \cap G_2$.

Since A is linearly ordered, then either $(G_1, g_1) \leq (G_2, g_2)$ or $(G_2, g_2) \leq (G_1, g_1)$, in either cases, by definition on the relation \leq we have $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$.

For this we shall define a function g on G as follows :
Given $x \in G$ and let $g(x) = g'(x)$ where (G', g') is any pair of A with $x \in G'$. we shall prove that G is a linear subspace in X .

Let $x, y \in G$. $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x \in G_1$ and $y \in G_2$ for some pairs (G_1, g_1) and (G_2, g_2) in A .

Since A is a linearly ordered, we may suppose that, $(G_1, g_1) \leq (G_2, g_2)$, then $G_1 \subseteq G_2$ and hence $x, y \in G_2$.

Since G_2 is a linear subspace of X , we have

$\alpha x + \beta y \in G_2 \subseteq G$ this shows that G is a linear subspace of X . Also by definition of g , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\alpha x + \beta y) &= g_r(\alpha x + \beta y) \\
&= g_r(\alpha x) + g_r(\beta y) \\
&= \alpha g_r(x) + \beta g_r(y) \\
&= \alpha g(x) + \beta g(y)
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that g is a linear mapping on G , it is obvious that $M \subset G$ and $g(x) = f_0(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $g(x) \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in G$ consequently $(G, g) \in A$, clearly $(G', g') \leq (G, g)$ for all $(G', g') \in A$ so (G, g) is an upper bound for A it follows now by Zorn's Lemma (1.2.8) that φ has at least a maximal element, let (H, f') be a maximal element subset of φ we shall prove that $H = X$.

Suppose $H \neq X$ and choose $x_1 \in X - H$ and let H_1 be a linear hull of the set $H \cup \{x_1\}$, since $(H, f') \in \varphi$ we have $f'(x) \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in H$ and therefore, by previous lemma (2.1.2), there is a linear map f on H_1 such that $f_1(x) = f'(x)$ for all $x \in H$, it follows that $(H_1, f_1) \in \varphi$ and $(H, f') \leq (H_1, f_1)$ this contradicts the maximality of (H, f') in φ because $(H, f') \neq (H_1, f_1)$ and we must have $H = X$ \diamond

§ 3.2. A modified Hahn-Banach theorem and some new Results

In this section we obtain a new propositions related to the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Theorem 3.2.1

Let X is a linear space, F is a Riesz space M is a proper subspace of X . And let T be linear transformation from M into F , then there exists a linear transformation T' from X into F such that T' is an extension of T

Proof: take any element $x_0 \in X-M$ i.e. $x_0 \in X$ and $x_0 \notin M$.

Let M_0 be the subspace of X spanned by the set $M \cup \{x_0\}$ then $M_0 = M + [x_0]$ where $[x_0]$ is the subspace of X generated by x_0 .

If $z \in M_0$ then z can be represented as $z = x + \alpha x_0$, $x \in M$ and α is some scalar. Also this representation for z is unique. For that suppose $z_1 = x_1 + \alpha_1 x_0$ and $z_1 = x_2 + \alpha_2 x_0$ where $x_1, x_2 \in M$ and α_1, α_2 are some scalars then, $x_1 + \alpha_1 x_0 = x_2 + \alpha_2 x_0$. This gives $x_1 - x_2 = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) x_0$

Now $x_1, x_2 \in M \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 \in M$ because M is a subspace of X therefore $(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)x_0 \in M$. Suppose $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \neq 0$.

Then $(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) x_0 \in M$

i.e. $x_0 \in M$. But this is a contradiction $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)} (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)x_0 \in M$

contradiction, because $x_0 \notin M$, hence we must have $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 = 0$ i.e. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ then $x_1 + \alpha_1 x_0 = x_2 + \alpha_2 x_0$ gives $x_1 = x_2$ and

thus $z = x + \alpha x_0$, $x \in M$, α scalar (1)

For the uniqueness representation of $z \in M_0$ we now define a mapping T'_0 from M_0 into Riesz space F by the formula $T'_0(x + \alpha x_0) = T(x) + \alpha y_0$ where y_0 is some fixed vector in F obviously T'_0 is an extension of T because, if $z \in M_0$ such that z is also in M , then the representation for z in the form (1) is $z = z + 0 \cdot x_0$ and so by define of T'_0 , we have $T'_0(z) = T(z) + 0 \cdot y_0 = T(z)$ also T'_0 is linear transformation from M_0 into F , suppose $z_1 = x_1 + \alpha_1 x_0$, $z_2 = x_2 + \alpha_2 x_0$ any two members of M_0 and α, β are any two scalars then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2) &= T'_0 [\alpha(x_1 + \alpha_1 x_0) + \beta(x_2 + \alpha_2 x_0)] \\ &= T'_0 [(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) + (\alpha \alpha_1 + \beta \alpha_2) x_0] \\ &= T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) + (\alpha \alpha_1 + \beta \alpha_2) y_0 \quad \text{Since } \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 \in M \\ &= \alpha T(x_1) + \beta T(x_2) + (\alpha \alpha_1 + \beta \alpha_2) y_0 \\ &= \alpha [T(x_1) + \alpha_1 y_0] + \beta [T(x_2) + \alpha_2 y_0] \\ &= \alpha T'_0(x_1 + \alpha_1 x_0) + \beta T'_0(x_2 + \alpha_2 x_0) \\ &= \alpha T'_0(z_1) + \beta T'_0(z_2), \end{aligned}$$

Thus T'_0 is a linear transformation from M_0 into F and T'_0 is an extension of T .

Now let h be a linear transformation with domain $D(h)$ as a subspace of X and range $R(h)$ as a subspace of F .

Suppose that M is a proper subspace of $D(h)$ and h is an extension of T . Let p be the class of all such linear transformation h then p is non-empty because $T'_0 \in p$

If $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ let us define the relation $h_1 \leq h_2$ to mean that h_2 is an extension of h_1 i.e. $D(h_1) \subset D(h_2)$ and $h_2(x) = h_1(x) \forall x \in D(h_1)$.

Obviously this relation defines a partial ordering of \mathcal{P} .

Now suppose that Q is a totally ordered subset of \mathcal{P} . We shall show that there exists an element $U \in \mathcal{P}$ which is an upper bound of Q . Let $D(U)$ be union of the domains of each element h in Q . Then $D(U)$ is a subset of X and M is contained properly in $D(U)$.

Also $D(U)$ is a subspace of X . For suppose α, β are scalars and $x_1, x_2 \in D(U)$. Then there exists two elements $h_1, h_2 \in Q$ such that $x_1 \in D(h_1)$, $x_2 \in D(h_2)$.

Since Q is totally ordered. We may take $h_1 \leq h_2$, then $D(h_1) \subset D(h_2)$. Therefore, $x_1, x_2 \in D(h_2)$ but $D(h_2)$ is a subspace of X .

Now if $x \in D(U)$, then $x \in D(h)$ for some $h \in Q$.

Define the mapping U from $D(U)$ into F by the formula $U(x) = h(x)$ when $h \in Q$ and x is in the domain of h .

If $x \in D(h_1) \cap D(h_2)$ where $h_1, h_2 \in Q$ we may take $h_1 \leq h_2$ because Q is totally ordered.

Then $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$ also U is an extension of T because $h \in Q$ is an extension of T . Further U is a linear transformation from $D(U)$ into F , suppose α, β are scalars and $x_1, x_2 \in D(U)$,

Then as shown previously there exists $h_2 \in Q$ such that $\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 \in D(h_2)$. Now by definition of U we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) &= h(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) \\
&= \alpha h(x_1) + \beta h(x_2) \\
&= \alpha U(x_1) + \beta U(x_2),
\end{aligned}$$

Hence U is a linear transformation from $D(U)$ into F .

Since $D(U)$ is a subspace of X , M is contained properly in $D(U)$ and U is an extension of T , therefore $U \in P$ also obviously U is an extension of $h \forall h \in Q$ therefore $h \leq U \forall h \in Q$ and so U is an upper bound of Q .

Now all the conditions of Zorn's Lemma are satisfied in p .

Hence p contains a maximal element say T' we must have $D(T') = X$. If $D(T')$ is a proper subspace of X , then we can regard $D(T')$ as M in the first part of the proof and thus we shall get an element $h \in p$ such that $h \neq T'$ and $T' \leq h$.

This is contrary to the maximality of T' . Hence $D(T') = X$.

thus the linear transformation T' has properties required in the theorem \diamond

Proposition 3.2.2

Let X be a linear space, then there exists a mapping T' defined from X into a Riesz space F , such that this mapping is linear.

Proof: Let x_0 be any element of X and consider the subspace Z of X contains of all elements $x = \alpha x_0$, where α is scalar.

On Z we define a mapping $T: Z \rightarrow F$ by

$$T(x) = T(\alpha x_0) = \alpha T(x_0)$$

To use the previous theorem (3.2.1) we must prove, that T is a linear mapping.

Let $x, y \in Z, \alpha, \beta$ are scalars and let $x = \lambda_1 x_0, y = \lambda_2 x_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha x + \beta y &= (\alpha \lambda_1 x_0 + \beta \lambda_2 x_0) \\ &= (\alpha \lambda_1 + \beta \lambda_2) x_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x + \beta y) &= T((\alpha \lambda_1 + \beta \lambda_2) x_0) \\ &= (\alpha \lambda_1 + \beta \lambda_2) T(x_0) \\ &= \alpha \lambda_1 T(x_0) + \beta \lambda_2 T(x_0) \\ &= \alpha T(\lambda_1 x_0) + \beta T(\lambda_2 x_0) \\ &= \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y) \end{aligned}$$

Then by theorem 3.2.1 there exists an extension $T': X \rightarrow F$ which is linear \diamond

Proposition 3.2.3

Let M be a subspace of a linear space X and let x_0 be a vector not in M then there exists a mapping $T: X \rightarrow F$ (F is a Riesz space) such that $T(M) = \{\theta\}$ and $T(x_0) \neq \theta$

Proof: Consider the natural map $\Pi: X \rightarrow X/M$, such that

$\Pi(x) = x + M$, it is easy to show that Π is a linear map.

If $m \in M \Rightarrow \Pi(m) = m + M = \theta$ (i.e the zero vector M in X/M) since $x_0 \notin M$. We have $\Pi(x_0) = x_0 + M \neq M$, then by proposition 3.2.2, since X/M is linear space and $x_0 + M \in X/M$ so, there exists a linear map $T': X/M \rightarrow F$ such that $T'(x_0 + M) \neq M \in F$.

Now we define T by $T(x) = T' \Pi(x)$, for every $x \in X$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x + \beta y) &= T' \Pi(\alpha x + \beta y) \\ &= T'((\alpha x + \beta y) + M) \\ &= T'(\alpha x + M + \beta y + M) \\ &= \alpha T'(x + M) + \beta T'(y + M) \\ &= \alpha T' \Pi(x) + \beta T' \Pi(y) \\ &= \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is a linear.

Furthermore, if $m \in M$ then, $T(m) = T' \Pi(m) = T'(M) = \{0\}$

Also $T(x_0) = T' \Pi(x_0) = T'(x_0 + M) \neq 0 \quad \diamond$

§ ۳.۳. A modified Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

In this section we obtain a new Cauchy-Schwarz inequality by interchanging the Range and Domain of the classical Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Now the classical Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is given in the following theorem.

Theorem ۳.۳.۱ [۱۱]

If X is a linear space and $A: X \times X \rightarrow R$ is a bilinear map with $A(x,x) \geq 0$ and $A(x,y) = A(y,x)$ for all $x \in X$ then $A(x,y)^2 \leq A(x,x) A(y,y)$.

Definition ۳.۳.۲ [۳]

An associative real lattice ordered algebra X is called **almost f -algebra** if $x \wedge y = \theta \Rightarrow xy = \theta \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition ۳.۳.۳ [۳]

If X a Riesz space, a bilinear map A of $X \times X$ into a linear space F is called **orthosymmetric** if $x, y \in X$, $x \wedge y = \theta \Rightarrow A(x,y) = \theta$.

Proposition 3.3.4 (Modified Cauchy Schwarz inequality)

Let X be a Riesz space and let F positive partially order cone be a field. If $A: X \times X \rightarrow F$ is an bilinear map with $A(x,x) \geq \theta$ and $A(x,y) = A(y,x)$ then $A(x,y)^2 \leq A(x,x) A(y,y)$

Proof: Since $A(x+\lambda y, x+\lambda y) \geq \theta$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } A(x+\lambda y, x+\lambda y) &= A_{x+\lambda y}(x+\lambda y) \\ &= A_{x+\lambda y}(x) + A_{x+\lambda y}(\lambda y) \\ &= A(x, x+\lambda y) + A(\lambda y, x+\lambda y) \\ &= A_x(x+\lambda y) + \lambda A_y(x+\lambda y) \\ &= A_x(x) + \lambda A_x(y) + \lambda[A_y(x) + \lambda A_y(y)] \\ &= A(x,x) + \lambda A(x,y) + \lambda A(y,x) + \lambda^2 A(y,y) \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = A(x,x)$, $b = A(x,y)$, $c = A(y,y)$

Then $a + \lambda^2 b + \lambda^2 c \geq \theta$

$$\lambda^2 + \frac{b}{c} \lambda + \frac{a}{c} \geq \theta \Rightarrow (\lambda + \frac{b}{c})^2 + \frac{ac - b^2}{c^2} \geq \theta$$

Since the final result in F^+ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ac - b^2}{c^2} &\geq \theta \\ \Rightarrow ac - b^2 &\geq c^2 \theta \\ \Rightarrow ac - b^2 &\geq \theta \\ \Rightarrow ac &\geq b^2 \\ \Rightarrow A(x,y)^2 &\leq A(x,x) A(y,y) \quad \diamond \end{aligned}$$

References:

- [1] Aliprantis C. and Burkinshaw O., "Locally Solid Riesz Space", 1978, Academic press MR8.
- [2] Brown A. and page A., "Elements Of Functional Analysis", 1970, Van no strand rein hold Company London.
- [3] Buskes G., "Five Theorems in the Theory of Riesz Spaces", 2000, Louisiana – Mississippi sectional Meeting of MAA.
- [4] Dejonge E. and Van Rooij A., "Introduction To Riesz Spaces", 1977, Mathematical center (Amsterdam) MR 8.
- [5] Fremlin D., "Topological Riesz Spaces and Measure Theory", 1974, Cambridge Univ. Press London and New York.

[٦] Freudenthal, H., " Teilweise Geordnete Moduln" ,١٩٦٣, Nederl ,Akad .Wetencsh .proc.ser.page ٦٤١-٦٥١

[٧] Kontorovich L., "Concerning The General Theory Of Operations In Partially Ordered Space", ١٩٦٣ DANSSR (Russian)

[٨] Kontorovich L., "Sur Les Propriétés Des Espacés Sémiordonnés Linéaires ", ١٩٦٣ CRL Acad-Sci paris

[٩] Riesz, F., " Sur La Décomposition Des Opération Linéaires ", ١٩٢٨ Atti Congr. Bologndz page ١٤٣-١٤٨

[١٠] Schaefer H., "Banach Lattice And Positive Operators", ١٩٧٤, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.

[١١] Sharma J. and vansishtha, "Functional Analysis", ١٩٧٦, printed at manoj printers, Meerut.

[١٢] sharma J. , " Topology " , ١٩٧٧, printed at manoj printers ,Meerut.

[١٣] Vulih, B., "Introduction To Operator Theory Of Partially Ordered Spaces ", ١٩٦١, Moscow (Russian).

[١٤] Zaanen A., "Introduction To Operator Theory In Riesz Spaces", ١٩٧٧, springer Verlag, MR٢٠٠٠.

[١٥] Zaanen A. and W., "Riesz space II", ١٩٧١, North Holland publishing company MR٥٨.

[١٦] Zaanen A. and W., " Notes On Banach Function Space" , ١٩٦٤, Nederl Akad . Wetensch proc. Ser.A note I"(١٩٦٣)١٣٥-١٤٧; note II (١٩٦٣) ١٤٨-١٥٣;...

[١٧] Zaanen A. and W.Class, "Orlicz Lattice ", ١٩٧٨ commenctions Math. Prace mat.