# Thyroid Nodules of Iraqi Patients with Acromegaly

## Nihad Abdallah Selman<sup>1</sup>, Abbas Mahdi Rahma<sup>2</sup>, Atheer Yass Ali<sup>3</sup>, Hayder K. Abed<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>F.I.B.M.S.(Endocrinology) College of Medicine; University of Babylon; Babil; Iraq, <sup>2</sup>Consultant Physician (Endocrinologist) Mustansiriayh University/ National Diabetes Center/Iraq, <sup>3</sup>F.I.B.M.S.(Endocrinology) Mustansiriayh University/ National Diabetes Center/Iraq, <sup>4</sup>M.D Radiology/Specialized Center For Endocrinology & Diabetes /Baghdad Al-Rusafa Health Directorate/Iraq

#### **Abstract**

Acromegaly is a chronic endocrine disorder caused by excessive secretion of growth hormone. The incidence of malignancy like colorectal carcinoma is well documented to increase in patients with acromegaly. While the real incidence of thyroid neoplasm in these patients are not well known.

**Aims:** Find out the rate of structural thyroid disorders in a sample of Iraqi patients with acromegaly; Identify the rate of thyroid malignant transformation in patients with acromegaly.

Settings and Design: A case control study

Methods and Material: seventy patients with acromegaly were enrolled compared with 70 controls from 2 endocrinology centers from Jan. -Dec. 2017. Their mean age 46+/-11years ;41(58.5%) males. Thyroid ultrasound was conducted for all participants while fine needle aspirate conducted for those with nodule diameter ≥1cm.

**Results**: Goiter was founded more in patients with acromegaly versus control group (81.4% vs 18.5% respectively ; P<0.0001). The overall nodular thyroid disease were found in (61.4%) acromegalic patients(of those: (11.4%) had single thyroid nodule and (50%) had multiple thyroid nodules. The ultrasonic feature of thyroid nodule were suspicious in (13.9%) of acromegaly group and none in control; P<0.0001). The fine needle aspirate results were suspicious in (9.3%) acromegaly group versus none in control group, P<0.05). One patient (2.3%) with acromegaly had papillary thyroid carcinoma and none in control; P=0.31).

**Conclusions:** Nodular thyroid disease were more prevalent in Iraqi patients with acromegaly but the risk of malignancy is not significantly different from normal population.

Keywords: thyroid nodule, acromegaly, goiter, multinodular goiter, ultrasonography

#### Introduction

Acromegaly is a chronic endocrine disorder caused by excessive secretion of growth hormone (GH) [1] and subsequently Insulin –like Growth Factor 1(IGF-1) [2]. IGF1 is consider as a potent mitogen for different cells [3]. Some report appear that these patiensts are at a high risk of developing neoplastic disease [4]. The incidence of some types of malignancy like colorectal ,breast &prostatic carcinoma are well documented in patients with acromegaly [5]. While The real incidence &prevalence of thyroid neoplasm in patients with acromegaly are not well known [6]. However this study

designed to identify the prevalence of thyroid tumors in Iraqi patients with acromegaly.

## **Subjects and Method**

This is a case control study in which 70 patients with acromegaly were enrolled from January - December 2017 from 2 endocrinology specialized centers compared with 70 (age and sex matched) healthy control.

This study have been accepted by research ethics committee of Iraqi Board of Medical specialization before starting a study. An informed consent have been obtained from all patients, signed and dated before participation in

this study. The enrolled subjects were already diagnosed as acromegaly clinically ,biochemically &radiologically before their enrolment in the study. The diagnosis of acromegaly was based on unsuppressed GH level after OGTT (>1.2 mUI/L) and increased IGF-1 value for age and sex; fortified by detection of pituitary adenoma by MRI either macroadenoma(>10mm) or microadenoma (<10mm).

The collection of data in regard of age per years, sex, ,duration of acromegaly, size of tumor (micromacroadenoma), history of hypophysectomy, radiotherapy, medical therapy (total dose each patient) GH level in 1st and last visits(by chemiluminescent immunoassay), IGF1 in 1st and last visits (according to sex and age matched limits measured by immunochemiluminomertic assay), disease activity (assessed as described in the 2009 international consensus statement [7]), history of smoking, family history of thyroid disease, family history of malignant disease.

The thyroid ultrasonography conducted to all patients and control subjects by to assess the morphology of thyroid gland ( size of thyroid gland and thyroid nodule(s) -if any- its/their characteristics .According to American thyroid association guidelines 2015[8] suspicious thyroid nodule(s) sent for Fine Needle Aspirate (FNA) .Furthermore according to the cytology report patients with suspicious nodules sent to thyroid surgeon for thyroidectomy; followed by histopathological examination of the removed specimen.

Continuous variables were expressed by mean +/-SD while categorical variables as percentage ,all these collected data &variables analyzed by using Chi square test or Student's unpaired t-test .The value of <0.05 considered as statistically significant.

#### Results

Seventy cases of acromegaly were enrolled in this study with a mean age 46+/-11 years .forty one (58.5%) males and 29(41.5%)females. Duration of disease ranged from 1year -30years (average 8+/-6).A growth hormone producing macroadenoma was the cause of acromegaly in 62 patients (88.5%) and in the remaining 8(11.5%) had microadenoma. Thirty six (51.4%) underwent hypophysectomy. Six patients(8.5%) were exposed to gamma knife &another one to conventional radiotherapy. All of our patients received monthly Octreotide (Sandostatin (LAR) . Twelve (17.2%)had

inactive disease while the remainder 58(82.8%) had active disease.

Hypophysectomy, radiotherapy and/or medical therapy for acromegaly induced a significant reduction of mean GH and IGF-1 levels (27.83 + -25.69 vs. 4.73 + 6.72 ng/ml, p < 0.001 and 772.15 + 521.9 vs. 404.2 + 278.6 ng/ml, p < 0.001 respectively.

Thirteen patients (18.5%) were smoker.

Family history revealed thyroid disease or goiter in 17(24.2%). While 12(17.1%) had family history of malignancy [of those : 2 of them had family history of thyroid malignancy.

The control group was composed of 70 healthy subjects 47 (67%) female and 23(33%) with the mean age 42.9+/-14.3.

#### Ultrasound characteristics

Goiter discovered in 57(81.4%);0f these 14(20%) had diffuse and 43(61.4%) had nodular goiter (both single 8(11.4%)and multinodular goiter (MNG) 35(50%))

## Cytological &histopathological diagnosis

Out of 43 patient with nodular goiter; FNA performed in 23(53.4%) patients while none of nodules in control group required FNA because all of their ultrasound characteristics were either benign looking or purely cystic.

The FNA results were benign follicular cells without any metaplasia or dysplasia in 19 patients with acromegaly (44.2%out of all nodular disease and 82.6% out of all cytological examined sample); *P* value<0.05). While 4 of patients with acromegaly (9.3% out of all nodular disease and 17.4% out of all cytological examined sample) had suspicious for dysplasia ,metaplasia or malignancy, (*P* value <0.05).

Seven patients sent to thyroid surgeon for thyroidectomy (all 4 patients of suspicious FNA cytology & 3 had large multinodular goiter with obstructive symptoms). One patient had malignant histopathology in form of papillary thyroid carcinoma(2.3% out of patients with thyroid nodules and 1.4% out of the entire group of patients with acromegaly) that was statistically non-significant compared with control group (*P* value =0.3).while the others had benign histopathological results.

#### Correlation study

In table (1) the patients subdivided according to thyroid ultrasound findings.

There is a significant differences in gender with male predominance in diffuse goiter group and female predominance in MNG group (13(97%))vs 1(3%)female, (19(54%) female versus male 16(46%) male; respectively P < 0.05 in both). There is significant predominance of MNG among patients with macroadenoma versus microadenoma (34(97%) vs 1(3%); P < 0.05). There is also a significant development of MNG in patient who had active disease versus inactive disease (32(91.4%) vs 3(8.6%); P < 0.05) . While there is a significant difference in development of both diffuse goiter and MNG in non-smoker patient versus smokers((8(57%) vs 6 (43%), and 10 (91.5%) versus 3(8.5%) respectively; P < 0.05.))

We could not found statistically significant differences disease duration, mean last GH, mean last IGF1, history of hypophysectomy or family history of thyroid disease between patient with diffuse goiter, single thyroid nodule or MNG( table 2).

In table (3) the patients who had thyroid nodule (whether single or multiple) are subdivided according to ultrasonic feature and cytological examination. There is a significant female predominance in patients with benign ultrasonic feature group (20(54%) female versus 17(46%) male, <0.05).

The mean last IGF1 has significant relationship with development of both suspicious ultrasonic feature group and suspicious FNA cytology group(P value <0.05 respectively).

In patients with suspicious FNA group there is a significant occurrences in patients with past history of hypophysectomy versus those without surgery (P value<0.05).

While there is no significant relationship between any nodular thyroid characteristics from one side and duration of disease of acromegaly ,size of pituitary adenoma, activity of the disease and mean last GH level on the other side .

#### **Discussion**

In our study of 70 patients with acromegaly we searched for structural thyroid abnormalities, we found an increase prevalence of goiter(81.4%) both diffuse and nodular types ,thyroid nodules were(61.4%), MNG were(50%). These result of nodular disease are similar to newer studies that shows prevalence 62% in Gullu et al [9] ,63% in Baldys-Waligórska et al [10] , 57.6% in Tita et al [11] and 74.1% in Anagnostis et al. [12].

In our study we found that diffuse goiter was in 20% which was slightly higher than what is founded in Turkish study; Dugan S. et al-[13] which shows approximate results of 14.1%& in Brasil study [14] were 10.4% however the differences can be accepted because of individual and environmental factors. While in comparison with these studies we found that MNG were 50 % in our patients ;which is similar to Turkish study [13] that shows 48.6% ,while on the other side our results was lower than other study in Brasil [14] that shows (32.5%) this could be explained by similarity of geographical ,environmental factors that had an effect on our patients &Turkish patients

The suspicious FNA cytology was detected in 9% of the FNAs specimens in our study which were lower than the finding in other studies that was 13% [14]. However the differences between our study and the other study can be accepted.

We found a significant relationship between MNG and activity of acromegaly which is similar to what is found in other study like Uchoa et al. <sup>[14]</sup>. We also found a significant relationship between MNG and the size of pituitary macroadenoma.

We fail to found a relationship between goiter development, thyroid nodule and the last GH,IGF1, and disease duration that are similar to other studies<sup>[13,15]</sup>. The prevalence of thyroid cancer was (2.3%)in our study which is like other studies 0.8% [16] and 2.9% <sup>[10]</sup>, but these results are much less than other studies which found thyroid cancer in 11.8% <sup>[17]</sup> and 7.2% <sup>[11]</sup>.

Table.(1)shows the positive relationship between structural thyroid disease and different variables:

variable	all	normal	diffuse goiter	single thyroid	MNG
				nodule	
patients No.	70 (100%)	13(18.6%)	14(20%)	8(11.4%)	35(50%)
Age	46.2±10.9	44.6+/-10	46.5+/-8.4	45.8+/-9.6	46.7+/-12.5
Female	29(41.5%)	4(31%)	1(7%)	5(62%)	19(54%)
Male	41(58.5%)	9(69%)	13(93%)	3(38%)	16(46%)
P valuė̇́		0.38	0.003	0.19	0.028
Macroadenoma	62(88.5%)	10(77%)	12(85.7%)	6(75%)	34(97%)
Microadenoma	8(11.5%)	3(23%)	2(24.3%)	2(25%)	1(3%)
<i>P</i> value <sup>*</sup>		0.14	0.7	0.19	0.02
Active disease	58(82.8%)	9(70%)	11(78.5%)	7(87.5%)	32(91.4%)
Inactive disease	12(17.2%)	4(30%)	3(21.5%)	1(12.5%)	3(8.6%)
P value <sup>*</sup>		0.14	0.63	0.7	0.05
smokers	13	2(15%)	6(43%)	2(25%)	3(8.5%)
Non smokers	57	11(85%)	8(57%)	6(75%)	32(91.5%)
<i>P</i> value <sup>*</sup>		0.74	0.008	0.61	0.03

Table (2): The negative relationship between thyroid disease and different variables:

ariable		all	normal	diffuse goiter	single thyroid nodule	MNG
Patients No.		70 (100%)	13(18.6%)	14(20%)	8(11.4%)	35(50%)
Last GH			4.7+/-7.7	5.1+/-9	4.7+/-5.4	4.5+/-5.7
P value†			0.489	0.42	0.49	0.818
Last IGF1			343+/-235	412.4+/-308.4	403.9+/-329	423+/-278
P value†			0.166	0.45	0.49	0.563
<b>Duration of disease</b>						
≤10years		52(74%)	11(85%)	8(57%)	6(75%)	27(77%)
>10years		18(36%)	3(15%)	6(43%)	2(25%)	8(23%)
P valuč			0.34	0.1	0.96	0.58
history of hypophysectomy			4(30%)	6(43%)	6(75%)	20(57%)
P valuč			0.09	0.47	0.15	0.33
Family history of	present	17(24%)	0(0%)	3(21.4%)	3(37.5%)	11(31.4%)
thyroid disease	absent	53 (76%)	13 (100%)	11(78.6%)	5(62.5%)	24 (68.6%)
P value*			0.14	0.7	0.35	0.16
*Done by Chi square t †Done by Student's u						

Table(3): The relationship between nodular thyroid disease characteristics and different variables:

variable		benign nodule by US*	suspecious nodule by US	benign nodule by FNA †	Suspicious nodule by FNA	malignant after surger
No. of patients (%out of all nodular thyroid disease)		37(86%)	6(14%)	19(44.2%)	4(9.3%)	1(2.3%)
Age		46.5+/-11.5	47.1+/-15.5	49.4+10.7	46.7	46
Female		20(54%)	4(66.7%)	10(52%)	2(50%	1
Male		17(46%)	2(33.3%)	9(48%)	2(50%)	0
P value ‡		0.023	0.18	0.24	0.72	
family history of	present	13(35%)	1(17%)	6(31%)	1(25%)	0
thyroid disease	absent	24(65%)	5(83%)	13(69%)	3(75%)	1(100%)
P value‡		0.02	0.64	0.38	0.9	
family history of malignancy	present	6(16%)	1(17%)	4(21%)	0	0
	absent	31(84%)	5(83%)	15(79%)	4(100%)	1(100%)
P value ‡		0.82	0.01	0.59		
Last IGF1		454.1+/-289	209+/-113	483.9+/-341	208/-+143	137
P value §		0.11	0.003	0.28	0.03	
history of hypophysectomy		21(56.7%)	5(83%)	10(52%)	4(100%)	1(100%)
P value‡		0.34	0.1	0.9	0.04	0.32
Microadenoma		3(8%)	1(17%)	1(5%)	1(25%)	0(0%)
macroadenoma		34(92%)	5(83%)	18(95%)	3(75%)	1(100%)
P value ‡		0.35	0.67	0.32	0.37	0.71
Active disease		33(89%)	5(83%)	16(84%)	3(75%)	0
Inactive disease		4(11%)	1(17%)	3(16%)	1(25%)	1
P value ‡		0.13	0.97	0.85	0.66	0.07
Last GH		4.5+5.6	4.8+/-5.9	3.89+/-5.1	6+/-7	0.2
P value §		0.796	0.94	0.59	0.82	

<sup>†</sup> FNA:Fine Needle Aspirate

### **Conclusion**

The prevalence of structural thyroid disease are increased in Iraqi patients with acromegaly compared to the general population in form of goiter[ both diffuse &nodular types ]mainly MNG, increased thyroid nodules

of suspicious ultrasound & cytological characteristics. While the rate of thyroid cancer is not significantly different from that in general population.

**Ethical Clearance:** The Research Ethical Committee at scientific research by ethical approval of

<sup>§</sup> Done by Student's unpaired t-test

both environmental and health and higher education and scientific research ministries in Iraq

**Conflict of Interest**: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: Self-funding

#### References

- Melmed S. Acromegaly. N Engl J Med. 1990;322:966-77
- Melmed S. Medical progress: acromegaly. N Engl J Med. 2006;355: 2558-2573.
- 3. M. Eszlinger, K. Krohn, J. Kratzsch, C. Voigt, and R. Paschke, "Growth factor expression in cold and hot thyroid nodules," Thyroid, vol. 11, no. 2, article 11288981, pp. 125–135, 2001
- 4. Colao A, Ferone D, Marzullo P, Lombardi G. Systemic complications of acromegaly: epidemiology, pathogenesis, and management. Endocr Rev. 2004;25(1):102-52.
- 5. Loeper S, Ezzat S. Acromegaly: re-thinking the cancer risk. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2008;9(1):41-58.
- Siegel G, Tomer Y. Is there an association between acromegaly and thyroid carcinoma? A critical review of the literature. Endocr Res. 2005;31(1):51-8
- 7. Melmed S, Colao A, Barkan A, Molitch M, Grossman AB, Kleinberg D, Clemmons D, Chanson P, Laws E, Schlechte J et al. Guidelines for acromegaly management: an update. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2009 94 1509–1517. (doi:10.1210/jc.2008-2421
- 8. Haugen Bryan R.Alexander Erik .,Bible Keith C. 2015American thyroid association management guidelines for adults patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: the American thyroid association task force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer .thyroid. 2016;26(1):1-133.

- Gullu BE, Celik O, Gazioglu N, Kadioglu P. Thyroid cancer is the most common cancer associated with acromegaly. Pituitary.2010; 13: 242–248.
- Bałdys-Waligórska A, Krzentowska A, Gołkowski F, Sokołowski G, Hubalewska- Dydejczyk A. The prevalence of benign and malignant neoplasms in acromegalic patients. Endokrynol Pol.2010; 61: 29–34.
- 11. P. Tita, M. R. Ambrosio, C. Scollo. "High prevalence of differentiated thyroid carcinoma in acromegaly," ClinicalEndocrinology, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 161–167, 2005.
- 12. Anagnostis P, Efstathiadou ZA, Polyzos SA, Adamidou F, Slavakis A. Acromegaly: presentation, morbidity and treatment outcomes at a single centre. Int J Clin Pract.2011; 65: 896–902.
- 13. Dogan S, Atmaca A, Dagdelen S, Erbas B, Erbas T: Evaluation of thyroid diseases and differentiated thyroid cancer in acromegalic patients. Endocrine .2014, 45(1):114-121.
- Uchoa HB, Lima GA, Corrêa LL, Vidal AP, Cavallieri SA, Vaisman M, Buescu A, Gadelha MR: Prevalence of thyroid diseases in patients with acromegaly: experience of a Brazilian center. Arq Brasil Endocrinol Metab. 2013, 57(9):685-690.
- Reverter JL, Fajardo C, Resmini E, Salinas I, Mora M. (2014) Benign and Malignant Nodular Thyroid Disease in Acromegaly. Is a Routine Thyroid Ultrasound Evaluation Advisable? PLoS ONE 9(8): e104174. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104174.
- Bolanowski M, Zatonska K, Kaluzny M, Zielinski G, Bednarek-Tupikowska G. A follow-up of 130 patients with acromegaly in a single centre. Neuro Endocrinol Lett .2006; 27: 828–832.
- 17. Rogozinski A, Furioso A, Glikman P, Junco M, Laudi R. Thyroid nodules in acromegaly. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol .2012;56: 300–304.