

## Bivariate Generalized Double Weighted Exponential Distribution

In this article we suggest a bivariate generalized double weighted exponential distribution with discussion some of its properties, such as joint probability density function and its marginal , joint reliability function, the mathematical expectation , the marginal moment generating function and, we use the maximum likelihood method to estimate its parameters.
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## 1. Introduction

Abed Al-Kadim and Hantoosh [1] introduced the double weighted distribution and double weighted exponential (DWE) distribution.

So that our object of this article is to display a bivariate generalized double weighted exponential (BGDWE) distribution, which is a special case of the multivariate distributions. Its marginals are generalized double weighted exponential (GDWE) distribution by using the method similar to those used by Marshall and Olkin [2], Sarhan and Balakrishnan [3] defined a new bivariate distribution using generalized distribution and exponential distribution and derived some properties of this new distribution , Al-Khedhairi and El-Gohary [4] presented a class of bivariate Gompertz distributions, Kundu and Gupta [5] proposed the bivariate generalized exponential distribution, El-Sherpieny et al. [6] presented a new bivariate distribution with generalized gompertz marginals and Davarzani et al. [7] studied the bivariate lifetime geometric distribution in presence of cure fractions.

## Plan of the Article:

In this article, we define the BVGDWE distribution and discuss its different properties in Section 2. Section 3 present the reliability analysis. In Section 4 we introduce the mathematical expectation. In Section 5 we derive the marginal moment generating function. Section 6 obtains the parameter estimation using MLE. Finally, a conclusion for the results is given in Section 7.

## 2. Bivariate Generalized Double Weighted Exponential Distribution

Suppose $Y$ is a non-negative random variable with probability density function (PDF) ,then the double weighted exponential distribution by using probability density function is:
$f_{D W E}(y)=\frac{[w(y) f(y)] f(y)}{\mu_{w}}=\frac{w(y)[f(y)]^{2}}{\mu_{w}}, y>0$ and $\mu_{w}=E[w(y) f(y)]<\infty$
The first weight is $w(y)=y$ and the second is $f(y)$, where $f(y)$ is probability density function of exponential distribution.
Then
$f_{D W E}(y ; \lambda)=4 \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y}, y>0, \lambda>0$
also the cumulative distribution function is:
$F_{D W E}(y ; \lambda)=1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}$
The univariate GDWE distribution has the following PDF and CDF respectively for $y>0$;
$f_{G D W E}(y ; \alpha, \lambda)=4 \alpha \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y}\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha-1}$
$F_{G D W E}(y ; \alpha, \lambda)=\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha}$
where $\alpha>0$ and $\lambda>0$ are the shape and scale parameters respectively.Suppose that $D_{1} \sim \operatorname{GDWE}\left(\alpha_{1}, \lambda\right), D_{2} \sim \operatorname{GDWE}\left(\alpha_{2}, \lambda\right)$ and $D_{3} \sim \operatorname{GDWE}\left(\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$ and they are mutually independent . Here ${ }^{\prime} \sim^{\prime}$ means is distributed GDWE. Define $Y_{1}=\max \left(D_{1}, D_{3}\right)$ and $Y_{2}=\max \left(D_{2}, D_{3}\right)$. Then we say that the bivariate vector $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ has a bivariate generalized double weighted exponential distribution with the shape parameters $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ and the scale parameter $\lambda$. We will denote it by $\operatorname{BGDWE}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$.

### 2.1. The Joint Cumulative Distribution Function

We now introduce the joint distribution of random variables $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ considered the following theorem of the joint $\operatorname{CDF}$ of the $\operatorname{BGDWE}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$.
Theorem 2.1. [8] If $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right) \sim \operatorname{BGDWE}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$, then the joint $\operatorname{CDF}$ of $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ for $y_{1}>0, y_{2}>0$, is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
& F_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}} \\
& \times\left(1-2 \lambda t e^{-2 \lambda t}-e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)^{\alpha_{3}} \\
& \text { where } t=\min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof.
Since $\quad F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=P\left(Y_{1} \leq y_{1}, Y_{2} \leq y_{2}\right)$
we get $\quad F\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=P\left(\max \left(D_{1}, D_{3}\right) \leq y_{1}, \max \left(D_{2}, D_{3}\right) \leq y_{2}\right)$

$$
=P\left(D_{1} \leq y_{1}, D_{2} \leq y_{2}, D_{3} \leq \min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)
$$

where $D_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ are mutually independent, we readily obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & =P\left(D_{1} \leq y_{1}\right) P\left(D_{2} \leq y_{2}\right) P\left(D_{3} \leq \min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =F_{G D W E}\left(y_{1} ; \alpha_{1}, \lambda\right) F_{G D W E}\left(y_{2} ; \alpha_{2}, \lambda\right) F_{G D W E}\left(t ; \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4) into (6) we obtain (5) which completes the proof of the theorem 2.1.

### 2.2. The Joint Probability Density Function

Lemma 2.2. If $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right) \sim \operatorname{BGDWE}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$, then the joint $\operatorname{PDF}$ of $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ for $y_{1}>0, y_{2}>0$, is:
$f_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } 0<y_{1}<y_{2}<\infty \\ f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } 0<y_{2}<y_{1}<\infty \\ f_{3}(y, y) & \text { if } 0<y_{1}=y_{2}=y<\infty\end{cases}$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & f_{G D W E}\left(y_{1} ; \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right) f_{G D W E}\left(y_{2} ; \alpha_{2}, \lambda\right) \\
= & \left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) 16 \lambda^{4} y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}-1} \\
& \times \alpha_{2} y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}-1}  \tag{8}\\
f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & f_{G D W E}\left(y_{1} ; \alpha_{1}, \lambda\right) f_{G D W E}\left(y_{2} ; \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right) \\
= & \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) 16 \lambda^{4} y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}-1} \\
& \times y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1}  \tag{9}\\
f_{3}(y, y)= & \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}} f_{G D W E}\left(y ; \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right) \\
= & \left(\alpha_{3}\right) 4 \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y}\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

Let us first suppose that $y_{1}<y_{2}$. Then, $F_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ in (5) will be denoted by $F_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and becomes

$$
F_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}
$$

By taking $\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}}=f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$,we get equation (8). By the same way we find $f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ when $y_{2}<y_{1}$. But $f_{3}(y, y)$ cannot be derived in a similar way. Using the facts that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{y_{2}} f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{y_{1}} f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{2} d y_{1}+\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{3}(y, y) d y=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
T_{1}=\int_{o}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{y_{2}} f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} \text { and } T_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{y_{1}} f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) d y_{2} d y_{1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1}= & \int_{o}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{y_{2}}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) 16 \lambda^{4} y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}-1} \\
& \times \alpha_{2} y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}-1} d y_{1} d y_{2} \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2} 4 \lambda^{2} y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1} d y_{2} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly
$T_{2}=\int_{o}^{\infty} \alpha_{1} 4 \lambda^{2} y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1} d y_{1}$
By substituting (12) and (13) in equation (11), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{3}(y, y) d y= & \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) 4 \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y} \\
& \times\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{o}^{\infty} \alpha_{2} 4 \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y}\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1} d y \\
& -\int_{o}^{\infty} \alpha_{1} 4 \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y}\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

This is

$$
f_{3}(y, y)=\alpha_{3} 4 \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y}\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1}
$$

### 2.3. Marginal Probability Density Function

The following theorem gives the marginal density function of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$.
Theorem 2. 3. The marginal probability density functions of $Y_{i}(i=1,2)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right) & =\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) 4 \lambda^{2} y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}  \tag{15}\\
& =f_{G D W E}\left(y_{i} ; \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right), y_{i}>0,(i=1,2)
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

The marginal cumulative distribution function of $Y_{i}$, say $F_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right)$, written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right) & =P\left(Y_{i} \leq y_{i}\right) \\
& =P\left(\max \left(D_{i}, D_{3}\right) \leq y_{i}\right) \\
& =P\left(D_{i} \leq y_{i}, D_{3} \leq y_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $D_{i}$ is independent of $D_{3}$, we simply have

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right) & =\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}} \\
& =\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}}  \tag{16}\\
& =F_{G D W E}\left(y_{i} ; \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right) \quad y_{i}>0, i=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

By differentiating w.r.t. $y_{i}$, we get (15) .

### 2.4. Conditional Probability Density Functions

We present the conditional probability density functions of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ by using the marginal probability density functions in the following theorem.
Theorem 2.4. The conditional probability density functions of $Y_{i}$, given $Y_{j}=y_{j}$ denoted by $f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right), i, j=1,2 ; i \neq j$, is:
$f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}^{(1)}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right) & \text { if } y_{i}<y_{j} \\ f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}^{(2)}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right) & \text { if } y_{j}<y_{i} \\ f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}^{(3)}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right) & \text { if } y_{i}=y_{j}=y\end{cases}$
where
$f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}^{(1)}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right)=\frac{\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) \alpha_{j} 4 \lambda^{2} y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}}{\left(\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{3}\right)\left(1-2 \lambda y_{j} e^{-2 \lambda y_{j}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{j}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}}}$
$f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}^{(2)}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right)=\alpha_{i} 4 \lambda^{2} y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}-1}$
and
$f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}^{(3)}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{3}\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y_{-}}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}}{\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}$

## Proof.

We get (18),(19) and (20), using the joint PDF of $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ given in (7) and $f_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right)$ in (15) in the following formula :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right)=\frac{f_{Y_{i} / Y_{j}}\left(y_{i} / y_{j}\right)}{f_{Y_{j}}\left(y_{j}\right)}, i \neq j=1,2 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Reliability Analysis [9]

We discuss some reliability measures, the joint reliability function, joint hazard function and joint reversed hazard function .

### 3.1. The Joint Reliability Function

In the following Proposition, we find the joint reliability function of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$.
Proposition 3.1. The joint reliability function of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ is given by:

$$
R_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}R_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } y_{1}<y_{2}  \tag{22}\\ R_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } y_{2}<y_{1} \\ R_{3}(y, y) & \text { if } y_{1}=y_{2}=y\end{cases}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & 1-\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}} \\
& -\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \\
& +\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}  \tag{23}\\
R_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & 1-\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}} \\
& -\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \\
& +\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{3}(y, y)=1-\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}} \\
& \quad-\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}}+\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

The joint reliability function of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=1-\left[F_{Y_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right)+F_{Y_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)-F_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting from equation (16) and (5) in equation (26), we get
$R_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=1-\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \\
& +\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}} \\
& \times\left(1-2 \lambda t e^{-2 \lambda t}-e^{-2 \lambda t}\right)^{\alpha_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t=\min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$,
if $y_{1}<y_{2}$, we have obtain the expression of given in (23),
if $y_{2}<y_{1}$, we have obtain the expression of given in (24) and
if $y_{1}=y_{2}=y$ we have obtain the expression of given in (25).

### 3.2. Joint Hazard Function

Let $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ be two random variables with probability density function $f_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$. defined joint hazard function as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{f_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{R_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then , the joint hazard function is:

$$
h_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}h_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } y_{1}<y_{2}  \tag{28}\\ h_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } y_{2}<y_{1} \\ h_{3}(y, y) & \text { if } y_{1}=y_{2}=y\end{cases}
$$

if $y_{1}<y_{2}$, then
$h_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{R_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}$
where $f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ from equation (8) and $R_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ from equation (23),
if $y_{2}<y_{1}$, then
$h_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{R_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}$
where $f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ from equation (9) and $R_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ from equation (24),
if $y_{1}=y_{2}=y$, then
$h_{3}(y, y)=\frac{f_{3}(y, y)}{R_{3}(y, y)}$
where $f_{3}(y, y)$ from equation (10) and $R_{3}(y, y)$ from equation (25).

### 3.3. Joint Reversed Hazard Function

The joint reversed hazard function is defined as the ratio of the PDF and the corresponding CDF .

1. The joint reversed hazard function of $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{f_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)}{F_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that
$r_{B G D W E}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}r_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } y_{1}<y_{2} \\ r_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \text { if } y_{2}<y_{1} \\ r_{3}(y, y) & \text { if } y_{1}=y_{2}=y\end{cases}$
then

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & \left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) \alpha_{2} 16 \lambda^{4} y_{1} y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)} \\
& \times\left[\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)\right]^{-1}  \tag{34}\\
r_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) 16 \lambda^{4} y_{1} y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)} \\
& \times\left[\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1}}\right)\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2}}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{3}(y, y)=\alpha_{3} 4 \lambda^{2} y e^{-2 \lambda y}\left(1-2 \lambda y e^{-2 \lambda y}-e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{-1} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.The gradient vector of the joint reversed hazard function is given by:
$r\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(r_{Y_{1}}\left(y_{1}\right), r_{Y_{2}}\left(y_{2}\right)\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right)=\frac{f_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right)}{F_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right)}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \ln F_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right) \quad, i=1,2, \text { then }  \tag{37}\\
& r_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right)=\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) 4 \lambda^{2} y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{-1}, i=1,2 \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. The Mathematical Expectation

In the following Proposition, we can derive the mathematical expectation of $Y_{i},(i=1,2)$.
Proposition 4.1. If $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{GDWE}\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$, then the $r^{\text {th }}$ moment of $Y_{i}$ as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(Y_{i}^{r}\right)= & \left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j+k}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j}\binom{j}{k}(2 \lambda)^{2+k} \\
& \times \frac{\Gamma(r+k+2)}{\left(2 \lambda \alpha_{i}+2 \lambda \alpha_{3}-2 \lambda j+2 \lambda k\right)^{(r+k+2)}}, i=1,2 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(Y_{i}^{r}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} y_{i}^{r} f_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right) d y_{i} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) 4 \lambda^{2} y_{i}^{r+1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\left(\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1} d y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<\left(\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)<1$ for $y_{i}>0$, then by using the binomial series expansion we have
$\left(\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j}\left(e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\binom{j}{k}\left(2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{k} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(Y_{i}^{r}\right) & =\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) 4 \lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} y_{i}^{r+1} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j} \\
& \times\left(e^{-2 \lambda y}\right)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\binom{j}{k}\left(2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)^{k} d y_{i} \\
= & \left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j+k}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j}\binom{j}{k}(2 \lambda)^{2+k} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} y_{i}^{r+1+k} e^{-\left(2 \lambda \alpha_{i}+2 \lambda \alpha_{3}-2 \lambda j+2 \lambda k\right) y_{i}} d y_{i} \\
E\left(Y_{i}^{r}\right) & =\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j+k}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j}\binom{j}{k}(2 \lambda)^{2+k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\Gamma(r+k+2)}{\left(2 \lambda \alpha_{i}+2 \lambda \alpha_{3}-2 \lambda j+2 \lambda k\right)^{(r+k+2)}}
$$

Then the $r^{\text {th }}$ moment of $Y_{i}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(Y_{i}^{r}\right)= & \left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j+k}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j}\binom{j}{k}(2 \lambda)^{2+k} \\
& \times \frac{\Gamma(r+k+2)}{\left(2 \lambda \alpha_{i}+2 \lambda \alpha_{3}-2 \lambda j+2 \lambda k\right)^{(r+k+2)}}, i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. The Marginal Moment Generating Function

We find the marginal moment generating function of $Y_{i},(i=1,2)$ in the following lemma
Lemma 5.1. If $Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{GDWE}\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$, then the marginal moment generating function of $Y_{i},(i=1,2)$ as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{Y_{i}}\left(t_{i}\right)= & \left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j+k}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j}\binom{j}{k}(2 \lambda)^{2+k} \\
& \times \frac{t_{i}^{r} \Gamma(r+k+2)}{r!\left(2 \lambda \alpha_{i}+2 \lambda \alpha_{3}-2 \lambda j+2 \lambda k\right)^{(r+k+2)}} \quad, i=1,2 \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{Y_{i}}\left(t_{i}\right) & =E\left(e^{t_{i} y_{i}}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{t_{i} y_{i}} f_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right) d y_{i} \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{i}^{r}}{r!} \int_{0}^{\infty} y_{i}^{r} f_{Y_{i}}\left(y_{i}\right) d y_{i} \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{i}^{r}}{r!} E\left(Y_{i}^{r}\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1-j+k}\binom{\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{3}-1}{j}\binom{j}{k}(2 \lambda)^{2+k} \\
& \times \frac{t_{i}^{r} \Gamma(r+k+2)}{r!\left(2 \lambda \alpha_{i}+2 \lambda \alpha_{3}-2 \lambda j+2 \lambda k\right)^{(r+k+2)}} \quad, i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Maximum Likelihood Estimation

To estimate the unknown parameters of the BGDWE distribution, we use the method of maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs).
Let $\left(\left(Y_{11}, Y_{21}\right),\left(Y_{12}, Y_{22}\right), \ldots,\left(Y_{1 n}, Y_{2 n}\right)\right)$ is a random sample from $\operatorname{BGDWE}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)$, where
$n_{1}=\left(i ; Y_{1 i}<Y_{2 i}\right), n_{2}=\left(i ; Y_{1 i}>Y_{2 i}\right), n_{3}=\left(i ; Y_{1 i}=Y_{2 i}=Y_{i}\right), n=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \mathrm{n}_{k}$

By using the equations (8), (9),(10) and (43), we find that the likelihood of the sample as following:
$l\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n_{1}} f_{1}\left(y_{1 i}, y_{2 i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n_{2}} f_{2}\left(y_{1 i}, y_{2 i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n_{3}} f_{3}\left(y_{i}, y_{i}\right)$
The log-likelihood function becomes:
$L\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \lambda\right)=n_{1} \ln \left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)+n_{1} \ln (4)+2 n_{1} \ln (\lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \ln \left(y_{1 i}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left(y_{1 i}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}-1\right) \\
& \times \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{1 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}\right)+n_{1} \ln \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+n_{1} \ln (4)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+2 n_{1} \ln (\lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \ln \left(y_{2 i}\right)-2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left(y_{2 i}\right)+\left(\alpha_{2}-1\right)
$$

$$
\times \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{2 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}\right)+n_{2} \ln \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+n_{2} \ln (4)
$$

$$
+2 n_{2} \ln (\lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \ln \left(y_{1 i}\right)-2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}}\left(y_{1 i}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right)
$$

$$
\times \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{1 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}\right)+n_{2} \ln \left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)
$$

$$
+n_{2} \ln (4)+2 n_{2} \ln (\lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \ln \left(y_{2 i}\right)-2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}}\left(y_{2 i}\right)
$$

$$
+\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{2 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}\right)+n_{3} \ln \left(\alpha_{3}\right)
$$

$$
+n_{3} \ln (4)+2 n_{3} \ln (\lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}} \ln \left(y_{i}\right)-2 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}}\left(y_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the first partial derivatives of (44) with respect to $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and $\lambda$, and setting the results equal zero:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_{1}} & =\frac{n_{1}}{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{1 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}\right)+\frac{n_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{1 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)  \tag{45}\\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_{2}}= & \frac{n_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{2 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}\right)+\frac{n_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{2 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)  \tag{46}\\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_{3}}= & \frac{n_{1}}{\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{1 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}\right)+\frac{n_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{2 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}\right)+\frac{n_{3}}{\alpha_{3}} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}} \ln \left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}\right)  \tag{47}\\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}= & \frac{4 n_{1}}{\lambda}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left(y_{1 i}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{4 \lambda\left(y_{1 i} e^{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}\right.}{\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1 i} e^{\left.-2 \lambda y_{1 i}-e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}\right)}\right.} \\
& -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left(y_{2 i}\right)+\left(\alpha_{2}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{4 \lambda\left(y_{2 i}\right)^{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}}{\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}-e^{\left.-2 \lambda y_{2 i}\right)}}+\frac{4 n_{2}}{\lambda}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}}\left(y_{1 i}\right)\right.} \\
& +\left(\alpha_{1}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \frac{4 \lambda\left(y_{1 i}\right)^{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}}}{\left(1-2 \lambda y_{1 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{1 i}-e^{\left.-2 \lambda y_{1 i}\right)}}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}}\left(y_{2 i}\right)+\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3} 1\right)\right.} \\
& \times \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \frac{4 \lambda\left(y_{2 i}\right)^{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}}}{\left(1-2 \lambda y_{2 i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{2 i}-e^{\left.-2 \lambda y_{2 i}\right)}}+\frac{2 n_{3}}{\lambda}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}}\left(y_{i}\right)\right.} \\
& +\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}} \frac{4 \lambda\left(y_{i}\right)^{2} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}}}{\left(1-2 \lambda y_{i} e^{-2 \lambda y_{i}-e^{\left.-2 \lambda y_{i}\right)}}\right.} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations cannot easy to solve, but numerically by using the statistical software, to get the MLEs of the unknown parameters.

## 7. Conclusion

This article introduced the bivariate generalized double weighted exponential distribution whose marginals are generalized double weighted exponential distribution. Some statistical properties of this distribution. It is observed that the MLEs of the unknown parameters can be obtained by solving four non-linear equations using numerical technique.
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## الخلاصة

في هذا البحث نقترح التوزيع الاسي الموزون المضاعف المععم للمتغيرين مع مناقشة بعض ، الما
 الاالة المولدة للعزوم الهامشية وفي النهاية ، نستخذم طريقة الامكان الاعظم لتقنير معلماته.

الكلمات المفتاحية
التوزيع الاسي الموزون المضاعف المععم ، دالة الكثافة الاحتمالية الشرطية ، دالة الموثوقية المشتركة ، مقرارات الالمكان الاعظم .

