

New Characterization Of Kernel Set in Topological Spaces

Luay A. Al-Swidi

Mathematics Department, College of Education For Pure Sciences

University of Babylon

E-mail: drluayha1@yahoo.com

Basim Mohammed M.

Mathematics Department, College of Education For Pure Sciences

University of Babylon

E-mail: Bassm_na73@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

In this paper we introduce a kernelled point, boundary kernelled point and derived kernelled point of a subset A of X , and using these notions to define kernel set of topological spaces. Also we introduce kr -topological space. The investigation enables us to present some new separation axioms between T_0 and T_1 -spaces.

Key: kernelled point, boundary kernelled point, derived kernelled point, Kernel set, weak separation axioms, R_0 -space.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In the recent papers kernel of a set A ($\ker(A)$) of a topological space defined as the intersection of all open superset of A . [2],[3].

In this paper we introduce that $x \in X$ is a kernelled point of a subset A of X (Briefly $x \in \ker(A)$). Also we present the notions boundary kernelled point of A denoted it $x \in kr_{bd}(A)$, and x is derived kernelled point of A denoted it $kr_{dr}(A)$, we obtain that the kernel of a set A in topological space (X, T) is a union of A itself with the set of all boundary kernelled points (Briefly $kr_{bd}(A)$). Also it is a union of A itself with the set of all derived kernelled points (Briefly $kr_{dr}(A)$), and we gave some result of R_0 -space [1], [2], [4], by using these notions.

Also in this paper we introduce kr -topological space iff kernel of a subset A of X is an open set. Via this kind of topological space we give a new characterization of separation axioms lying between T_0 and T_1 -spaces.

Definition 1.1 [1, 2, 4]

A topological space (X, T) is called an R_0 -space if for each open set U and $x \in U$ then $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$.

Lemma 1.2 [2]

Let (X, T) be topological space then $x \in cl\{y\}$ iff $y \in \ker\{x\}$. for each $x \neq y \in X$

Theorem 1.3 [5]

A topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space if and only if for each $x \in X$ then $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$.

2. Kernel set

Definition 2.1

Let (X, T) be a topological space. A point x is said to be kernelled point of A (Briefly $x \in \ker(A)$) iff for each F closed set contains x , then $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.2

Let (X, T) be a topological space. A point x is said to be boundary kernelled point of A (Briefly $x \in \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$) iff for each F closed set contains x , then $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $F \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.3

Let (X, T) be a topological space. A point x is said to be derived kernelled point of A (Briefly $x \in \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$) iff for each F closed set contains x , then $A \cap F / \{x\} \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.4

We can define $\text{ker}\{x\}$ as follows $\text{ker}\{x\} = \{y: x \in F_y, F_y^c \in T\}$.

Theorem 2.5

Let (X, T) be a topological space and $x \neq y \in X$. Then x is a kernelled point of $\{y\}$ iff y is an adherent point of $\{x\}$.

Proof

Let x be a kernelled point of $\{y\}$. Then for every closed set F such that $x \in F$ implies $y \in F$, then $y \in \bigcap \{F: x \in F\}$, this means $y \in \text{cl}\{x\}$. Thus y is an adherent point of $\{x\}$.

Conversely

Let y be an adherent point of $\{x\}$. Then for every open set U such that $y \in U$ implies $x \in U$, then $x \in \bigcap \{U: y \in U\}$, this means $y \in \text{ker}\{x\}$. Thus x is a kernelled point of $\{y\}$.

Theorem 2.6

Let (X, T) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$ and let $\text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$ be the set of all kernelled derived point of A , then $\text{ker}(A) = A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$.

Proof

Let $x \in A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$ and if $x \in \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$, then for every closed set F intersects A (in a point different from x). Therefore $x \in \text{ker}\{x\}$. Hence $\text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A) \subseteq \text{ker}(A)$, it follows that $A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A) \subseteq \text{ker}(A)$.

To demonstrate the reverse inclusion, we let x be a point of $\text{ker}(A)$. If $x \in A$, then $x \in A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$. Suppose that $x \notin A$. Since $x \in \text{ker}(A)$, then for every closed set F containing x implies $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$, this means $A \cap F / \{x\} \neq \emptyset$. Then $x \in \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$, so that $x \in A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$. Hence $\text{ker}(A) \subseteq A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$. Thus $\text{ker}(A) = A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{dr}}(A)$.

Theorem 2.7

Let (X, T) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$ and let $\text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$ be the set of all kernelled boundary point of A , then $\text{ker}(A) = A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$.

Proof

Let $x \in A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$ and if $x \in \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$, then for every closed set F intersects A . Therefore $x \in \text{ker}\{x\}$. Hence $\text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A) \subseteq \text{ker}(A)$, it follows that $A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A) \subseteq \text{ker}(A)$.

To demonstrate the reverse inclusion, we let x be a point of $\text{ker}(A)$. If $x \in A$, then $x \in A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$. Suppose that $x \notin A$. implies $x \in A^c$. Since $x \in \text{ker}(A)$, then for every closed set F containing x implies $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $F \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$. Then $x \in \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$, so that $x \in A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$. Hence $\text{ker}(A) \subseteq A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$. Thus $\text{ker}(A) = A \cup \text{kr}_{\text{bd}}(A)$.

Theorem 2.8

Let (X, T) be a topological space and A is a subset of X . then A is an open set iff every x kernelled point of A is an interior point of A .

Proof

Let A be an open set, then $\ker(A) = A = \text{int}(A)$, implies every kernelled point is an interior point.

Conversely

Let every x kernelled point of A is an interior point of A . Then $\ker(A) \subseteq \text{int}(A)$. Hence $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \ker(A)$, implies $\text{int}(A) = A = \ker(A)$. Thus A is an open set

Corollary 2.9

A subset A of X is an open set iff for each x kernelled point then $x \notin \text{cl}(A^c)$.

Proof

By theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.10

A subset A of X is a closed set iff for each $\ker(A^c) \cap \text{cl}(A) = \emptyset$.

Proof

Let A is a closed set. Then A^c is an open set, implies $A^c = \ker(A^c)$ [By theorem 2.8]. Hence $A = \text{cl}(A)$. Thus $\ker(A^c) \cap \text{cl}(A) = \emptyset$.

Conversely

Let $\ker(A^c) \cap \text{cl}(A) = \emptyset$, then for each $x \in \ker(A^c)$, implies $x \notin \text{cl}(A)$, implies $x \in \text{ext}(A)$. Therefore $x \in \text{int}(A^c)$. Hence by theorem 2.8, A^c is an open set. Thus A is a closed set.

Corollary 2.11

Every interior point is a kernelled point.

Proof

Clearly.

Theorem 2.12

A topological space (X, T) is an R_0 -space iff every adherent point of $\{x\}$ is a kernelled point of $\{x\}$.

Proof

Let (X, T) be an R_0 -space. Then for each $x \in X$, $\ker\{x\} = \text{cl}\{x\}$ [By theorem 1.2]. Thus every adherent point of $\{x\}$ is a kernelled point of $\{x\}$

Conversely

Let every adherent point of $\{x\}$ is a kernelled point of $\{x\}$ and let $U \subseteq T$, $x \in U$. Then $\text{cl}\{x\} \subseteq \ker\{x\}$ for each $x \in X$. Since $\ker\{x\} = \bigcap \{U : U \in T, x \in U\}$, implies $\text{cl}\{x\} \subseteq U$ for each U open set contains x . Thus (X, T) is an R_0 -space.

Theorem 2.13

A topological space (X, T) is T_0 -space iff for each $x \neq y \in X$, either x is not kernelled point of $\{y\}$ or y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$.

Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) is T_0 -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$ there exist an open set U such that $x \in U$, $y \notin U$ (say), implies $y \in U^c$. Hence U^c is a closed, then y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$. Thus either x is not kernelled point of $\{y\}$ or y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$.

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$, either x is not kernelled point of $\{y\}$ or y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$. Then there exist a closed set F such that $x \in F, F \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$ or $y \in F, F \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$, implies $x \notin F^c, y \in F^c$ or $x \in F^c, y \notin F^c$. Hence F^c is an open set. Thus (X, T) is T_0 -space.

Theorem 2.14

A topological space (X, T) is an T_1 -space iff $kr_{dr}\{x\} = \emptyset$, for each $x \in X$.

Proof

Let (X, T) be an T_1 -space. Then for each $x \in X$, $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ [By theorem 1.3]. since $kr_{dr}\{x\} = \ker\{x\} - \{x\}$. Thus $kr_{dr}\{x\} = \emptyset$

Conversely

Let $kr_{dr}\{x\} = \emptyset$. By theorem 2.5, $\ker\{x\} = \{x\} \cup kr_{dr}\{x\}$, implies $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$. Hence by theorem 1.3, (X, T) is a T_1 -space.

Theorem 2.15

A topological space (X, T) is T_1 -space iff for each $x \neq y \in X$, x is not kernelled point of $\{y\}$ and y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$.

Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) is T_1 -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$ there exist open sets U, V such that $x \in U, y \notin U$ and $y \in V, x \notin V$, implies $x \in V^c, \{y\} \cap V^c = \emptyset$ and $y \in U^c, \{x\} \cap U^c = \emptyset$. Hence U^c and V^c are closed sets, then y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$. Thus x is not kernelled point of $\{y\}$ and y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$.

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$, x is not kernelled point of $\{y\}$ and y is not kernelled point of $\{x\}$. Then there exist a closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $x \in F_1, F_1 \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$ and $y \in F_2, F_2 \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$, implies $x \in F_2^c, y \notin F_2^c$ and $y \in F_1^c, x \notin F_1^c$. Hence F_1^c and F_2^c are open sets. Thus (X, T) is T_1 -space.

3. kr –spaces**Definition 3.1**

A topological space (X, T) is said to be kr -space iff for each subset A of X then $\ker(A)$ is an open set.

Definition 3.2

A topological kr -space (X, T) is said to be T_k -space iff for each subset $x \in X$, then $kr_{dr}\{x\}$ is an open set.

Theorem 3.3

In topological kr -space (X, T) , every T_1 -space is T_k -space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a T_1 -space. Then for each $x \in X$, $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ [By theorem 2.10]. As $kr_{dr}\{x\} = \ker\{x\} - \{x\}$, implies $kr_{dr}\{x\} = \emptyset$. Thus (X, T) is a T_k -space.

Theorem 3.4

In topological kr -space (X, T) , every T_k -space is a T_0 -space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a T_k -space and let $x \neq y \in X$. Then $kr_{dr}\{x\}$ is an open set. Therefore there exist two cases:

- i) $y \in kr_{dr}\{x\}$ is an open set. Since $x \notin kr_{dr}\{x\}$. Thus (X, T) is a T_0 -space
- ii) $y \notin kr_{dr}\{x\}$, implies $y \notin \ker\{x\}$. But $\ker\{x\}$ is an open set. Thus (X, T) is a T_0 -space.

Definition 3.5

A topological kr -space (X, T) is said to be T_L -space iff for each $x \neq y \in X$, $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\}$ is degenerated (empty or singleton set).

Theorem 3.6

In topological kr -space (X, T) , every T_1 -space is T_L -space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a T_1 -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$, $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ and $\ker\{y\} = \{y\}$ [By theorem 1.3], implies $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$. Thus (X, T) is a T_L -space..

Theorem 3.7

In topological kr -space (X, T) , every T_L -space. is a T_0 -space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a T_L -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$, $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\}$ is degenerated (empty or singleton set). Therefore there exist three cases:

- i) $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$, implies (X, T) is a T_0 -space
- ii) $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \{x\}$ or $\{y\}$, implies $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ or $x \notin \ker\{y\}$, implies (X, T) is a T_0 -space.
- iii) $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \{z\}$, $z \neq x \neq y$, $z \in X$, implies $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ and $x \notin \ker\{y\}$, implies (X, T) is a T_0 -space.

Definition 3.8

A topological kr -space (X, T) is said to be T_N -space iff for each $x \neq y \in X$, $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\}$ is empty or $\{x\}$ or $\{y\}$.

Theorem 3.9

In topological kr -space (X, T) , every T_1 -space is T_N - space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a T_N -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$, $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ and $\ker\{y\} = \{y\}$ [By theorem 1.3], implies $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$. Thus (X, T) is a T_N -space.

Theorem 3.10

In topological kr -space (X, T) , every T_N -space. is a T_0 -space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a T_N -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$, $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\}$ is degenerated (empty or singleton set). Therefore there exist two cases:

- i) $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$, implies (X, T) is a T_0 -space
- ii) $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \{x\}$ or $\{y\}$, implies $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ or $x \notin \ker\{y\}$, implies (X, T) is a T_0 -space.

Theorem 3.11

A topological kr -space (X, T) is T_2 -space iff for each $x \neq y \in X$, then $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$

Proof

Let a topological kr -space (X, T) is T_2 -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$ there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $x \in U$, and $y \in V$. Hence $\ker\{x\} \subseteq U$ and $\ker\{y\} \subseteq V$. Thus $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$, $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$. Since (X, T) be a topological kr -space, this means kernel is an open set. Thus (X, T) is T_2 -space.

Theorem 3.12

A topological kr -space (X, T) is a regular space iff for each F closed set and $x \notin F$, then $\ker(F) \cap \ker\{x\} = \emptyset$

Proof

By the same way of proof of theorem 3.11

Theorem 3. 13

A topological kr -space (X, T) is a normal space iff for each disjoint closed sets G, H , then $\ker(G) \cap \ker(H) = \emptyset$

Proof

By the same way of proof of theorem 3.11

REFERENCES

- [1] A.S.Davis. Indexed system of Neighborhood for general Topology Amer. Math. Soc. (9) 68(1961), 886-893.
- [2] Bishwambhar Roy and M.N.Mukherjee. A unified theory for R_0, R_1 and certain other separation properties and their variant forms, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (3s) v.28.2(2010):15-24
- [3] M.L.Colasante and D.V. Zypen. Minimal regular and minimal presober topologies, Revista Notas de Matematica, Vol.5(1),No.275.2009,P.73-84
- [4] N. A. Shanin, On separation in topological spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 38 (1943), 110-113.
- [5] L. A. Al-Swidi and B. Mohammed, Separation axiom via kernel set, Archive des sciences, Vol 65, No. 7(2012), pp 41-48