

Separation Axioms Via Kernel Set in Topological Spaces

Luay A. Al-Swidi

Mathematics Department, College of Education For Pure Sciences

University of Babylon

E-mail: drluayhal@yahoo.com

Basim Mohammed M.

Mathematics Department, College of Education For Pure Sciences

University of Babylon

E-mail: Bassm_na73@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper deals with the relation between the separation axioms T_i -space, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ and R_i -space $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ throughout kernel set associated with the closed set. Then we prove some theorems related to them.

Keywords: separation axioms, Kernel set and weak separation axioms.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1943, N.A. Shainin [4] offered a new weak separation axiom called R_0 to the world of the general topology. In 1961, A.S. Davis [1] rediscovered this axiom and he gave several interesting characterizations of it. He defined R_0 , R_1 and R_2 entirely. He did not submit clear definition of R_3 -space but stated it throughout this note: (But the usual definition of "normality" must be modified slightly if R_3 is to be the axiom for normal spaces.)

The present study presents the definition of R_3 -spaces as follows: (A topological space is called an R_3 -space iff it is normal space and R_1 -space). This definition of R_3 -space satisfied with: Every R_3 is an R_2 -spaces. On the other hand (X, T) is a T_4 -space if and only if it is an R_3 -space and T_{k-1} -space, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

We proved R_i -spaces, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, by using kernel set [2,5] associated with the closed set. We prove the topological space is a T_0 -space if and only if either $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ or $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ for each $x \neq y \in X$. and a topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space if and only if for each $x \neq y \in X$, then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$, also (X, T) is a T_1 -space iff $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$, and by using kernel set, we states the relation between T_i -spaces $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and R_i -spaces $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Definition 1.1.[2]

The intersection of all open subset of (X, T) containing A is called the kernel of A (briefly $\ker(A)$), this means $\ker(A) = \bigcap \{G \in T : A \subseteq G\}$

Definition 1.2.[1,2]

A topological space (X, T) is called an R_0 -space if for each open set U and $x \in U$ then $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$.

Definition 1.3.[1,2]

A topological space (X, T) is called an R_1 -space if for each two distinct point x, y of X with $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$, there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$ and $cl\{y\} \subseteq V$.

Corollary 1.4.[2]

Let (X, T) be a topological space. Then (X, T) is R_0 -space if and only if, $cl\{x\} = \ker\{x\}$, for each $x \in X$.

Definition 1.5 [1]

A topological space (X, T) is called an R_2 -space are those which are property regular space.

Remark 1.6 [1]

The usual definition of "normality" must be modified slightly if R_3 is to be the axiom for normal spaces

Remark 1.7 [1]

Each separation axiom is defined as the conjunction of two weaker axioms: T_k -space = R_{k-1} -space and T_{k-1} -space = R_{k-1} -space and T_0 -space

Remark 1.8 [1]

Every R_k -space is an R_{k-1} -space.

Theorem 1.9 [3]

Every compact Hausdorff space is a T_3 -space (and consequently regular).

Theorem 1.10 [3]

Every compact Hausdorff space is a normal space (T_4 -space).

Lemma 1.11 [2]

Let (X, T) be topological space then $x \in cl\{y\}$ iff $y \in ker\{x\}$. for each $x \neq y \in X$

2. R_i -Spaces, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ **Theorem 2.1**

A topological space (X, T) is an R_0 -space if and only if for each F closed set and $x \in F$ then $ker\{x\} \subseteq F$.

Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) be a R_0 -space and F be a closed set and $x \in F$. Then for each $y \notin F$ implies $y \in F^c$ is open set, then $cl\{y\} \subseteq F^c$ [since (X, T) is R_0 -space], so $x \notin cl\{y\}$. Hence by theorem 1.11, $y \notin ker\{x\}$. Thus $ker\{x\} \subseteq F$

Conversely

Let for each F closed set and $x \in F$ then $ker\{x\} \subseteq F$ and let $U \in T$, $x \in U$ then for each $y \notin U$ implies $y \in U^c$ is a closed set implies $ker\{y\} \subseteq U^c$. Therefore $x \notin ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin cl\{x\}$ [By theorem 1.11]. So $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$. Thus (X, T) is an R_0 -space.

Corollary 2.2

A topological space (X, T) is an R_0 -space if and only if for each U open set and $x \in U$ then $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$.

Theorem 2.3

A topological space (X, T) is an R_1 -space if and only if for each $x \neq y \in X$ with $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$ then there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1, ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2, ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$

Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) be an R_1 -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$ with $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$. Since every R_1 -space is an R_0 -space [by remark 1.8, hence by theorem 1.4, $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$], then there exist open sets G_1, G_2 such that $cl\{x\} \subseteq G_1$ and $cl\{y\} \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ [Since (X, T) is R_1 -space], then G_1^c and G_2^c are closed sets such that $G_1^c \cup G_2^c = X$. Put $F_1 = G_1^c$ and $F_2 = G_2^c$. Thus $x \in G_1 \subseteq F_2$ and $y \in G_2 \subseteq F_1$ so that $ker\{x\} \subseteq G_1 \subseteq F_2$ and $ker\{y\} \subseteq G_2 \subseteq F_1$.

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$ with $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$, there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1, ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2, ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$, then F_1^c and F_2^c are

open sets such that $F_1^c \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$. Put $F_1^c = G_2$ and $F_2^c = G_1$. Thus $\ker\{x\} \subseteq G_1$ and $\ker\{y\} \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$, so that $x \in G_1$ and $y \in G_2$ implies $x \notin cl\{y\}$ and $y \notin cl\{x\}$, then $cl\{x\} \subseteq G_1$ and $cl\{y\} \subseteq G_2$. Thus (X, T) is an R_1 -space.

Corollary 2.4

A topological space (X, T) is an R_1 -space if and only if for each $x \neq y \in X$ with $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$ then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $cl(\ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $cl(\ker\{y\}) \subseteq V$

Proof

Let (X, T) be an R_1 -space and let $x \neq y \in X$ with $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$, then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$ and $cl\{y\} \subseteq V$.

Also (X, T) is R_0 -space [by remark 1.8] implies for each $x \in X$, then $cl\{x\} = \ker\{x\}$ [By theorem 1.4], but $cl\{x\} = cl(cl\{x\}) = cl(\ker\{x\})$. Thus $cl(\ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $cl(\ker\{y\}) \subseteq V$

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$ with $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$ then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $cl(\ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $cl(\ker\{y\}) \subseteq V$. Since $\{x\} \subseteq \ker\{x\}$ then $cl\{x\} \subseteq cl(\ker\{x\})$ for each $x \in X$ So we get $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$ and $cl\{y\} \subseteq V$

Theorem 2.5

A topological space (X, T) is a regular space (R_2 -space) if and only if for each closed subset G of X and $x \notin G$ with $\ker(G) \neq \ker\{x\}$ then there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $\ker(G) \subseteq F_1, \ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker\{x\} \subseteq F_2, \ker\{x\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$

Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) be a regular space (R_2 -space) and let G be a closed set, $x \notin G$, then there exist open sets U, V such that $G \subseteq U, x \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, then U^c and V^c are closed sets such that $U^c \cup V^c = X$. Put $F_2 = U^c$ and $F_1 = V^c$, so we get $\ker(G) \subseteq U \subseteq F_1, \ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker\{x\} \subseteq V \subseteq F_2, \ker\{x\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$.

Conversely

Let for each closed subset G of X and $x \notin G$ with $\ker(G) \neq \ker\{x\}$ then there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $\ker(G) \subseteq F_1, \ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker\{x\} \subseteq F_2, \ker\{x\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$. Then F_1^c and F_2^c are open sets such that $F_1^c \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$ and $\ker(G) \cap F_1^c = \emptyset, \ker\{x\} \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$, so that $G \subseteq F_2^c$ and $x \in F_1^c$. Thus (X, T) is a regular space (R_2 -space).

Lemma 2.6

Let (X, T) be a regular space and F be a closed set. Then $\ker(F) = cl(F) = F$.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a regular space and F be a closed set. Then for each $x \notin F$, there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$. Since $\ker(F) \subseteq U$, implies $\ker(F) \cap V = \emptyset$, thus $x \notin cl(\ker(F))$. We showing that if $x \notin F$ implies $x \notin cl(\ker(F))$, therefore $cl(\ker(F)) \subseteq cl(F) = F$. As $cl(F) = F \subseteq \ker(F)$ [By definition 1.1]. Thus $\ker(F) = cl(F) = F$.

Theorem 2.7

A topological space (X, T) is a regular space (R_2 -space) if and only if for each closed subset F of X and $x \notin F$ with $cl(\ker(F)) \neq cl(\ker\{x\})$ then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $cl(\ker(F)) \subseteq U$ and $cl(\ker\{x\}) \subseteq V$.

Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) be a regular space (R_2 -space) and let F be a closed set, $x \notin F$. Then there exist disjoint open set U, V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$. By lemma 2.6, $cl(\ker(F)) = cl(F) = F$. in the other hand (X, T) is an R_0 -space [By remark 1.8]. Hence, by theorem 1.4, $cl\{x\} = \ker\{x\}$ for each $x \in X$. Thus $cl(\ker(F)) \subseteq U$ and $cl(\ker\{x\}) \subseteq V$.

Conversely

Let for each closed set F and $x \notin F$ with $cl(\ker(F)) \neq cl(\ker\{x\})$ then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $cl(\ker(F)) \subseteq U$ and $cl(\ker\{x\}) \subseteq V$. Then $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$. Thus (X, T) a regular space(R_2 -space).

Definition 2.8

A topological space (X, T) is an R_3 -space if and only if (X, T) is a normal and R_1 -space.

Theorem 2.9

Every R_3 -space is a regular space(R_2 -space).

Proof

Let F be a closed and $x \notin F$. Then $x \in F^c$ is an open set implies for each $y \in F$, $y \notin \ker\{x\}$, therefore $\ker\{x\} \neq \ker\{y\}$. Then there exist closed sets G_y, H_y such that $\ker\{y\} \subseteq G_y, \ker\{y\} \cap H_y = \emptyset$ and $\ker\{x\} \subseteq H_y, \ker\{x\} \cap G_y = \emptyset$ [Since (X, T) is R_1 -space by assumption and by theorem 2.3], let $\beta = \bigcap \{H_y : x \in H_y\}$, is a closed set such that $\beta \cap F = \emptyset$. Hence (X, T) is a normal space then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $\beta \subseteq V$, so that $x \in V$. Thus (X, T) is a regular space.

3. T_i -Spaces, $i = 0, 1, \dots, 4$

Theorem 3.1

A topological space (X, T) is a T_0 -space if and only if either $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ or $x \notin \ker\{y\}$, for each $x \neq y \in X$.

Proof

Let (X, T) is a T_0 -space then for each $x \neq y \in X$, there exists an open set G such that $x \in G, y \notin G$ or $x \notin G, y \in G$. Thus either $x \in G, y \notin G$ implies $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ or $x \notin G, y \in G$ implies $x \notin \ker\{y\}$.

Conversely

Let either $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ or $x \notin \ker\{y\}$, for each $x \neq y \in X$. Then there exists an open set G such that $x \in G, y \notin G$ or $x \notin G, y \in G$. Thus (X, T) is a T_0 space.

Theorem 3.2

A topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space if and only if for each $x \neq y \in X$, $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ and $x \notin \ker\{y\}$

Proof

Let (X, T) is a T_1 -space then for each $x \neq y \in X$, there exists an open sets U, V such that $x \in U, y \notin U$ or $y \in V, x \notin V$. Implies $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ and $x \notin \ker\{y\}$.

Conversely

Let $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ and $x \notin \ker\{y\}$, for each $x \neq y \in X$. Then there exists an open sets U, V such that $x \in U, y \notin U$ and $y \in V, x \notin V$. Thus (X, T) is a T_1 -space.

Theorem 3.3

A topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space if and only if for each $x \in X$ then $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$.

Proof

Let (X, T) is a T_1 -space and let $\ker\{x\} \neq \{x\}$, then $\ker\{x\}$ contains another point distinct from x say y . So $y \in \ker\{x\}$. Hence by theorem 3.2, (X, T) is not a T_1 -space this is contradiction. Thus $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$

Conversely

Let $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$, for each $x \in X$ and let (X, T) is not a T_1 -space. Then $y \in \ker\{x\}$ (say) [By theorem 3.2], implies $\ker\{x\} \neq \{x\}$, this is contradiction. Thus (X, T) is a T_1 -space.

Theorem 3.4

A topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space if and only if for each $x \neq y \in X$ implies $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$.

Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) be a T_1 -space. Then $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ and $\ker\{y\} = \{y\}$ [By theorem 3.3]. Thus $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$.

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$ implies $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$ and let (X, T) is not T_1 -space. Then for each $x \neq y \in X$ implies $y \in \ker\{x\}$ or $x \in \ker\{y\}$, The $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} \neq \emptyset$. This is contradiction. Thus (X, T) is a T_1 -space.

Corollary 3.5

A topological an T_0 -space is a T_2 -space if and only if for each $x \neq y \in X$ with $\ker\{x\} \neq \ker\{y\}$ then there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $\ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1, \ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2, \ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$

Proof

By theorem 2.3 and remark 1.7.

Corollary 3.6

A topological T_1 -space is a T_2 -space if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1) For each $x \neq y \in X$ with $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$ then there exist open sets U, V such

that $cl(\ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $cl(\ker\{y\}) \subseteq V$

2) for each $x \neq y \in X$ with $\ker\{x\} \neq \ker\{y\}$ then there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $\ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1, \ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2, \ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$.

Proof (1)

By corollary 2.4 and remark 1.7.

Proof (2)

By theorem 2.3 and remark 1.7.

Theorem 3.7

A topological R_1 -space is a T_2 -space if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1) For each $x \in X, \ker\{x\} = \{x\}$.

2) For each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \neq \ker\{y\}$ implies $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$.

3) For each for each $x \neq y \in X$, either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$

4) For each for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$.

Proof (1)

Let (X, T) be a T_2 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space and R_1 -space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 3.3, $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X$.

Conversely

Let for each $x \in X, \ker\{x\} = \{x\}$, then by theorem 3.3, (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Also (X, T) is an R_1 -space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a T_2 -space.

Proof (2)

Let (X, T) be a T_2 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Hence by theorem 3.4, $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$ for each $x \neq y \in X$.

Conversely

Assume that for each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \neq \ker\{y\}$ implies $\ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$, so by theorem 3.4, the topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space, also (X, T) is an R_1 -space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a T_2 -space.

Proof (3)

Let (X, T) be a T_2 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_0 -space. Hence by theorem 23.1, either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ for each $x \neq y \in X$.

Conversely

Assume that for each $x \neq y \in X$, either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ for each $x \neq y \in X$, so by theorem 3.1, (X, T) is a T_0 -space also (X, T) is an R_1 -space by assumption. Thus (X, T) is a T_2 -space [By remark 1.7].

Proof (4)

Let (X, T) be a T_2 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space and an R_1 -space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 3.2, $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$.

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$. Then by theorem 3.2, (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Also (X, T) is an R_1 -space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a T_2 -space.

Theorem 3.8

A topological space (X, T) is a normal space if and only if for each disjoint closed sets G, H with $\ker(G) \neq \ker(H)$ then there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $\ker(G) \subseteq F_1, \ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker(H) \subseteq F_2, \ker(H) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a normal topological space and let for each disjoint closed sets G, H with $cl(G) \neq cl(H)$ then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that $G \subseteq U, H \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, then U^c and V^c are closed sets such that $U^c \cup V^c = X$ and $\ker(G) \cap U^c = \emptyset, \ker(H) \cap V^c = \emptyset$. Put $F_2 = U^c$ and $F_1 = V^c$. Thus $\ker(G) \subseteq U \subseteq F_1, \ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker(H) \subseteq V \subseteq F_2, \ker(H) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$.

Conversely

Let for each disjoint closed sets G, H with $\ker(G) \neq \ker(H)$, there exist closed sets F_1, F_2 such that $\ker(G) \subseteq F_1, \ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ and $\ker(H) \subseteq F_2, \ker(H) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$, implies F_1^c and F_2^c are open sets such that $F_1^c \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$ and $\ker(G) \cap F_1^c = \emptyset, \ker(H) \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$, so that $G \subseteq F_2^c$ and $H \subseteq F_1^c$. Thus (X, T) is a normal space.

Theorem 3.9

A topological compact an R_1 -space is a T_3 -space if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- 1) for each $x \in X, \ker\{x\} = \{x\}$
- 2) for each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$.
- 3) for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$
- 4) for each $x \neq y \in X$ either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$

Proof (1)

Let (X, T) be a T_3 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space, by theorem 3.3, for each $x \in X, \ker\{x\} = \{x\}$

Conversely

Let for each $x \in X, \ker\{x\} = \{x\}$, then by theorem 3.3, (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Also (X, T) is a compact R_1 -space by assumption. So by remark 1.7, we get (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space. Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T_3 -space

Proof (2)

Let (X, T) be a T_3 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Hence by theorem 3.4, for each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$

Conversely

Assume that for each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$, so by theorem 3.4, the topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space, also (X, T) is a compact R_1 -space by assumption. So by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space. Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T_3 -space.

Proof (3)

Let (X, T) be a T_3 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Hence by theorem 3.3, then for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$

Conversely

Assume that for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$, then by theorem 3.3, (X, T) is a T_1 -space also (X, T) is a Compact R_1 -space by assumption. Thus (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T_3 -space.

Proof (4)

Let (X, T) be a T_3 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_0 -space. So by theorem 3.1, either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$, for each $x \neq y \in X$

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$ either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$. Then by theorem 3.1, (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Also (X, T) is a compact R_1 -space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space. Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T_3 -space.

Theorem 3.11

A topological compact an R_1 -space is a T_4 -space if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- a) for each $x \in X, \ker\{x\} = \{x\}$
- b) for each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$
- c) for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$
- d) for each $x \neq y \in X$ either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$

Proof (1)

Let (X, T) be a T_4 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space, by theorem 3.3, $\ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X$.

Conversely

Let for each $x \in X, \ker\{x\} = \{x\}$, then by theorem 3.3, (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Also (X, T) is a compact R_1 -space by assumption. So by remark 1.7, we get (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space. Hence by theorem 1.10, (X, T) is a T_4 -space

Proof (2)

Let (X, T) be a T_4 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Hence by theorem 3.4, for each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$

Conversely

Assume that for each $x \neq y \in X, \ker\{x\} \cap \ker\{y\} = \emptyset$ so by theorem 3.4, the topological space (X, T) is a T_1 -space, also (X, T) is a compact R_1 -space by assumption. So by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space. Hence by theorem 1.10, (X, T) is a T_4 -space.

Proof (3)

Let (X, T) be a T_4 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_1 -space. Hence by theorem 3.2, then for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$

Conversely

Assume that for each $x \neq y \in X$ then $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ and $y \notin \ker\{x\}$, then by theorem 3.2, (X, T) is a T_1 -space also (X, T) is a compact R_1 -space by assumption. Thus (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 1.10, (X, T) is a T_4 -space

Proof (4)

Let (X, T) be a T_4 -space. Then (X, T) is a T_0 -space. So by theorem 3.1, for each $x \neq y \in X$ either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$

Conversely

Let for each $x \neq y \in X$ either $x \notin \ker\{y\}$ or $y \notin \ker\{x\}$. Then by Theorem 3.1, (X, T) is a T_0 -space. Also (X, T) is a compact R_1 -space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a compact T_2 -space. Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T_4 -space.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.S.Davis.(1961). Indexed system of Neighborhood for general Topology Amer. Math. Soc. (9) 68, 886-893, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2311686>
- [2] Bishwambhar Roy and M.N.Mukherjee. (2010). A unified theory for R_0, R_1 . Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (3s) v.28.2, <http://www.spm.uem.br/bspm/pdf/vol28-2/Art2.pdf>
- [3] J.N.Sharma. (1977). "general topology" Krishna Prakashan, Meerut(U.P).
- [4] N. A. Shanin.(1943), On separation in topological spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 38, 110-113.