

Using ψ -Operator to Formulate a New Definition of Local Function

¹Prof.Dr.Luay A.A.AL-Swidi

² Ass.prof.Dr.Asaad M.A.AL-Hosainy

³Msc.St. Reyam Q.M.AL-Feehan

drluayha11@yahoo.com

zsene12@yahoo.com

reyamqays27@yahoo.com

^{1,2,3} Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science Babylon University

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we use ψ -operator in order to get a new version of local function. The concepts of maps, dense, resolvable and Housdorff have been investigated in this paper, as well as modified to be useful in general.

الخلاصة: استخدمنا في هذا البحث العملية ابساي من أجل الحصول على نسخه جديده من الدالة المحلية. وقد تم التحقيق في مفاهيم الدوال, الكثافة, المجموعات القابلة للحل و فضاء الهاوسدورف, وكذلك تعديلها لتكون مفيدة بشكل عام.

Keywords: ψ^* -operator, ψ -dense, ψ -resolvable, ψ -map and ψ - \mathcal{I} -Housdorff.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRILMINARIES

As requirements for our work, we define here the following concepts sequentially: Ideal space, local function, Kuratowski closure, dense, \mathcal{T}^* -dense, \mathcal{I} -dense, codense, ψ -operator, resolvable, \mathcal{I} -open, pre- \mathcal{I} -open, scattered set and Housdorff space.

We start define the ideal space. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space with no separation properties assumed. The subject of ideal topological space has been studied by (Kuratowski, 1966) and (Vaidyanathaswamy, 1960). An ideal \mathcal{I} on a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $B \in \mathcal{I}$ (heredity).
- (2) If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ (finite additivity).

Moreover a σ -ideal on a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is an ideal which satisfies (1), (2) and the following condition:

3. If $\{A_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, then $\bigcup \{A_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \in \mathcal{I}$ (countable additivity).

An ideal space is a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) with an ideal \mathcal{I} on X and is denoted by $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$. For a subset $A \subseteq X$,

$A^*(\mathcal{I}) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } U \in \mathcal{T}(x)\}$ is called the local function of A with respect to \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{T} (Kuratowski, 1933). We simply write A^* instead of $A^*(\mathcal{I})$ in case there is no chance for confusion.

It is well known that $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$ defines a Kuratowski closure operator for a topology $\mathcal{T}^*(\mathcal{I})$ which is finer than \mathcal{T} . During this paper, for a subset $A \subseteq X$, $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$ denote the closure and the interior of A , respectively. A subset A of an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is said to be dense (resp, \mathcal{T}^* -dense (Dontcher, Gansster and Rose, 1999), \mathcal{I} -dense (Dontcher, Gansster and Rose, 1999) if $Cl(A) = X$ (resp $Cl^*(A) = X, A^* = X$). An ideal \mathcal{I} on a space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be codense (Devid, Sivaraj and Chelvam, 2005) if and only if $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\Phi\}$. For an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ and for any $A \subseteq X$, where \mathcal{I} is codense. Then: dense, \mathcal{T}^* -dense and \mathcal{I} -dense are equivalent (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1990). (Natkanies, 1986) used the idea of ideals to define another operator known as ψ -operator defined as follow: For a subset $A \subseteq X$, $\psi(A) = X - (X - A)^*$. Equivalently $\psi(A) = \{M \in \mathcal{T} : M - A \in \mathcal{I}\}$. It is obvious that $\psi(A)$ for any A is a member of \mathcal{T} .

For an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ and $Y \subseteq X$ then $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y)$ is an ideal space where $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{U \cap Y : U \in \mathcal{T}\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_Y = \{U \cap Y : U \in \mathcal{I}\} = \{U \in \mathcal{I} : U \subseteq Y\}$.

In 1943, Hewitt introduced the concept of a resolvable space as follows: A nonempty topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called resolvable (Hewitt, 1943) if X is the disjoint union of two dense subsets. Given a space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $A \subseteq X$, A is called \mathcal{I} -open (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1990) (resp per- \mathcal{I} -open (Donkhev, 1996) if $A \subseteq Int(A^*)$ (resp $A \subseteq Int Cl^*(A)$). A set $A \subseteq X$ is said to be scattered (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1990) if A contains no nonempty dense-in-itself subset. A space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is called \mathcal{I} -Housdorff (Dontcher, 1995) if for each two distinct points $x \neq y$, there exist \mathcal{I} -open sets U and V containing x and y respectively, such that $U \cap V = \Phi$. Throughout this paper we define a new local function and generalizations. Many characterizations, proprieties and relation between them are obtained.

2- ψ^* -Operator

In this section we introduce a new type of local function by using ψ -operator, in order to do this we have to have a deep looking in (Jankovic and Hamlett, 1992) work of the local function in ideal space.

Definition 2.1

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be an ideal space. An operator $\psi^*(.): \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, called ψ -local function of A with respect to \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{T} , is defined as follows: for any $A \subseteq X$,

$$\psi^*(A) (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{T}) = \{x \in X : \psi(U) \cap A \notin \mathcal{I}, \text{ for every open subset } U \in \mathcal{T}(x)\}, \text{ where } \mathcal{T}(x) = \{U \in \mathcal{T}, x \in U\}.$$

When there is no chance for confusion $\psi^*(A) (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{T})$ is briefly denoted by $\psi^*(A)$.

Fact

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be an ideal space. Then $A^* \subseteq \psi^*(A)$, for any $A \subseteq X$.

Now we define a new closure operator in terms of ψ -local function.

Definition 2.2

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be an ideal space. For any $A \subseteq X$, we define

1. $\psi\text{-Cl}(A) = \{x \in X : \psi(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } U \subseteq X, x \in \psi(U)\}$. It is clear that $\text{Cl}(A) \subseteq \psi\text{-Cl}(A)$.
2. $\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A) (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{T}) = A \cup \psi^*(A)$

When there is no chance for confusion $\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A) (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{T})$ is briefly denoted by $\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A)$.

Note

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be an ideal space. Then $\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A) \subseteq \psi\text{-Cl}(A)$, for any $A \subseteq X$.

We discuss the properties of ψ -local function in following theorem:

Theorem 2.3

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} be two ideals on X , and let A and B be subsets of X . Then the following properties hold:

1. If $A \subseteq B$, then $\psi^*(A) \subseteq \psi^*(B)$.

2. If $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, then $\psi^*(A)_{(\mathcal{J})} \subseteq \psi^*(A)_{(\mathcal{I})}$.
3. $\psi^*(A)_{\text{Cl}}(\psi^*(A)) \subseteq \psi\text{-Cl}(A)$.
4. $(\psi^*(A))^* \subseteq \psi^*(A)$.
5. $\psi^*(A \cup B) = \psi^*(A) \cup \psi^*(B)$.
6. $\psi^*(A \cap B) \subseteq \psi^*(A) \cap \psi^*(B)$.
7. For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\psi^*(A \cup I) = \psi^*(A) = \psi^*(A \setminus I)$.
8. If $G \in \mathcal{T}$, then $G \cap \psi^*(A) \subset \psi^*(G \cap A)$.
9. If $U \in \mathcal{T}$, then $U \cap \psi\text{-Cl}^*(A) \subset \psi\text{-Cl}^*(U \cap A)$.
10. If $A \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\psi^*(A) = \Phi$.

Proof.

Straight from Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2

3. ψ - Dense and Generalizations:

Definition 3.1

A subset A of an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is called:

- (1) ψ -dense, if $\psi\text{-Cl}(A) = X$.
- (2) $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{T}^*$ -dense, if $\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A) = X$.
- (3) $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{I}$ -dense, if $\psi^*(A) = X$.
- (4) nowhere ψ -dense, if $\text{Int } \psi\text{-Cl}(A) = \Phi$.
- (5) nowhere ψ^* -dense, if $\text{Int}^* \psi\text{-Cl}(A) = \Phi$.

The following remark is immediate from above definitions:

Remark 3.2

- (1) If A is $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{I}$ -dense, then A is $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{T}^*$ -dense.
- (2) If A is $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{T}^*$ -dense, then A is ψ -dense.

(3) If A is nowhere ψ^* -dense, then A is nowhere ψ -dense.

The study of ideal got new dimension when Codense ideal [10] has been incorporated in ideal space. In the following definition we introduce a new concept of condense by using ψ -operator.

Definition 3.3

An ideal \mathcal{I} on a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be ψ -codense if, $\psi(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\Phi\}$.

Lemma 3.4

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be an ideal space and for every subset $A \subseteq X$. If $A \subseteq \psi^*(A)$, then the following relations hold:

$$\psi^*(A) = \text{Cl}(\psi^*(A)) = \psi\text{-Cl}(A) = \psi\text{-Cl}^*(A).$$

Proof.

By Definition 2.2: (1), and Theorem 2.3: (3).

Theorem 3.5

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be an ideal space, and for every subset $A \subseteq X$. If \mathcal{I} is ψ -codense, then the following relations hold:

- (1) If A is ψ -dense, then it is $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{T}^*$ -dense.
- (2) If A is $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{T}^*$ -dense, then it is $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{I}$ -dense.

Proof.

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4.

The following theorem related to ψ -codense ideal:

Theorem 3.6

If X is finite, then $X=X^*$ if and only if \mathcal{I} is ψ -codense.

Proof.

Necessity. By Theorem 2.5,[1]. we have $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\Phi\}$. Since $\psi(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{I}$. It follow that $\psi(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\Phi\}$. So \mathcal{I} is ψ -codense.

Sufficiency. Assume that \mathcal{I} is ψ -codense. Since $X-X^*$ is open, let $x \in X-X^*$. Then there exists $U_x \subseteq X-X^*$, and $x \notin X^*$. Then there exists $V_x \in \mathcal{T}(x)$, such that $U_x \cap V_x \in \mathcal{I}$. Assume that $W_x = U_x \cap V_x \in \mathcal{I}$. But $X-X^* = \bigcup W_x$. Hence $X-X^* \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\psi(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{I} \neq \{\Phi\}$, a contradiction. Therefore $X = X^*$.

Corollary 3.7

Let X be any nonempty set. If $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is an ideal space where \mathcal{I} is σ -ideal, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $X = X^*$;
- (2) $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\Phi\}$;
- (3) $\psi(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\Phi\}$.

Proof.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6.

By Remark 3.2, and Theorem 3.5, the following relations hold:

$$\psi\text{-dens} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{A}} \psi\text{-}\mathcal{T}^*\text{-dense} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{A}} \psi\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-dense.}$$

\mathfrak{A} : \mathcal{I} is ψ -codense.

By Theorem 2.3 :(2), we have the following remark:

Remark 3.8

(1) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ and $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J})$ be two ideal spaces, with $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, if A is ψ - \mathcal{I} -dense with respect to \mathcal{I} , then A is ψ - \mathcal{J} -dense with respect to \mathcal{I} for any $A \subseteq X$.

(2) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ and (X, σ, \mathcal{I}) be two ideal spaces, with $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \sigma$, if A is ψ - \mathcal{I} -dense with respect to σ , then A is ψ - \mathcal{I} -dense with respect to \mathcal{T} for any $A \subseteq X$.

By Remark 3.2 and Remark 3.8: (1), (2) we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.9

(1) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ and $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J})$ be two ideal spaces, with $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, if A is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -dense (ψ -dense) with respect to \mathcal{I} , then A is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -dense (ψ -dense) with respect to \mathcal{J} for any $A \subseteq X$.

(2) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ and (X, σ, \mathcal{I}) be two ideal spaces, with $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \sigma$, if A is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -dense (ψ -dense) with respect to σ , then A is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -dense (ψ -dense) with respect to \mathcal{T} for any $A \subseteq X$.

Definition 3.10

A function $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called ψ -map if, $f(\psi\text{-Cl}(A)) \subseteq \text{Cl} f(A)$, for any $A \subseteq X$.

Note

Where $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a bijection map, then $f(\mathcal{I})$ will be an ideal on Y .

Theorem 3.11

If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ψ -bijection map. Then the image of any ψ -dense subset A of X is dense in Y .

Proof.

Assume that A is ψ -dense in X . Since f is ψ -map, then $f(\psi\text{-Cl}(A)) \subseteq \text{Cl} f(A)$.

Implies that $f(X) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f(A))$ and since f is surjection. Therefore, $Y = \text{Cl}(f(A))$. Hence $f(A)$ is dense in Y .

Definition 3.12

A function $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$ is called :

(1) ψ - $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ map, if $f(\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A)) \subseteq \text{Cl}^* f(A)$.

(2) ψ_0 - $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ map, if $f(\psi^*(A)) \subseteq (f(A))^*$.

- (3) ψ_1 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map, if $f(\psi^*(A)) \subseteq \psi^*f(A)$.
 (4) ψ_2 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map, if $f(\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A)) \subseteq \psi\text{-Cl}^*f(A)$.
 (5) ψ_3 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map, if $f(\psi\text{-Cl}(A)) \subseteq \psi\text{-Cl}f(A)$.

For any $A \subseteq X$.

The following remark is immediate from above definitions:

Remark 3.13

- (1) ψ_0 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map $\rightarrow \psi$ - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map
 (2) ψ_1 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map $\rightarrow \psi_2$ - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map $\rightarrow \psi_3$ - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map.

Theorem 3.14

If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ψ_0 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ bijection map. Then the image of any ψ - \mathcal{J} -dense subset A of X is f - (\mathcal{J}) -dense in Y .

Proof.

Assume that A is ψ - \mathcal{J} -dense in X . Since f is ψ_0 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map, then $f(\psi^*(A)) \subseteq (f(A))^*$. Implies that $f(X) \subseteq (f(A))^*$, and since f is surjection. Therefore, $Y = (f(A))^*$. Hence $f(A)$ is f - (\mathcal{J}) -dense in Y .

By Remark 3.13(1), and Theorem 3.14 we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.15

If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ψ - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ bijection map. Then the image of any ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -dense subset A of X is \mathcal{T}^* -dense in Y .

Theorem 3.16

If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ_1 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ bijection map. Then the image of any ψ - \mathcal{J} -dense subset of X is ψ - f - (\mathcal{J}) -dense in Y .

Proof.

Assume that A is ψ - \mathcal{J} -dense in X . Since f is ψ_1 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})$ map then $f(\psi^*(A)) \subseteq \psi^*(f(A))$, and since f is surjection. Therefore, $Y = \psi^*(f(A))$. Hence $f(A)$ is ψ - \mathcal{J} -dense in Y .

Corollary 3.17

If $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$ is ψ_2 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})$ [ψ_3 - $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})$] bijection map. Then the image of any ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -dense (ψ -dense) subset of X is \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{T}^* -dense (ψ -dense) in Y .

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Remark 3.13(2), and Theorem 3.16.

4: ψ -Resolvability and Generalizations:

In this section we define ψ -resolvable space and recall some of the generalizations of ψ -resolvable and their relationship with Hausdorff space.

Definition 4.1

A nonempty topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called:

- (1) ψ -resolvable, if X is the disjoint union of two ψ -dense subsets.
- (2) ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable, if X is the disjoint union of \mathcal{T}^* -dense and ψ -dense subsets.
- (3) ψ - \mathcal{J} -resolvable, if X is the disjoint union of \mathcal{J} -dense and ψ -dense subsets.

It is clear that: ψ - \mathcal{J} -resolvable \rightarrow ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable \rightarrow ψ -resolvable.

Theorem 4.2

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a nonempty topological space. Then if X is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvability, then X is ψ - \mathcal{J} -resolvability.

Question

We claim that ψ -resolvability does not imply ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvability, but we could not find an example.

Remark 4.3

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ and $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J})$ be two ideal spaces, with $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, if X is ψ -resolvable with respect to \mathcal{J} , then X is ψ -resolvable with respect to \mathcal{I} .

This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.9.

Definition 4.4

A function $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J})$, is said to be bi*-map if :

- (1) $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $\psi_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ map;
- (2) $f: (X, \mathcal{T}^*) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma^*)$ is a continuous map.

Theorem 4.5

Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be bi*- bijection map, and if X is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable space. Then Y is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable with respect to the ideal $f(\mathcal{I})$.

Proof.

Assume that X is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable space, then there exists two disjoint ψ -dense and \mathcal{T}^* -dense subsets such that $\psi\text{-Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}^*(B) = X$. It is clear by Corollary 3.19, and Definition 4.4 that Y is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable.

Lemma 4.6

Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\psi^*(D) = X$, then $U \subseteq \psi^*(D \cap \psi(U))$.

Proof.

Let $x \in U$, and suppose if possible that $x \notin \psi^*(D \cap \psi(U))$. Then $(D \cap \psi(U)) \cap \psi(V) \in \mathcal{J}$, and since $\psi(U) \cap \psi(V) \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore, $x \notin \psi^*(D) = X$. This is a contradiction. That is $U \subseteq \psi^*(D \cap \psi(U))$.

Corollary 4.7

(1) Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\psi\text{-Cl}^*(D) = X$, then $U \subseteq \psi\text{-Cl}^*(D \cap \psi(U))$.

(2) Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$, and $\psi\text{-Cl}(D) = X$. Then $U \subseteq \psi\text{-Cl}(D \cap \psi(U))$.

Using Remark 3.2: (1), (2), and similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6.

Theorem 4.8

Let $y \in \mathcal{T}$, and $\psi^*(A) = X$. Then $\psi_y^*(A_1) = Y$, where $A_1 = A \cap y$.

Proof.

By lemma 4.6 $Y \subseteq \psi_x^*(A \cap Y) = \psi_x^*(A_1)$.

Then $Y = \psi_x^*(A_1) \cap y = \psi_y^*(A_1)$.

Using Remark 3.2:(1),(2), and Theorem 4.8 we get the following corollary;

Corollary 4.9

(1) Let $y \in \mathcal{T}$, and $\psi\text{-Cl}^*(A) = X$. Then $\psi\text{-Cl}_y^*(A_1) = Y$, where $A_1 = A \cap Y$.

(2) Let $y \in \mathcal{T}$, and $\psi\text{-Cl}(A) = X$. Then $\psi\text{-Cl}_y(A_1) = Y$, where $A_1 = A \cap Y$.

Theorem 4.10

Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J})$ be $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{J}$ -resolvable space, if Y is open. Then $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y, \mathcal{J}_Y)$ is $\psi\text{-}\mathcal{J}_Y$ -resolvable.

Proof.

Assume that $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ - \mathcal{I} -resolvable space. Then there exists two disjoint ψ -dense, and \mathcal{I} -dense subsets such that: $A \cup B = X$, and $\psi\text{-Cl}(A) = B^* = X$.

Note that $Y = Y \cap X = Y \cap (A \cup B) = (Y \cap A) \cup (Y \cap B)$, and $(Y \cap A) \cap (Y \cap B) = Y \cap (A \cap B) = \Phi$.

Put $A_1 = A \cap Y$ and $B_1 = B \cap Y$. Then $Y = A_1 \cup B_1$, $A_1 \cap B_1 = \Phi$.

By corollary 3.10: (2), we have $\psi\text{-Cl}_Y(A_1) = Y$, and by lemma we have $B^*_1 Y = Y$. Hence $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y)$ is ψ - \mathcal{I}_Y -resolvable.

Corollary 4.11

(1) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable space, if Y is open. Then $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y)$ is ψ - \mathcal{T}^*_Y -resolvable.

(2) Let $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ be ψ -resolvable space, if Y is open. Then $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y)$ is ψ -resolvable.

Definition 4.12

A subset A of an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is said to be ψ -pre-open if $A \subseteq \text{Int } \psi\text{-Cl}(A)$.

Definition 4.13

(1) An ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is said to be ψ - \mathcal{I} -Housdorff, if for every distinct point $x, y \in X$, there exist two disjoint ψ -pre-open, and \mathcal{I} -open sets containing each respectively.

(2) An ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is said to be ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -Housdorff, if for every distinct point $x, y \in X$, there exist two disjoint ψ -pre-open, and pre- \mathcal{I} -open sets containing each respectively.

(3) An ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is said to be ψ -Housdorff, if for every distinct point $x, y \in X$, there exist two disjoint ψ -pre-open sets containing each respectively.

Lemma 4.14

If $\psi^*(\{x\}) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $\psi^*(\{x\}) = \Phi$.

Proof.

Assume that $\psi^*(\{x\}) \neq \Phi$, then there exist $y \in \psi^*(\{x\})$ such that $\psi(U) \cap \{x\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ for every $U \in \mathcal{T}(x)$. Implies that $\psi^*(\{x\}) \notin \mathcal{I}$. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 4.15

If an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ - \mathcal{I} -resolvable, and the scattered set of (X, \mathcal{T}) are in \mathcal{I} , then $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ - \mathcal{I} -Housdorff.

Proof.

Let A and B be disjoint ψ -dense, and \mathcal{I} -dense subsets of X such that $X = A \cup B$. Since $\psi\text{-Cl}(A) = X$ and $B^* = X$. Therefore, $A \subseteq \text{Int } \psi\text{-Cl}(A)$ and $B \subseteq \text{Int } B^*$, then A and B are ψ -pre-open and \mathcal{I} -open sets respectively. Let x, y be any two element of X and both x and y are in A . Take $U = A \setminus \{y\}$ and $V = B \cup \{y\}$. It is easily observed (see [6], Theorem 2.3 (h)) that V also \mathcal{I} -open set and y in V . Now to show that $U = A \cup \{x\}$ is ψ -pre-open.

$\psi\text{-Cl}(A \cup \{x\}) = \psi\text{-Cl}(A) \cup \psi\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = X \cup \psi\text{-Cl}(\{x\}) = X$. Hence $A \cup \{x\} \subseteq \text{Int } \psi\text{-Cl}(A \cup \{x\})$, so U is ψ -pre-open. Hence $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ - \mathcal{I} -Housdorff.

From the above theorem we get the following corollary:

Corollary 4.16

(1) If an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -resolvable, and the scattered set of (X, \mathcal{T}^*) are in \mathcal{I} , then $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ - \mathcal{T}^* -Housdorff.

(2) If an ideal space $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ -resolvable, and the scattered set of (X, \mathcal{T}) are in \mathcal{I} , then $(X, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ is ψ -Housdorff.

References

- Dontchev, J., Ganster, M., Rose, D., Ideal resolvability. *Topology and its Appl.* 93(1999), 1-16.
- J Dontcher, On Housdorff spaces via topology ideals and I-irresolute functions *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Papers on General Topology and Applications, Vol.767(1995), 28-38.*
- J.Donkhev, On pre-I-open sets and a decomposition of I-continuity, *Banyan Math. J.*, 2 (1996).
- J. Dontcher, M.Ganster, D.Rose, Ideal resolvability, *Topology and its Applications*, 19(1999), 1-6.
- E.Hewitt, A problem of set-theoretic topology, *Duke Math.J.*,10 (1943),309-333.
- D.Jankovic and T.R.Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 97(1990),295-310.
- D. Jankovic and T.R.Hamlett, Compatible Extensions of Ideals, *Bollettino U.M.I.*,7(1992),453-465.
- K.Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol 1, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
K. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, Warszawa, 1933.
- T.Natkaniec, On I-continuity and I-semicontinuity points, *Math Slovaca*, 36:3 (1986), 297-312.
- V.R.Devid.Sivaraj, T.T. Chelvam, Codense and completely codense ideals, *Acta Nath. Hungar.* 108 (2005) 197-205.
- R.Vaidyanathaswamy, *set topology*, Ghelsea Publishing company (1960).