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#### Abstract

In this paper, we use the concept of the turing point and immersed it with separation axioms and investigate the relationship between them.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries.

In 2012,Luay A. AL-Swidi and Dheargham A. Al-Sada.[2] introduced and studied the notion of turing point. They defined it as: Let $I$ be an ideal on a topological space $(X, T)$ and $x \in X$. we say that $x$ is a "turing point " of $I$ if $N^{c} \in I$ for each $N \in N_{x}$. In the same year, the present author [5] introduced relation between the separation axioms $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i} i=0,1,2 \text { and } 3}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2,3$ and 4 , and kernel set in topological space.

Within this paper, the separation axioms $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=0,1$, and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=0,1$, are characterized using a turing point. Further, the axioms $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=0,1,2,3$ and 4 . are characterized using a turing point, associated with the axioms $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{i}=0,1$, and 3 .

Throughout this paper, spaces means topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. We define an ideal on a topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) at point x by $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ $\left\{U \subseteq X: x \in U^{c}\right\}$,where $U$ is non-empty set. Let A be asubset of a space $X$. The closure and the interior of A are denoted by cl(A) and int(A), respectively.

## Definition 1.1.

A topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) is called

1) $R_{0}$-space $[1,3,7]$ if and only if for each open set $G$ and $x \in G$ implies $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq G$.
2) $R_{1}$-space $[1,3,7]$ if and only if for each two distinct point $x$, $y$ of $X$ with $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}) \neq \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$, then there exist
disjoint open sets $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}$ such that $\mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\}) \subseteq \mathrm{V}$
3) $R_{2}$-space [3]if it is property regular space.
4) $R_{3}$-space $[4]$ if and only if $(X, T)$ is a normal and $R_{1}$-space.
5) Door space $[6,5]$ if every subset of $X$ is either open or closed.
6) Symmetric space [7]if for each two point $x$, $y$ of $X, y \in \psi-c l\{x\}$ iff $x \in \psi$-cl $\{y\}$.

## Remark 1.2[3]

Each separation axiom is defined as the conjunction of two weaker axiom : $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$ - space $=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}-1^{-}}$ space and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$ space $=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$-space and $\mathrm{T}_{0}$-space, $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3,4$

## Remark 1.3[3]

Every $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$-space is an $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i} \cdot 1}$-space $\mathrm{I}=0,1,2,3$.

## Theorem 1.4[7]

A topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) is $\psi-\mathrm{R}_{0}$-space if and only if for any two points $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ of $\mathrm{X} .(\mathrm{y} \in \psi-$ $\operatorname{cl}\{x\}$ iff $x \in \psi-\operatorname{cl}\{y\})$.

## Theorem 1.5[6]

Every compact Hausdorf space is a $\mathrm{T}_{3}$-space (and consequently regular).

## Theorem 1.6[6]

Every compact Hausdorf space is a normal space ( $\mathrm{T}_{3}$-space).

## 2. $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$-Spaces, $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0 , 1}$

## Lemma 2.1

Let $(X, T)$ be a topological space, for any pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$. Then $\{y\}$ is closed set if and only if $x$ is not turing point of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}$.

## Proof.

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Assume that $\{y\}$ is closed set in $X$, so that $\{y\}=c l(\{y\})$. But $x \neq y$, we get that $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{cl}(\{y\})$. Therefore ,there exists an open set $U$ such that, $x \in U, U \cap\{y\}=\varnothing$. So that $x \in U$, $U^{c} \notin I_{y}$, because if $U^{c} \in I_{y}$, then $\mathrm{y} \in\{x\}^{c}=U$, that mean $U \cap\{y\} \neq \varnothing$, this a contraction. Hence x is not turing point of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}$.

## Conversely

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Since $x$ is not turing point of $I_{y}$ then, there exists an open set $U$ such that, $x \in U, U^{c} \notin \mathbf{y}$, so $\mathrm{y} \notin \mathrm{U}$. Thus $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{U} \cap\{\mathrm{y}\}=\varnothing$ implies $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\})$.Hence $\{\mathrm{y}\}=\operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\})$.Thus $\{y\}$ is closed set in $X$.

## Theorem 2.2

Let $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ be a topological space ,then the following properties are equivalent:
a) $(X, T)$ is a $T_{0-}$ space
b) for any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$.
c) for any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X}, \operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\}) \neq \mathrm{cl}(\{y\})$.

## proof

$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ with $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$. Assume that $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$. By assumption, there exists an open set U such that, $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}} \notin \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}$,so $\mathrm{y} \notin \mathrm{U}$ or there exist an open set V such that, $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{V}$, $V^{c} \notin I_{x}$, so $x \notin V$ and we have $, x \in U, y \notin U$ or $y \in V, x \notin V$.Thus ( $X, T$ ) is $T_{0-}$ space .
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$ and $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ - space, then there exists an open set U such that, $x \in U y \notin U$ or there exist an open set $V$ such that $y \in V, x \notin V$ and so $x \in U, U^{c} \notin I_{y}$ or $y \in V, V^{c} \notin$ $I_{x}$. Thus $x$ is not turing point of $I_{y}$ or $y$ is not turing point of $I_{x}$. Thus $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c}$. Let any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$. Then by lemma 2.1,then $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\})=\{x\}$ or $\operatorname{cl}(\{y\})=\{y\}$.That means $x \in \operatorname{cl}(\{x\})$ and $y \notin \operatorname{cl}(\{x\})$ or $\mathrm{y} \in \operatorname{cl}(\{x\})$ and $x \notin \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$. Thus we have $x \in \operatorname{cl}(\{x\})$ but $x \notin \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$.Hence $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}) \neq c l(\{y\})$.
$\mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X}, \operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\}) \neq \mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\})$.Then there exists at least one point $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{z} \in \operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\})$ but $\mathrm{z} \notin \mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\})$. We claim that $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\})$. If $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\})$ then $\{\mathrm{x}\} \subseteq$ $\operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$ implies $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \operatorname{Cl}(\{y\})$. So, $\mathrm{z} \in \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$. Now, x $\notin \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$ implies $x \in X-\operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$, which is an open set in $X$ containing $x$ but not $y$. Hence $X$ is a $T_{o}$ space.

## Theorem 2.3

If a topological space $(X, T)$ is a $R_{0}$ space. Then for any pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X, y$ is not turing point of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$.

## Proof.

Assume that $(X, T)$ is an $R_{0}$ space, and let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. By assumption ,then $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\})$ $\subseteq \mathrm{V}$, for each open set V containing x , implies $\mathrm{x} \notin(\operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\}))^{\mathrm{c}}$ and so $\mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\}) \notin \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$, hence by definition of turing point we get that y is not turing point of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$.

## Remark 2.4

The converse of theorem 2.6 ,need not be true as seen from the following example .

## Example:2.5

Let $X=\{x, y\}$ and $T=\{\varnothing,\{y\}, X\}$ then $y$ is not turing point of $I_{x}=\{\varnothing,\{y\}\}$, but $X$ is not a $R_{0}$. space,.

## Remark 2.6

A topological space( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) is a symmetric if and only if it is an $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ space.

## Proof

By definition, theorem 1.4 [directly] .

## Theorem 2.7

Let $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ be a topological space ,then the following properties are equivalent:
a) $(X, T)$ is a $T_{1-}$ space
b) for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$.Then $\{\mathrm{x}\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$
c) for any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ and $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$.
d) for any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X},\{\mathrm{x}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{y}\}$ are closed sets in X
e) for any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X}, \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}=\varnothing$
f) for any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X},(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is symmetric space, with $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin$ $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$.

## Proof.

$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. Assume that $(X, T)$ is a $T_{1-}$ space, let $x \in X$. We will prove that $\{x\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$. It is clear that $\{\mathrm{x}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$. Let $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ be such that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$. By assumption, there exist an open set V such that, $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{V}$ so $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{c}} \notin \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$, that is, y is not turing point of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$. and so, $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$. Thus $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \subseteq\{\mathrm{x}\}$. Therefore $\{x\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Assume that $\{\mathrm{x}\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$, for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$. Let $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ be not $\mathrm{T}_{1_{-}}$space and let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$. Then for each open set V containing x , it contains y ,so we get that y is not turing point of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}$, thus,, $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$ contains y , it is follows that, $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \neq\{\mathrm{x}\}$, this is contraction with assumption.Thus (X,T) is a $\mathrm{T}_{1 \text { - }}$ space.
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c}$. Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$. since $\{\mathrm{x}\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$, for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$. So that $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ and $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$.
$\mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{d}$. Let any pair of distinct points x and y of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ and $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$. Then by lemma 2.1,then $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are closed subsets of $X$.
$\mathbf{d} \Rightarrow \mathbf{e}$. Let any pair of distinct points x and y of X . By assumption, then $\{\mathrm{x}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{y}\}$ are closed sets and as such $\{x\}^{c}$ and $\{y\}^{c}$ are open sets. Thus $y \in\{x\}^{c}$ but $x \notin\{x\}^{c}$ and $x \in\{y\}^{c}$ but $y \notin\{y\}^{d}$. Therefore $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$ but $\mathrm{y} \in \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$. Thus $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}=\varnothing$
$\mathrm{e} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}=\varnothing$, such that $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is not $\mathrm{T}_{1-}$ space. Then for each open set $V$ containing $x$, it contains $y$, so $x, y \in V$, implies $V^{c} \in I_{x}$ and $V^{c} \in I_{y}$, so we get that $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$ and $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$.So that $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\} \neq \varnothing$. This is a contraction. Hence $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1-}$ space
$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}$. Assume that $(X, T)$ is a $T_{1-}$ space. Then by remark 2.6 , it is a $R_{0-}$ space and $T_{0}$-space . Hence ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}$ ) is symmetric space and $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$ and $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ [Theorem 2.2 and remark 2.6].
$\mathbf{f} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $(X, T)$ is symmetric space, with $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$ .Then by remark 2.6, it is a $R_{0-}$ space. Also it is a $T_{0}$ space [since $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$ ]. Thus it is a $\mathrm{T}_{1-\text { space [By remark 1.2]. }}$

## Corollary 2.8

A topological space $(X, T)$ is a $T_{1-}$ space if and only if ,for each $x \in X$. Then $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\})=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.

## Proof

By theorem 2.7 [directly].

## Theorem 2.9

A topological space $(X, T)$ is $R_{1-}$ space if and only if ,for each $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ with $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}) \neq \mathrm{cl}(\{y\})$,then ,there exist disjoint open sets $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}$ such that $\mathrm{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ and $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}) \subseteq \mathrm{V}$.

## Proof .

Assume that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$ with $\operatorname{cl}([\mathrm{x}\}) \neq \operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{y}\})$ and(X,T)is an $\mathrm{R}_{1-}$ space for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$. By assumption, then there exist disjoint open sets $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}$ such that $\mathrm{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ and $\operatorname{cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq \mathrm{V}$. Also $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $T_{1}$ - space[Remark 1.2] and So by corollary2.11, then $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\})=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$ and $\operatorname{cl}(\{y\})=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$ implies $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{x\})=\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}))=\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$, and $=\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{y\})=\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cl}(\{y\}))=\operatorname{cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq V$.

## Conversely

Assume that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$ with $\operatorname{cl}(\{\mathrm{x}\}) \neq \operatorname{cl}(\{y\})$,for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$, then there exist disjoint open sets $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}$ such that $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{y\}) \subseteq V$.Since, $\{x\} \subseteq \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$ and $\{y\} \subseteq \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$.Then, $\operatorname{cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{x\})=$ $\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}$ andcl $(\{y\}) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{Tur}\{y\} \subseteq V\right.$. Therefore $(X, T)$ is $R_{1-}$ space.

## 3. $T_{i}, i=1,2,3$ and 4. associated with the axioms $R_{i}, i=0,1,2$ and 3

## Theorem 3.1

For an $R_{1-}$ space $(X, T)$ the following properties are equivalent:
a) $(X, T)$ is a $T_{2-}$ space
b) for each $x \in X,\{x\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$
c) for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y, \operatorname{Tur}\{x\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}=\varnothing$
d) for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y, x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ and $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.

## Proof .

$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$. Since $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-space ,then it is a $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-space. Therefore , by theorem 2.7, part $' \mathrm{~b}$ ',$\{\mathrm{x}\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$ for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$.
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Assume that $\{\mathrm{x}\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$, for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$. Then $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1-}$ space[Theorem 2.7 , part ' b '].But $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is an $\mathrm{R}_{1}$-space, then $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{2 \text {-space[Remark 1.2 ]. }}$.
$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c}$. Since $(X, T)$ be a $T_{2}$-space, then it is $\mathrm{aT}_{1}$-space, and so $\operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}=\varnothing[$ Theorem 2.7, part'e'], for any pair of distinct points $x$ and $y$ of $X$.
$\mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let x and y be two distinct points in X . By assumption, $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1 \text {-space }}$ [Theorem 2.7 part 'e']. But $(X, T)$ is an $R_{1}$-space, implies $(X, T)$ is a $T_{2}$-space [Remark 1.2].
$\mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{d}$. Let x and y be two distinct points in X . By assumption , $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1 \text {-space[Theorem } 2.7}$ part 'e'].Therefore by theorem 2.7 part 'c', then $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ and $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
$\mathbf{d} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let x and y be two distinct points in X. By assumption, then $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1-}$ space $[B y$ theorem2.7 part'c'].But $(X, T)$ is an $R_{1}$-space .Hence $(X, T)$ is a $T_{2}$-space [Remark 1.2].

## Remark 3.2

Observe that every $T_{2}$-space is an $T_{1}$-space and every $T_{1}$-space is an $T_{0}$-space the converse, need not be true. But it is true generally, if $(X, T)$ is an $R_{1}$-space as seen from the following theorem.

## Theorem 3.3

For an $\mathrm{R}_{1 \text { - }}$ space $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ the following properties are equivalent:
a) $(X, T)$ is a $T_{2-}$ space
b) for each $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, either $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
proof.
$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. Let x and y be two distinct points in $X$. Since $(X, T)$ be a $T_{2-}$ space ,then $(X, T)$ be a $T_{0-}$ space by theorem2.2 part 'b' ,either $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
$b \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$.
Let $x$ and $y$ be two distinct points in $X$, where $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$, this impels that (X,T) is a $T_{0 \text {-space[By theorem2.2].But }}(X, T)$ is an $R_{1}$-space .Hence $(X, T)$ is a $T_{2 \text {-space. [Remark 1.2 ]. }}$.

## Remark 3.4

Observe that every $\mathrm{T}_{3}$-space is an $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-space ,the converse, need not be true. But it is true generally, if $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is an $\mathrm{R}_{2}$-space as seen from the following corollary.

## Corollary 3.5

For an $R_{2 \text { - }}$ space $(X, T)$ the following properties are equivalent:
a) $(X, T)$ is a $T_{3-}$ space
b) for $x \in X,\{x\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
c) for $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ with $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}=\varnothing$
d) or $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ with $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ and $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$.
proof
By remark 1.3 , remark1.2 and theorem3.2.

## Theorem 3.6

For an $\mathrm{R}_{2 \text { - }}$ space $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ the following properties are equivalent:
(a) $\quad(X, T)$ is a $T_{3-}$ space
(b) for each $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, either $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.

## proof

$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. Let $x$ and $y$ be two distinct points in $X$. Since $(X, T)$ be a $T_{3-}$ space ,then $(X, T)$ be a $T_{0-}$ space by theorem 2.2 part ' $b^{\prime}$,either $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let x and y be two distinct points in $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$, this impels that $(X, T)$ is a $T_{0}$-space[By theorem2.2].But $(X, T)$ is an $R_{2}$-space .Hence $(X, T)$ is a $T_{3}$-space. [Remark 1.2].

## Theorem 3.7

For a compact $\mathrm{R}_{1-\text { space }}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ the following properties are equivalent:
a) $(X, T)$ is a $T_{3-}$ space
b) for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y, x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ and $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
c) for $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ with $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}=\varnothing$
d) for $x \in X,\{x\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
proof
$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. Let x and y be two distinct points in X . By assumption ,then $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1 \text { - }}$ space , and so, for each $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ and $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}[$ Theorem2.7 part 'c'].
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let x and y be two distinct points in X. .By assumption, then $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1 \text {-space }}$ (By theorem2.7part ' c ']. But $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is $\mathrm{R}_{1}$-space. Hence $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is $\mathrm{T}_{2 \text { - }}$ space [Remark 1.2 ]. Which implies that $(X, T)$ is a compact $\mathrm{T}_{2 \text { - }}$ space. So that $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{3 \text { - }}$ space [Theorem 1.5].
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{c}$. Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ and $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$, and so by theorem2.7part 'c', then $(X, T)$ is a $T_{1-}$ space, and so for each $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y, \operatorname{Tur}\{x\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}=\varnothing[$ Theorem2.7 part 'e'].
$\mathbf{c} \Rightarrow \mathbf{d}$. Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$. By assumption, then $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1-}$ space [ by theorem2.7 part 'e'], and so for each $x \in X$,then $\{x\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$ [theorem2.7 part 'b'].
$\mathbf{d} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$. By assumption, then $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{1-}$ space [By theorem2.7 part 'b']. But $(X, T)$ is a compact $R_{1}$-space and so $(X, T)$ is a compact $T_{2}$ - space [Remark 1.2]. Hence $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{3 \text { - }}$ space [ by theorem 1.5].

## Remark 3.8

Observe that every $\mathrm{T}_{4}$-space is an $\mathrm{T}_{3}$-space, the converse, need not be true. But it is true generally, if $(X, T)$ is a compact $R_{1}$-space as seen from the following corollary.

## Corollary 3.9

For a compact $\mathrm{R}_{1 \text { - }}$ space $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ the following properties are equivalent:
a) $(X, T)$ is a $T_{4}$ space
b) for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y, x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ and $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
c) for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y, \operatorname{Tur}\{x\} \cap \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}=\varnothing$
d) for $x \in X,\{x\}=\operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.

## proof

By Remark 1.2,theorem2.7 part 'b', theorem 3.7 part 'b'and theorem1.6 [directly].

## Theorem 2.10

For a compact $\mathrm{R}_{1 \text { - }}$ space $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ the following properties are equivalent:
(a) $\quad(X, T)$ is a $T_{3 \text { - }}$ space
(b) for $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ with $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, either $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$.

## proof.

$\mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$. Let $x$ and $y$ be two distinct points in $X$. Since $(X, T)$ be a $T_{3-}$ space ,then $(X, T)$ be a $T_{0-}$ space, hence by theorem2.2 part 'b' ,either $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$.
$\mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$. Let $x$ and $y$ be two distinct points in $X$ where $x \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{y\}$ or $y \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{x\}$, hence by theorem $2.2,(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{0}$-space. In addition $(X, T)$ is a compact $\mathrm{R}_{1}$-space. Hence, by remark $1.2,(X, T)$ is a compact $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-space. Hence, by theorem1.5, $(X, T)$ is a $\mathrm{T}_{3}$-space.

## Corollary 3.11

For a compact $\mathrm{R}_{1 \text { - }}$ space $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T})$ the following properties are equivalent:
(a) $\quad(X, T)$ is a $T_{4-}$ space
(b) for $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}$ with $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, either $\mathrm{x} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{y}\}$ or $\mathrm{y} \notin \operatorname{Tur}\{\mathrm{x}\}$.

## proof

By theorem 3.8 and remake 3.6 [directly].
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