# **Separation Axioms Via**

# **Kernel Set in Topological Spaces**

Luay A. Al-Swidi

Mathematics Department, College of Education For Pure Sciences University of Babylon E-mail:drluayha1@yahoo.com

Basim Mohammed M. Mathematics Department, College of Education For Pure Sciences University of Babylon E-mail: Bassm\_na73@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

In this paper deals with the relation between the separation axioms  $T_i$ -space , i = 0, 1, ..., 4 and  $R_i$ -space i = 0, 1, 2, 3 throughout kernel set associated with the closed set . Then we prove some theorems related to them.

Keywords: separation axioms, Kernel set and weak separation axioms.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In1943, N.A.Shainin [4] offered a new weak separation axiom called  $R_0$  to the world of the general topology. In 1961, A.S.Davis [1] rediscovered this axiom and he gave several interesting characterizations of it. He defined  $R_0$ ,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  entirely. He did not submit clear definition of  $R_3$ -space but stated it throughout this note: (But the usual definition of "normality" must be modified slightly if  $R_3$  is to be the axiom for normal spaces.)

The present study presents the definition of R<sub>3</sub>-spaces as follows:(A topological space is called an R<sub>3</sub>-space iff it is normal space and R<sub>1</sub>-space). This definition of R<sub>3</sub>-space satisfied with: Every R<sub>3</sub> is an R<sub>2</sub>-spaces. On the other hand (X, T) is aT<sub>4</sub>-space if and only if it is an R<sub>3</sub>-space and  $T_{k-1}$ -space, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.

We proved R<sub>i</sub>-spaces, i = 0,1,2,3, by using kernel set[2,5] associated with the closed set. We prove the topological space is  $aT_0$ -space if and only if either  $y \notin ker\{x\}$  or  $x \notin ker\{y\}$  for each  $x \neq y \in X$  and a topological space (X,T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , then  $x \notin ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin ker\{x\}$ , also (X,T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space iff  $ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ , and by using kernel set, we states the relation between T<sub>i</sub>-spaces i = 0,1,2,3,4 and R<sub>i</sub>-spaces i = 0,1,2,3.

### Definition 1.1.[2]

The intersection of all open subset of (X,T) containing A is called the kernel of A (briefly ker(A)), this means ker(A)= $\bigcap \{G \in T: A \subseteq G\}$ 

### **Definition 1.2.[1,2]**

A topological space (X,T) is called an R<sub>0</sub>-space if for each open set U and  $x \in U$  then  $cl\{x\} \subseteq X$ .

### **Definition 1.3.[1,2]**

A topological space (X,T) is called an R<sub>1</sub>- space if for each two distinct point x, y of X with  $cl\{c\} \neq cl\{y\}$ , there exist disjoint open sets U,V such that  $cl\{c\} \subseteq Uand cl\{c\} \subseteq V$ .

#### Corollary 1.4.[2]

Let (X, T) be a topological space. Then (X,T) is  $\mathbb{R}_0$ -space if and only if,  $cl\{x\} = \ker\{x\}$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

41

#### Definition 1.5 [1]

A topological space (X, T) is called an R<sub>2</sub>-space are those which are property regular

### space.

### Remark 1.6 [1]

The usual definition of "normality" must be modified slightly if  $\ R_3$  is to be the axiom for normal spaces

### Remark 1.7 [1]

Each separation axiom is defined as the conjunction of two weaker axioms:  $T_k$ -space =  $R_{k-1}$ -space and  $T_{k-1}$ -space =  $R_{k-1}$ -space and  $T_0$ -space

#### Remark 1.8 [1]

Every  $R_k$ -space is an  $R_{k-1}$ -space.

#### Theorem 1.9 [3]

Every compact Hausdorf space is aT<sub>3</sub>-space (and consequently regular).

#### Theorem 1.10 [3]

Every compact Hausdorf space is a normal space (T<sub>4</sub>-space).

#### *Lemma* 1.11 [2]

Let (X, T) be topological space then  $x \in cl\{y\}$  if  $f y \in ker\{x\}$ . for each  $x \neq y \in X$ 

### 2. $R_i$ -Spaces, i = 0, 1, 2, 3

### Theorem 2.1

A topological space (X, T) is an R<sub>0</sub>-space if and only if for each F closed set and  $x \in F$  then ker  $\{x\} \subseteq F$ .

### Proof

Let a topological space (X,T) be a R<sub>0</sub>-space and F be a closed set and  $x \in F$ . Then for each  $y \notin F$  implies  $y \in F^c$  is open set ,then  $cl\{y\} \subseteq F^c$  [ since (X,T) is R<sub>0</sub>-space ], so  $x \notin cl\{y\}$ . Hence by theorem 1.11,  $y \notin ker\{x\}$ . Thus ker  $\{x\} \subseteq F$ 

#### Conversely

Let for each F closed set and  $x \in F$  then  $ker \{x\} \subseteq F$  and let  $U \in T$ ,  $x \in U$  then for each  $y \notin U$  implies  $y \in U^c$  is a closed set implies  $ker\{y\} \subseteq U^c$ . Therefore  $x \notin ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin cl\{x\}$  [By theorem 1.11]. So  $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$ . Thus (X, T) is an R<sub>0</sub>-space.

#### **Corollary 2.2**

A topological space (X, T) is an R<sub>0</sub>-space if and only if for each U open set and  $x \in U$  then  $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$ .

### **Theorem 2.3**

A topological space (X, T) is an R<sub>1</sub>-space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$  then there exist closed sets F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> such that  $ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1$ ,  $ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2$ ,  $ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ 

### Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) be an R<sub>1</sub>-space. Then for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$ . Since every  $R_1 - space$  is an  $R_o\_space$  [by remark 1.8, hence by theorem 1.4,  $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$ , then there exist open sets  $G_1, G_2$  such that  $cl\{x\} \subseteq G_1$  and  $cl\{y\} \subseteq G_2$  and  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$  [Since (X, T) is R<sub>1</sub>-space], then  $G_1^c$  and  $G_2^c$  are closed sets such that  $G_1^c \cup G_2^c = X$ . Put  $F_1 = G_1^c$  and  $F_2 = G_2^c$  Thus  $x \in G_1 \subseteq F_2$  and  $y \in G_2 \subseteq F_1$  so that ker  $\{x\} \subseteq G_1 \subseteq F_2$  and ker $\{y\} \subseteq G_2 \subseteq F_1$ .

#### Conversely

Let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$ , there exist closed sets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1$ ,  $ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2$ ,  $ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ , then  $F_1^c$  and  $F_2^c$  are

open sets such that  $F_1^c \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$ . Put  $F_1^c = G_2$  and  $F_2^c = G_1$ . Thus ker  $\{x\} \subseteq G_1$  and ker  $\{y\} \subseteq G_2$ and  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ , so that  $x \in G_1$  and  $y \in G_2$  implies  $x \notin cl\{y\}$  and  $y \notin cl\{x\}$ , then  $cl\{x\} \subseteq G_1$  and  $cl\{y\} \subseteq G_2$ . Thus (X, T) is an R<sub>1</sub>-space.

#### **Corollary 2.4**

A topological space (X, T) is an R<sub>1</sub>-space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$  then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that  $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(ker\{y\}) \subseteq V$ 

#### Proof

Let (X, T) be an R<sub>1</sub>-space and let  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$ , then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that  $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$  and  $cl\{y\} \subseteq V$ .

Also (X, T) is  $\mathbb{R}_0$ -spece [by remark 1.8] implies for each  $x \in X$ , then  $cl\{x\} = ker\{x\}$  [By theorem 1.4], but  $cl\{x\} = cl(cl\{x\}) = cl(ker\{x\})$ . Thus  $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(ker\{y\}) \subseteq V$ 

#### Conversely

Let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$  then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that  $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(ker\{y\}) \subseteq V$ . Since  $\{x\} \subseteq ker\{x\}$  then  $cl\{x\} \subseteq cl(ker\{x\})$  for each  $x \in X$  So we get  $cl\{x\} \subseteq U$  and  $cl\{x\}$ 

### Theorem 2.5

A topological space (X,T) is a regular space(R<sub>2</sub>-space) if and only if for each closed subset G of X and  $x \notin G$  with ker $\mathcal{G}G \neq ker\{x\}$  then there exist closed sets F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> such that ker(G)  $\subseteq$  F<sub>1</sub>, ker(G)  $\cap$ F<sub>2</sub> =  $\emptyset$  and ker{x}  $\subseteq$  F<sub>2</sub>, ker{x}  $\cap$ F<sub>1</sub> =  $\emptyset$  and F<sub>1</sub>  $\cup$ F<sub>2</sub> = X

#### Proof

Let a topological space (X,T) be a regular space (R<sub>2</sub>-space) and let G be a closed set,  $x \notin G$ , then there exist open sets U, V such that  $G \subseteq U$ ,  $x \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , then  $U^c$  and  $V^c$ are closed sets such that  $U^c \cup V^c = X$ . Put  $F_2 = U^c$  and  $F_{1=}V^c$ , so we get ker(G)  $\subseteq U \subseteq$  $F_1$ , ker(G)  $\cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and ker{x}  $\subseteq V \subseteq F_2$ , ker{x}  $\cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ .

#### Conversely

Let for each closed subset G of X and  $x \notin G$  with ker $(G) \neq ker\{x\}$  then there exist closed sets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that ker $(G) \subseteq F_1$ , ker $(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and ker $(x) \subseteq F_2$ , ker $\{x\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ . Then  $F_1^c$  and  $F_2^c$  are open sets such that  $F_1^c \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$  and ker $(G) \cap F_1^c = \emptyset$ , ker $(x) \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$ , so that  $G \subseteq F_2^c$  and  $x \in F_1^c$ . Thus (X, T) is a regular space (R<sub>2</sub>-space).

### Lemma 2.6

Let (X, T) be a regular space and F be a closed set. Then ker(F) = cl(F) = F.

#### Proof

Let (X,T) be a regular space and *F* be a closed set. Then for each  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint open sets *U*, *V* such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ . Since ker(F)  $\subseteq U$ , implies ker(F)  $\cap V = \emptyset$ , thus  $x \notin cl(\text{ker}(F))$ . We showing that if  $x \notin F$  implies  $x \notin cl(\text{ker}(F))$ , therefore  $cl(\text{ker}(F) \subseteq cl(F) =$ *F*. As  $cl(F) = F \subseteq \text{ker}(F)$ [By definition 1.1]. Thus ker(F) = cl(F) = F.

#### Theorem 2.7

A topological space (X,T) is a regular space (R<sub>2</sub>-space) if and only if for each closed subset F of X and  $x \notin F$  with  $cl(ker(F)) \neq cl(ker\{x\})$  then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that  $cl(ker(F)) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq V$ .

### Proof

Let a topological space (X,T) be a regular space (R<sub>2</sub>-space) and let F be a closed set,  $x \notin F$ . . Then there exist disjoint open set U, V such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ . By lemma 2.6, cl(ker(F)) = cl(F) = F in the other hand (X,T) is an R<sub>0</sub>-space [By remark 1.8]. Hence, by theorem 1.4,  $cl\{x\} = ker\{x\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Thus  $cl(ker(F)) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq V$ .

#### Conversely

Let for each closed set F and  $x \notin F$  with  $cl(ker(F)) \neq cl(ker\{x\})$  then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that  $cl(ker(F)) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(ker\{x\}) \subseteq V$ . Then  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ . Thus (X, T) a regular space( $\mathbb{R}_2$ -space).

### **Definition 2.8**

A topological space (X,T) is an  $R_3$ -space if and only if (X, T) is a normal and  $R_1$ -space.

#### **Theorem 2.9**

Every  $R_3$ -space is a regular space( $R_2$ -space).

### Proof

Let F be a closed and  $x \notin F$ . Then  $x \in F^c$  is an open set implies for each  $y \in F$ ,  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ , therefore  $\ker\{x\} \neq \ker\{y\}$ . Then there exist closed sets  $G_y$ ,  $H_y$  such that  $\ker\{y\} \subseteq Gy$ ,  $\ker\{y\} \cap Hy = \emptyset$  and  $\ker\{x\} \subseteq Hy$ ,  $\ker\{x\} \cap Gy = \emptyset$ [Since(X,T) is R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption and by theorem 2.3], let  $\beta = \bigcap\{H_y : x \in H_y\}$ , is a closed set such that  $\beta \cap F = \emptyset$ . Hence (X, T) is a normal space then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $\beta \subseteq V$ , so that  $x \in V$ . Thus (X, T) is a regular space.

#### 3. $T_i$ -Spaces, i = 0, 1, ..., 4

#### Theorem 3.1

A topological space (X,T) is a T<sub>0</sub>-space if and only if either  $y \notin ker\{x\}$  or  $x \notin ker\{y\}$ , for each  $x \neq y \in X$ .

### Proof

Let (X, T) is a T<sub>0</sub>-space then for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists an open set G such that  $x \in G, y \notin G$  or  $x \notin G, y \in G$ . Thus either  $x \in G, y \notin G$  implies  $y \notin ker\{x\}$  or  $x \notin G, y \in G$  implies  $x \notin ker\{y\}$ .

#### Conversely

Let either  $y \notin ker\{x\}$  or  $x \notin ker\{y\}$ , for each  $x \neq y \in X$ . Then there exists an open set G such that  $x \in G$ ,  $y \notin G$  or  $x \notin G$ ,  $y \in G$ . Thus (X, T) is a T<sub>0</sub> space.

### Theorem 3.2

A topological space (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$ .  $y \notin ker\{x\}$  and  $x \notin ker\{y\}$ 

### Proof

Let (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space then for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists an open sets U, V such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  or  $y \in V, x \notin V$ . Implies  $y \notin ker\{x\}$  and  $x \notin ker\{y\}$ .

#### Conversely

Let  $y \notin ker\{x\}$  and  $x \notin ker\{y\}$ , for each  $x \neq y \in X$ . Then there exists an open sets U, V such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ . Thus (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>- space.

#### **Theorem 3.3**

A topological space (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space if and only if for each  $x \in X$  then  $ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ .

### Proof

Let (X, T) is aT<sub>1</sub>-space and let  $ker\{x\} \neq \{x\}$ , then  $ker\{x\}$  contains anther point distinct from x say y. So  $y \in ker\{x\}$ . Hence by theorem 3.2, (X, T) is not a T<sub>1</sub>-space this is contradiction. Thus  $ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ 

#### Conversely

Let  $ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ , for each  $x \in X$  and let (X, T) is not a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Then  $y \in ker\{x\}$  (say)[By theorem 3.2], implies  $ker\{x\} \neq \{x\}$ , this is contradiction. Thus (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space.

#### **Theorem 3.4**

A topological space (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$  implies ker{x}  $\cap$  ker{y} =  $\emptyset$ .

#### Proof

Let a topological space (X, T) be a T<sub>1</sub>-space.Then  $ker\{x\} = \{x\}$  and  $ker\{y\} = \{y\}$ [By theorem 3.3]. Thus  $ker\{x\} \cap ker\{y\} = \emptyset$ .

#### Conversely

Let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  implies ker{x}  $\cap$  ker{y} =  $\emptyset$  and let (X,T) is not T<sub>1</sub>-space Then for each  $x \neq y \in X$  implies  $y \in ker\{x\}$  or  $x \in ker\{y\}$ , The ker{x}  $\cap$  ker{y}  $\neq \emptyset$ . This is contradiction. Thus (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space.

### **Corollary 3.5**

A topological an T<sub>0</sub>-space is a T<sub>2</sub>-space if and only if for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$  then there exist closed sets F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> such that  $ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1$ ,  $ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2$ ,  $ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ 

### Proof

By theorem 2.3 and remark 1.7.

### Corollary 3.6

A topological T<sub>1</sub>-space is a T<sub>2</sub>-space if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1) For each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $cl\{x\} \neq cl\{y\}$  then there exist open sets U, V such

that  $cl(ker{x}) \subseteq U$  and  $cl(ker{y}) \subseteq V$ 

2) for each  $x \neq y \in X$  with  $ker\{x\} \neq ker\{y\}$  then there exist closed sets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $ker\{x\} \subseteq F_1$ ,  $ker\{x\} \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $ker\{y\} \subseteq F_2$ ,  $ker\{y\} \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ .

### Proof(1)

By corollary 2.4 and remark 1.7.

#### Proof (2)

By theorem 2.3 and remark 1.7.

### Theorem 3.7

A topological R<sub>1</sub>-space is a T<sub>2</sub>-space if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

```
1) For each x \in X, ker\{x\} = \{x\}.
```

2) For each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker $\{x\} \neq ker \{y\}$  implies  $ker\{x\} \cap ker\{y\} = \emptyset$ .

3) For each for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , either  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  or  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ 

4) For each for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ .

### Proof (1)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>2</sub>-space .Then (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space and R<sub>1</sub>-space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 3.3, ker{x} ={x} for each  $x \in X$ .

### Conversely

Let for each  $x \in X$ , ker{x} ={x}, then by theorem 3.3, (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Also (X, T) is an R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. Hence by remark1.7, (X, T) is aT<sub>2</sub>-space.

### Proof(2)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>2</sub>-space .Then (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 3.4,  $ker\{x\} \cap ker\{y\} = \emptyset$  for each  $x \neq y \in X$ .

### Conversely

Assume that for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker $\{x\} \neq ker \{y\}$  implies ker $\{x\} \cap ker\{y\} = \emptyset$ , so by theorem 3.4, the topological space (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space, also (X, T) is an R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a T<sub>2</sub>-space.

#### Proof(3)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>2</sub>-space .Then (X, T) is a T<sub>0</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 23.1, *either*  $x \notin ker\{y\} or y \notin ker\{x\}$  for each  $x \neq y \in X$ .

#### Conversely

Assume that for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , *either*  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  *or*  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$  for each  $x \neq y \in X$ .

, so by theorem 3.1, (X, T) is a  $T_0$ -space also (X, T) is an  $R_1$ -space by assumption. Thus (X, T) is a  $T_2$ -space [By remark 1.7].

### Proof (4)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>2</sub>-space.Then (X,T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space and an R<sub>1</sub>-space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 3.2,  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ .

#### Conversely

Let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ . Then by theorem 3.2, (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Also (X, T) is an R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a T<sub>2</sub>-space.

### Theorem 3.8

A topological space (X,T) is a normal space if and only if for each disjoint closed sets G, H with  $ker(G) \neq ker(H)$  then there exist closed sets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $ker(G) \subseteq F_1$ ,  $ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $ker(H) \subseteq F_2$ ,  $ker(H) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ .

### Proof

Let (X,T) be a normal topological space and let for each disjoint closed sets G, H with  $cl(G) \neq cl(H)$  then there exist disjoint open sets U, V such that  $G \subseteq U$ ,  $H \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , then  $U^c$  and  $V^c$  are closed sets such that  $U^c \cup V^c = X$  and  $ker(G) \cap U^c = \emptyset$ ,  $ker(H) \cap V^c = \emptyset$ . Put  $F_2 = U^c$  and  $F_{1=}V^c$ . Thus  $ker(G) \subseteq U \subseteq F_1$ ,  $ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $ker(H) \subseteq V \subseteq F_2$ ,  $ker(H) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ .

#### Conversely

Let for each disjoint closed sets G, H with  $ker(G) \neq ker(H)$ , there exist closed sets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $ker(G) \subseteq F_1$ ,  $ker(G) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  and  $ker(H) \subseteq F_2$ ,  $ker(H) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ , implies  $F_1^c$  and  $F_2^c$  are open sets such that  $F_1^c \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$  and  $ker(G) \cap F_1^c = \emptyset$ ,  $ker(H) \cap F_2^c = \emptyset$ , so that  $G \subseteq F_2^c$  and  $H \subseteq F_1^c$ . Thus (X,T) is a normal space.

#### Theorem 3.9

A topological compact an  $R_1\mbox{-space}$  is a  $T_3\mbox{-space}$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1) for each  $x \in X$ , ker{x} = {x}

2) for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker{x}  $\cap$  ker{y} =  $\emptyset$ .

3) for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ 

4) for each  $x \neq y \in X$  either  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  or  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ 

### Proof (1)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>3</sub>-space . Then (X,T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space , by theorem 3.3, for each  $x \in X$ , ker {x} = {x}

### Conversely

Let for each  $x \in X$ ,  $ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ , then by theorem 3.3. (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Also (X, T) is a compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. So by remark1.7, we get (X, T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>- space .Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T<sub>3</sub>-space

### Proof (2)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>3</sub>-space . Then (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 3.4, for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker{x}  $\cap$  ker{y} =  $\emptyset$ 

### Conversely

Assume that for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker{x}  $\cap$  ker{y} =  $\emptyset$ , so by theorem 3.4, the topological space (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>- space, also (X,T) is a compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. So by remark1.7, (X,T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T<sub>3</sub>- space.

#### Proof(3)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>3</sub>-space .Then (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 3.3, then for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin ker\{x\}$ 

### Conversely

Assume that for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ , then by theorem 3.3, (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space also(X, T) is a Compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. Thus (X, T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T<sub>3</sub>-space.

### Proof (4)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>3</sub>-space. Then (X,T) is a T<sub>0</sub>-space. So by theorem 3.1, *either*  $x \notin ker\{y\}$  or  $y \notin ker\{x\}$ , for each  $x \neq y \in X$ 

### Conversely

Let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  either  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  or  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ . Then by theorem 3.1, (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Also (X, T) is a compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption . Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space. Henceby theorem 1.9, (X, T) is a T<sub>3</sub>-space.

#### Theorem 3.11

A topological compact an  $R_1\mbox{-space}$  is a  $T_4\mbox{-space}$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

a) for each  $x \in X$ , ker{x} = {x}

b) for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker{x}  $\cap ker{y} = \emptyset$ 

c) for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ 

d) for each  $x \neq y \in X$  either  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  or  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ 

### Proof (1)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>4</sub>-space .Then (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space, by theorem 3.3,  $ker{x} = {x}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

#### Conversely

Let for each  $x \in X$ ,  $ker\{x\} = \{x\}$ , then by theorem 3.3. (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Also (X, T) is a compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. So by remark1.7, we get (X, T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 1.10, (X, T) is a T<sub>4</sub>-space

### Proof (2)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>4</sub>-space . Then (X,T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 3.4, for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker{x}  $\cap ker\{y\} = \emptyset$ 

### Conversely

Assume that for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , ker{x}  $\cap ker\{y\} = \emptyset$  so by theorem 3.4, the topological space (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space, also (X, T) is a compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. So by remark1.7, (X, T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 1.10, (X, T) is a T<sub>4</sub>-space.

#### **Proof**(3)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>4</sub>-space .Then (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 3.2, then for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin ker\{x\}$ 

#### Conversely

Assume that for each  $x \neq y \in X$  then  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  and  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ , then by theorem 3.2, (X, T) is a T<sub>1</sub>-space also(X, T) is a compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. Thus (X,T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space [By remark 1.7]. Hence by theorem 1.10, (X,T) is a T<sub>4</sub>-space

## Proof (4)

Let (X, T) be a T<sub>4</sub>-space. Then (X, T) is a T<sub>0</sub>-space. So by theorem 3.1, for each  $x \neq y \in X$  either  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  or  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$ 

#### Conversely

Let for each  $x \neq y \in X$  either  $x \notin \ker\{y\}$  or  $y \notin \ker\{x\}$  Then by Theorem 3.1, (X, T) is a T<sub>0</sub>-space. Also (X, T) is a compact R<sub>1</sub>-space by assumption. Hence by remark 1.7, (X, T) is a compact T<sub>2</sub>-space. Hence by theorem 1.9,(X,T) is a T<sub>4</sub>-space.

### REFERENCES

[1] A.S.Davis.(1961). Indexed system of Neighborhood for general Topology Amer. Math. Soc. (9)68, 886-893, http://www.jstor.org/stable/2311686

[2] Bishwambhar Roy and M.N.Mukherjee. (2010). A unified theory for R<sub>0</sub>, R<sub>1</sub>. Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (3s) v.28.2, *http://www.spm.uem.br/bspm/pdf/vol28-2/Art2.pdf* 

[3] J.N.Sharma. (1977). "general topology" Krishna Prakashan, Meerut(U.P).

[4] N. A. Shanin.(1943), On separation in topological spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 38, 110-113.