Al-Obaidi and Imran

Iraqi Journal of Science, 2021, Vol. 62, No. 8, pp: 2660-2666 DOI: 10.24996/ijs.2021.62.8.18



## **On New Types of Weakly Neutrosophic Crisp Open Mappings**

## Ali Hussein Mahmood Al-Obaidi<sup>1\*</sup>, Qays Hatem Imran<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, University of Babylon, Hillah, Iraq <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, Al-Muthanna University, Samawah, Iraq

Received: 18/4/2020

Accepted: 4/11/2020

#### Abstract

This work employs the conceptions of neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha$ -open and semi- $\alpha$ -open sets to distinguish some novel forms of weakly neutrosophic crisp open mappings; for instance, neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha^*$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha^*$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha$ -open mappings, and neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha^*$ -open mappings, and neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha^*$ -open mappings. Moreover, the close connections between these forms of weakly neutrosophic crisp open mappings and the viewpoints of neutrosophic crisp open mappings are explained. Additionally, various theorems and related features and notes are submitted.

**Keywords:** NC $\alpha$ -open sets, NCS $\alpha$ -open sets, NC $\alpha$ -open mappings, NC $\alpha$ \*-open mappings, NC $\alpha$ \*\*-open mappings, NCS $\alpha$ -open mappings and NCS $\alpha$ \*\*-open mappings.

# حول أنواع جديدة من التطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه الضعيفة

### علي حسين محمود العبيدي<sup>1\*</sup> ، قيس حاتم عمران<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة، جامعة بابل، حله، العراق. <sup>2</sup>قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة، جامعة المثنى، سماوه، العراق.

#### الخلاصة

هذا العمل يوظف أفكار المجموعات المفتوحة  $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه و المفتوحة شبه  $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه لتميز أنواع جديدة وغير مألوفة للتطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه الضعيفة وعلى سبيل المثال المشه لتميز أنواع جديدة وغير مألوفة للتطبيقات المفتوحة \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة المتروسوفكية الهشه الضعيفة وعلى سبيل المثال \*\* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة من النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة منه \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة شبه \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة منه \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة شبه \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه. علاوة على ذلك، فسرت الارتباطات الفتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة ألنتروسوفكية الهشه. علاوة على ذلك، فسرت الارتباطات الوثيقة بين هذه الصيغ للتطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه الضعيفة و جهات النظر التطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه. علاوة على ذلك، فسرت الارتباطات الوثيقة بين هذه الصيغ التطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه الضعيفة و جهات النظر التطبيقات المفتوحة النتروسوفكية الهشه الضعيفة و مراحات منوريات و خصائص و ملاحظات متنوعة.

**الكلمات المفتاحية**: المجموعات المفتوحة  $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، المجموعات المفتوحة شبه  $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة  $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة \*\* $\alpha$ النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة شبه  $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة شبه \* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه، التطبيقات المفتوحة شبه \*\* $\alpha$  النتروسوفكية الهشه.

#### **1. Introduction**

Salama et al. [1] pioneered the abstraction of neutrosophic crisp topological space. Abdel-Basset et al. [2-7] gave a novel neutrosophic approach. Al-Hamido et al. [8] submitted the intellect of

neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha$ -closed sets. Banupriya *et al.* [9] investigated the notion of  $\alpha$ gs continuity and  $\alpha$ gs irresolute maps. Dhavaseelan *et al.* [10] exhibited the theme of neutrosophic  $\alpha^m$ -continuity. Maheswari *et al.* [11] introduced gb-closed sets and gb-continuity. This study aims to establish unprecedented classes of weakly neutrosophic crisp open mappings by way of examples neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha^*$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha^{**}$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha$ -open mappings, neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha^*$ -open mappings, and neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha^{**}$ -open mappings. Furthermore, we shall explain the close relastionships between these categories of weakly neutrosophic crisp open mappings and the conceptions of neutrosophic crisp open mappings. Furthermore, we shall show some related theorems and their features and notes.

### 2. Preliminaries

For all of this work,  $(\mathcal{U}, \tau), (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$ , and  $(\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  (or frugally  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ , and  $\mathcal{W}$ ) always mean neutrosophic crisp topological spaces (for brevity NCTSs). For a neutrosophic crisp set  $\mathcal{M}$  in a NCTS  $\mathcal{U}, \ \mathcal{M}^c = \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{M}, \ NCint(\mathcal{M}), \ and \ NCcl(\mathcal{M}) \ signify the neutrosophic crisp complement, the$  $neutrosophic crisp interior, and the neutrosophic crisp closure of <math>\mathcal{M}$ , correspondingly.

**Definition 2.1 [1]:** For any nonempty under-consideration set  $\mathcal{U}$ , a neutrosophic crisp set (curtly NCS)  $\mathcal{M}$  is an object holding *the establishment* $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3 \rangle$  where  $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_3$  are mutually disjoint sets included in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 2.2:** Suppose that NCTS  $\mathcal{U}$  contains a NCS  $\mathcal{M}$ , then we have the following:

1) If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq NCint(NCcl(NCint(\mathcal{M})))$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is named a neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha$ -open set (concisely NC $\alpha$ -OS) [12]. The collection of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is symbolized by NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ).

2) If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq NCcl(NCint(NCcl(NCint(\mathcal{M}))))$  or, in other words, for some NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  so that  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq NCcl(\mathcal{E})$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha$ -open set (concisely NCS $\alpha$ -OS) [8]. The collection of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is represented by NCS $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ).

**Remark 2.3 [8,13]:** For any NCTS *U*, the following claims stay valid, but not vice versa:

1) For all NC-OSs are NC $\alpha$ -OSs and NCS $\alpha$ -OSs.

2) For all NC $\alpha$ -OSs are NCS $\alpha$ -OSs.

**Example 2.4:** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ .

Then,  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} = \{\phi_N, \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{U}_N \}$  is a NCTS. The class of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) =  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} \cup \{\langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ . The class of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NCS $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) = NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ )  $\cup \{\langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, c, d\}, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, c, d\},$ 

 $\langle \{b, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \}$ . The NCS  $\langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$  is a NC $\alpha$ -OS (NCS $\alpha$ -OS) but not NC-OS. The NCS  $\langle \{a, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$  is a NCS $\alpha$ -OS but not NC $\alpha$ -OS.

**Proposition 2.5 [12]:** For any neutrosophic crisp subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of a NCTS  $(\mathcal{U}, \tau)$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \in NC\alpha O(\mathcal{U})$  iff for some NC-OS  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq NCint(NCcl(\mathcal{P}))$ .

**Definition 2.6:** Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a mapping, then  $\ell$  is termed:

1) Neutrosophic crisp open (briefly NC-open) [1] iff for each  $\mathcal{M}$  NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

2) Neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha$ -open (briefly NC $\alpha$ -open) [14] iff for each  $\mathcal{M}$  NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Proposition 2.7** [1]: A mapping  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  is NC-open iff  $\ell(NCint(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq NCint(\ell(\mathcal{M}))$ , for every  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 2.8** [1]: Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a mapping, then  $\ell$  is called neutrosophic crisp continuous (briefly NC-continuous) iff for each  $\mathcal{M}$  NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}, \ell^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$  is a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition 2.9** [1]: A mapping  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  is NC-continuous iff  $\ell(NCcl(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq NCcl(\ell(\mathcal{M}))$ , for every  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ .

3. Weakly Neutrosophic Crisp Open Mappings

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a mapping, then  $\ell$  is named:

1) Neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha^*$ -open (briefly NC $\alpha^*$ -open) iff for each NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is considered a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

2) Neutrosophic crisp  $\alpha^{**}$ -open (briefly NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open) iff for each NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is considered a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Definition 3.2:** Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a mapping, then  $\ell$  is termed:

1) Neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha$ -open (briefly NCS $\alpha$ -open) iff for each  $\mathcal{M}$  as NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is a NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

2) Neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha^*$ -open (briefly NCS $\alpha^*$ -open) iff for each  $\mathcal{M}$  as NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is a NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

3) Neutrosophic crisp semi- $\alpha^{**}$ -open (briefly NCS $\alpha^{**}$ -open) iff for each  $\mathcal{M}$  as NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

### **Proposition 3.3**

1) Every NC-open mapping is a NC $\alpha$ -open, so it is NCS $\alpha$ -open, but the reverse is not valid in general.

2) Every NC $\alpha$ -open mapping is a NCS $\alpha$ -open, but the reverse is not valid in general.

### Proof

1) Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a NC-open mapping and a NC-OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is considered a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because any NC-OS is NC $\alpha$ -OS (NCS $\alpha$ -OS),  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is considered a NC $\alpha$ -OS (NCS $\alpha$ -OS) set in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Hence,  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open (NCS $\alpha$ -open) mapping.

2) Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because any NC $\alpha$ -OS is NCS $\alpha$ -OS,  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  considers NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Hence  $\ell$  is a NCS $\alpha$ -open mapping.

**Example 3.4:** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ .

Then,  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} = \{\phi_N, \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{U}_N \}$  is a NCTS. The class of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) =  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} \cup \{\langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ . The class of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NCS $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) = NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ )  $\cup \{\langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \}$ .

We define a mapping  $\ell: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$  by  $\ell(\langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi\rangle) = \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi\rangle, \ell(\langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi\rangle) = \langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi\rangle, \ell(\langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi\rangle) = \ell(\langle \{d\}, \phi, \phi\rangle) = \langle \{d\}, \phi, \phi\rangle$ . We observe that  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping, which is not NC-open mapping since  $\langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi\rangle$  is NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ , but  $\ell(\langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi\rangle) = \langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi\rangle$  is not a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Example 3.5:** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ .

Then,  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} = \{\phi_N, \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{U}_N \}$  is a NCTS. The class of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) =  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} \cup \{\langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ . The class of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NCS $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) = NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ )  $\cup \{\langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ .

We define a mapping  $\ell: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$  by  $\ell(\langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \ell(\langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \ell(\langle \{d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$ . It is easily seen that  $\ell$  is a NCS $\alpha$ -open mapping, but it is not NC-open mapping since  $\langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$  is NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ , but  $\ell(\langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$  is not a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then,  $\ell$  is a NCS $\alpha$ -open mapping, but it is not NC $\alpha$ -open mapping.

**Remark 3.6:** The ideas of NC-open mapping and NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping are self-regulating, as in the further examples below:

### **Example 3.7:** Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ .

Then,  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} = \{\phi_N, \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{U}_N \}$  is a NCTS. The class of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) =  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} \cup \{\langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ . The class of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NCS $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) = NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ )  $\cup \{\langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ .

We define a mapping  $\ell: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$  by  $\ell(\langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \ell(\langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$ . It is easily seen that  $\ell$  is a NC-open mapping, which is not NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping since  $\langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \in NC\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$ , but  $\ell(\langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \notin NC\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Example 3.8:** In Example 3.4, it is easily seen that  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping, but it is not NC-open since  $\langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \in \tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ , but  $\ell(\langle \{a, b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \notin \tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

#### **Proposition 3.9**

1) If  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  is a NC-open, NC-continuous mapping, then  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

2)  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping iff  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, NC\alpha O(\mathcal{U})) \to (\mathcal{V}, NC\alpha O(\mathcal{V}))$  is a NC-open.

### Proof

1) Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a NC-open, NC-continuous mapping. To prove that  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ open mapping, let  $\mathcal{M} \in NC\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$ , then for some NC-OS  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq NCint(NCcl(\mathcal{F}))$ (by Proposition 2.5). Hence,  $\ell(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \ell(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \ell\left(NCint(NCcl(\mathcal{F}))\right)$ , but  $\ell(NCint(NCcl(\mathcal{F}))) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ 

 $NCint(\ell(NCcl(\mathcal{F})))$  (since  $\ell$  is a NC-open mapping).

Then,  $\ell(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \ell(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \ell(NCint(NCcl(\mathcal{F})))) \subseteq NCint(\ell(NCcl(\mathcal{F}))).$ 

But,  $NCint(\ell(NCcl(\mathcal{F}))) \subseteq NCint(NCcl(\ell(\mathcal{F})))$  (since  $\ell$  is a NC-continuous mapping). Therefore, we get  $\ell(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \ell(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq NCint(NCcl(\ell(\mathcal{F})))$ . However,  $\ell(\mathcal{F})$  is a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$  (since  $\ell$  is a NC-open mapping). Hence,  $\ell(\mathcal{M}) \in NC\alpha O(\mathcal{V})$  (by Proposition 2.5). Thus, it is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

2) The proof of a part (2) is easily reached.

**Proposition 3.10:** Every NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping is a NC $\alpha$ -open and NCS $\alpha$ -open, but the reverse is not valid in general.

**Proof:** Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a NC $\alpha$ \*-open mapping and  $\mathcal{M}$  be NC-OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, we have that  $\mathcal{M}$  is considered a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{U}$  [from Proposition 2.5]. Because  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha$ \*-open, then  $\ell(\mathcal{M})$  is considered a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Therefore,  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open. Also,  $\ell$  is a NCS $\alpha$ -open.

**Example 3.11:** In Example 3.7, it is easily seen that  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping and NCS $\alpha$ -open mapping, but not NC $\alpha$ \*-open.

**Remark 3.12:** The ideas of NC-open mapping and NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping are independent, as explained in the examples below.

**Example 3.13:** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c\}$ . Then,  $\tau = \{\phi_N, \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{U}_N\}$  is a NCTS. The class of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs (NCS $\alpha$ -OSs) of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) = NCS $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) =  $\tau \cup \{\langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{p, q, r, s\}$ . Then,  $\sigma = \{\phi_N, \langle \{p\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{q, r\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{p, q, r\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{V}_N\}$  is a NCTS. The class of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{V}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{V}$ ) =  $\sigma$ . The class of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{V}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{V}$ ) =  $\sigma$ . The class of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{V}$  is: NCS $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{V}$ ) = NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{V}$ )  $\cup \{\langle \{q, r, s\}, \phi, \phi \rangle\}$ .

We define a mapping  $\ell: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$  by  $\ell(\langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{p\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{q\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{r\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$ . It is easily seen that  $\ell$  is a NC-open mapping, but it is not NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping, since  $\langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \in NCS\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$ , but  $\ell(\langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{p, q\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \notin NCS\alphaO(\mathcal{V})$ .

**Example 3.14:** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c\}$ . Then,  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}} = \{\phi_N, \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{U}_N \}$  is a NCTS. The family of all NC $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) =  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ . The family of all NCS $\alpha$ -OSs of  $\mathcal{U}$  is: NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) = NC $\alpha$ O( $\mathcal{U}$ ) U{ $\langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \}$ .

We define a mapping  $\ell: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$  by  $\ell(\langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \ell(\langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$ . It is easily observed that  $\ell$  is a NCS $\alpha$ \*-open mapping, but it is not NC-open mapping since  $\mathcal{U}_N \in \tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ , but  $\ell(\mathcal{U}_N) = \langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \notin \tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

**Proposition 3.15:** A mapping  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  is a NCS $\alpha^*$ -open iff  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \text{NCS}\alpha O(\mathcal{U})) \to (\mathcal{V}, \text{NCS}\alpha O(\mathcal{V}))$  is a NC-open mapping.

**Proof:** Obvious.

**Remark 3.16:** The ideas of NC $\alpha$ \*-open mapping and NCS $\alpha$ \*-open mapping are independent as the further examples demonstrate:

**Example 3.17:** In Example 3.14, it is easily seen that  $\ell$  is a NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping but it is not NC $\alpha^*$ -open since  $\mathcal{U}_N \in NC\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$ , but  $\ell(\mathcal{U}_N) = \langle \{a, c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle \notin NC\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Example 3.18:** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ . Then  $\tau = \{\phi_N, \langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{a, b, d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{U}_N\}$  is a NCTS. Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{p, q, r, s\}$ . Then  $\sigma = \{\phi_N, \langle \{p\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{q, s\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \langle \{p, q, s\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \mathcal{V}_N\}$  is a NCTS. Define a mapping  $\ell: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$  by  $\ell(\langle \{a\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{p\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{b\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \ell(\langle \{c\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{q\}, \phi, \phi \rangle, \ell(\langle \{d\}, \phi, \phi \rangle) = \langle \{s\}, \phi, \phi \rangle$ . It is easily seen that  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping, but it is not NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

**Theorem 3.19:** If a mapping  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  is NC $\alpha^*$ -open and NC-continuous, then it is NCS $\alpha^*$ -open.

**Proof:** Let  $\ell: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  be a NC $\alpha^*$ -open and NC-continuous mapping. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, we have for some NC $\alpha$ -OS, say  $\mathcal{S}$ , such that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq NCcl(\mathcal{S})$ . Therefore,  $\ell(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \ell(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \ell(NCcl(\mathcal{S})) \subseteq NCcl(\ell(\mathcal{S}))$  (since  $\ell$  is a NC-continuous), but  $\ell(\mathcal{S}) \in NC\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$  (since  $\ell$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping). Hence,  $\ell(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \ell(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq NCcl(\ell(\mathcal{S}))$ . Thus,  $\ell(\mathcal{M}) \in NCS\alphaO(\mathcal{U})$ . Therefore,  $\ell$  is a NCS $\alpha^*$ -open.

**Theorem 3.20:** Let  $\ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{V}, \sigma)$  and  $\ell_2: (\mathcal{V}, \sigma) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  be two mappings, then:

1) If  $\ell_1$  is NC-open mapping and  $\ell_2$  is NC $\alpha$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping.

2) If  $\ell_1$  is NC $\alpha$ -open mapping and  $\ell_2$  is NC $\alpha$ \*-open mapping, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping.

3) If  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  are NC $\alpha^*$ -open mappings, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

4) If  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  are NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mappings, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

5) If ℓ<sub>1</sub> and ℓ<sub>2</sub> are NCα\*\*-open mappings, then ℓ<sub>2</sub> ∘ ℓ<sub>1</sub>: (U, τ) → (W, ρ) is a NCα\*\*-open mapping.
6) If ℓ<sub>1</sub> and ℓ<sub>2</sub> are NCSα\*\*-open mappings, then ℓ<sub>2</sub> ∘ ℓ<sub>1</sub>: (U, τ) → (W, ρ) is a NCSα\*\*-open mapping.

7) If  $\ell_1$  is NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping and  $\ell_2$  is NC $\alpha^{*}$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^{*}$ -open mapping.

8) If  $\ell_1$  is NC $\alpha$ -open mapping and  $\ell_2$  is NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC-open mapping.

9) If  $\ell_1$  is NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping and  $\ell_2$  is NC $\alpha$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

10) If  $\ell_1$  is NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping and  $\ell_2$  is NC-open mapping, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping.

#### Proof

1) Let a NC-OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC-open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because  $\ell_2$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping.

2) Let a NC-OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because  $\ell_2$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping.

3) Let a NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because  $\ell_2$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

4) Let a NCS $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because  $\ell_2$  is a NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NCS $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

5) Let a NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because any NC-OS is NC $\alpha$ -OS,  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Meanwhile  $\ell_2$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping.

6) Let a NCS $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NCS $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because any NC-OS is NCS $\alpha$ -OS,  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NCS $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Meanwhile,  $\ell_2$  is a NCS $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping and  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NCS $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping.

7) Let a NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping,  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because any NC-OS is NC $\alpha$ -OS,  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Meanwhile  $\ell_2$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping and  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

8) Let a NC-OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because  $\ell_2$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC-open mapping.

9) Let a NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because  $\ell_2$  is a NC $\alpha$ -open mapping,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC $\alpha$ -OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^*$ -open mapping.

10) Let a NC $\alpha$ -OS  $\mathcal{M}$  be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\ell_1$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping, then  $\ell_1(\mathcal{M})$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Because  $\ell_2$  is a NC-open mapping,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_2(\ell_1(\mathcal{M}))$  is considered as a NC-OS in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Thus,  $\ell_2 \circ \ell_1: (\mathcal{U}, \tau) \to (\mathcal{W}, \rho)$  is a NC $\alpha^{**}$ -open mapping.

**Remark 3.21:** The illustration demonstrated in Figure 1 explains the relationships between weakly NC-open mappings.



Figure 1- The relationships between weakly NC-open mappings.

#### 4. Conclusions

We developed the thoughts of NC $\alpha$ -open and NCS $\alpha$ -open sets to describe some fresh types of weakly neutrosophic crisp open mappings, such as NC $\alpha$ -open, NC $\alpha$ \*-open, NCS $\alpha$ \*-open mappings. The NC $\alpha$ -closed and NCS $\alpha$ -closed sets can be manipulated to obtain some innovative kinds of weakly neutrosophic crisp closed mappings.

#### References

- 1. Salama, A. A., Smarandache, F. and Kroumov, V. 2014. Neutrosophic crisp sets and neutrosophic crisp topological spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2: 25-30.
- 2. Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, R., Zaied, A.E.N.H. and Smarandache, F. 2019. A Hybrid Plithogenic Decision-Making Approach with Quality Function Deployment for Selecting Supply Chain Sustainability Metrics, *Symmetry*, 11(7): 903.
- **3.** Abdel-Basset, M., Nabeeh, N. A., El-Ghareeb, H. A. And Aboelfetouh, A. **2019**. Utilising neutrosophic theory to solve transition difficulties of IoT-based enterprises, *Enterprise Information Systems*, pp:1-21.
- 4. Abdel-Baset, M., Chang, V. and Gamal, A. 2019. Evaluation of the green supply chain management practices: A novel neutrosophic approach, *Computers in Industry*, 108:210-220.
- **5.** Abdel-Basset, M., Saleh, M., Gamal, A. and Smarandache, F. **2019**. An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number, *Applied Soft Computing*, **77**:438-452.

- 6. Abdel-Baset, M., Chang, V., Gamal, A. and Smarandache, F. 2019. An integrated neutrosophic ANP and VIKOR method for achieving sustainable supplier selection: A case study in importing field, *Computers in Industry*, 106:94-110.
- 7. Abdel-Basset, M., Manogaran, G., Gamal, A.and Smarandache, F. 2019. A group decision making framework based on neutrosophic TOPSIS approach for smart medical device selection, *Journal of medical systems*, 43(2):38.
- **8.** Al-Hamido, R. K., Imran, Q. H., Alghurabi, K. A. and Gharibah, T. **2018**. On neutrosophic crisp semi-α-closed sets, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **21**:28-35.
- **9.** Banupriya, V. and Chandrasekar, S. **2019**. Neutrosophic αgs Continuity and Neutrosophic αgs Irresolute Maps, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **28**:162-170.
- 10. Dhavaseelan, R., Narmada Devi, R., Jafari, S. and Imran, Q. H. 2019. Neutrosophic  $\alpha^{m}$ -continuity, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 27:171-179.
- 11. Maheswari, C. and Chandrasekar, S. 2019. Neutrosophic gb-closed Sets and Neutrosophic gb-Continuity, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 29:89-100.
- **12.** Salama, A. A. **2015**. Basic structure of some classes of neutrosophic crisp nearly open sets & possible application to GIS topology, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **7**:18-22.
- **13.** Al-Omeri, W. **2016**. Neutrosophic crisp sets via neutrosophic crisp topological spaces, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **13**:96-104.
- 14. Salama, A. A., Hanafy, I. M., Elghawalby, H. and Dabash, M. S. 2016. Some GIS Topological Concepts via Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory, *New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*.