

2020

# Lab-scale bioremediation technology: the development of environmental biotechnology for the ex situ bioremediation of cadmium-contaminated freshwater

Jebril, Nadia

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/16580>

---

University of Plymouth

---

*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

## **Copyright statement**

*This copy of the thesis has been supplied on condition that anyone who consults it is understood to recognise that its copyright rests with its author and that no quotation from the thesis and no information derived from it may be published without the author's prior consent.*





**UNIVERSITY OF  
PLYMOUTH**

**Lab-scale bioremediation technology: the development of  
environmental biotechnology for the *ex situ*  
bioremediation of cadmium-contaminated freshwater**

By

**Nadia Mahmoud Tawfiq Jebril**

A thesis submitted to the University of Plymouth in partial fulfilment for the degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

School of Biological and Marine Sciences

**August 2020**

## **Grant Statement**

*The research work disclosed in this thesis was funded by the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Iraq (2014).*



# **Lab-scale bioremediation technology: the development of environmental biotechnology for the *ex situ* bioremediation of cadmium-contaminated freshwater**

Nadia Jebril

Cadmium (Cd) is one of the most common contaminants in freshwater. Among freshwater remediation techniques, bioremediation – the use of bacteria to extract Cd from water – is an eco-friendly technique. Cd-resistant bacteria evolve in the natural environment and can be used to develop a bioremediation process for Cd. However, gaining an adaptive strain is usually difficult. This research aimed to find an alternative bioremediation process for Cd from freshwater using Cd-resistant bacteria. To increase the Cd-resistance of the isolated *Brevibacillus agri* C15, UV-light mutagenesis was used to generate the mutant *B. agri* C15 Cd<sup>R</sup> with a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of  $21 \pm 0.4$  mM Cd, which was approximately 0.25 – fold higher than that of the wild type *B. agri* C15 (MIC:  $16 \pm 0.7$  mM Cd). Laboratory bench-scale column reactors were operated for 28 days to investigate the effectiveness of the mutant *B. agri* C15 Cd<sup>R</sup> entrapped in calcium alginate gel, as a bioremediation process for Cd from artificial groundwater (AGW) at different Cd concentrations (4.4, 8.8, 13.4 and 17.4  $\mu$ M). A new process for the purpose of attaining high Cd removal rates from AGW was achieved using the mutant in this study. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations enabled a detailed description of the beads, and the detection of Cd within the beads supported the Cd accumulation mechanism using a dithizone histochemical method. The interactions of Cd, cation/anion, and humic acid competing for ion exchange sites on Ca-alginate beads containing the mutant cells in addition to the effect of pH were investigated. The efficient removal of Cd was achieved from AGW at pH 4.00. The constituents were found to hinder Cd uptake due to the formation of Cd complexes. The preferential removal of Cd using the mutant from AGW (pH 4.00 and 7.50), as well as from natural river water (NRW, Walkham River), reflects its ability to remove Cd from freshwater in general. The hazard classification and risk assessment of the products of this study's new bioremediation process was not hazardous substances and did not represent a risk to humans. From a biotechnology standpoint, this thesis presents new prospects for this maintainable water bioremediation technique and the knowledge assembled in this study may provide a basis for the development of other bacteria for metal remediation and for further research in investigating and applying this technique.

## **Acknowledgements**

It is a great pleasure to express my profound gratitude to Dr Rich Boden, Associate Professor, School of Biological and Marine Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, and Dr Charlotte Braungardt, Associate Professor, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, the University of Plymouth for their guidance, valuable help, and consistent inspiration throughout this research. I would also like to express my gratitude to the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Iraq, for sponsoring me for the PhD programme at Plymouth University. I give special thanks to the Cultural Attaché/Iraqi Embassy in London, for their unflinching cooperation during my study. I am also thankful to Matthew Emery, Senior Microbiology Technician, and Sarah Jamieson, Microbiology Media Technician, School of Biological and Marine Sciences, for their microbiological assistance and friendly suggestions. I wish to express my appreciation to Dr Andrew Fisher, Dr Rob Clough, and Dr Alex Taylor, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, for providing me with the ICP facility. Many thanks to Dr Joceline Triner, Senior Technician (Histology and Microscopy), School of Biological and Marine Sciences, for her provision of histology facilities. I am grateful to the team at the Plymouth Electron Microscopy Centre (PEMC). I would like to especially thank Dr Roy Moate, Mr Peter Bond, and Mr Glenn Harper for providing the scanning electron microscope and transmission electron microscope facilities.

Finally, I give special thanks to who helped me, my children, Hind, Mazin, and Haitham, for their support during the time I have studied for my PhD programme.



## Author's Declaration

At no time during the registration for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy has the author been registered for any other University award without prior agreement of the Doctoral College Quality Sub-Committee.

Work submitted for this research degree at the University of Plymouth has not formed part of any other degree either at the University of Plymouth or at another establishment.

This study was financed through a scholarship from the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Iraq (2014).

Nadia Mahmoud Jebril confirms that the studies presented in this thesis were her work except for the 16S rRNA analysis; Rich Boden also carried out the phylogenetic tree for the work in Chapter Three.

### Presentation and conferences attended

- Jebril, N, Boden, R, and Braungardt, C. (2018). Bioremediation of cadmium for protecting human health, and ecosystem. Third Scientific Conference (Degradation of the Environment in Iraq, and its Impact on Public Health). The Iraqi Environment and Health Society, London-UK.
- Jebril, N, Boden, R, and Braungardt, C. (2017). *In situ* bioremediation simulation for cadmium contaminated artificial groundwater using a bed reactor. 9<sup>th</sup> Annual Biogeochemistry Conference, School of Geography, Earth, and Environmental Sciences, Plymouth University, Plymouth, UK.
- Jebril, N, Boden, R, and Braungardt, C. (2017). Heavy metal biogeochemistry, and removal by microbial biotechnology, Second Annual Conference on Environ Pollut in Iraq. The Iraqi Environment, and Health Society, London-UK.

- Jebril, N, Boden, R, and Braungardt, C. (2017). Microbial contamination of Iraqi water resources, and their effects on social health. Second Annual Conference on Environ Pollut in Iraq. The Iraqi Environment, and Health Society, London-UK.
- Jebril, N, Boden, R, and Braungardt, C. (2016). Elemental analysis of metal contaminated soils using ICP-MS, XRF techniques, and CHNS analyser, 8<sup>th</sup> Annual Biogeochemistry Conference, School of Geography, Earth, and Environmental Sciences, Plymouth University, Plymouth, UK.
- Jebril, N, Boden, R, and Braungardt, C. (2017). Heavy metal biogeochemistry and removal by microbial biotechnology. School of Biological and Marine Sciences Poster Presentation and Networking Event. Plymouth University, Plymouth, UK.

Word count of the thesis: 79,694

Signed: Nadia Jebril

Date: August 2020

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	I
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	II
<b>Author's Declaration</b> .....	III
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	V
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	XI
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	XVIII
<b>List of Abbreviations</b> .....	XX
<b>Chapter 1. General Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>1-1 Introduction</b> .....	2
<b>1-1-1 Groundwater</b> .....	2
<b>1-1-2 Groundwater contamination</b> .....	4
<b>1-1-3 Contamination and human health</b> .....	5
<b>1-1-4 Cadmium chemistry and geochemistry</b> .....	6
<b>1-1-5 Effects of cadmium on human health</b> .....	12
<b>1-1-6 Ecotoxicity of cadmium</b> .....	15
<b>1-2 Remediation techniques for cadmium contaminated groundwater</b> .....	16
<b>1-2-1 Pump and treat technique</b> .....	17
<b>1-2-2 Permeable reactive barrier techniques</b> .....	17
<b>1-2-3 Adsorption techniques</b> .....	18
<b>1-2-4 Biosorption techniques</b> .....	19
<b>1-2-5 Electrochemical technique</b> .....	24
<b>1-2-6 Precipitation technique</b> .....	24
<b>1-2-7 Ion exchange technique</b> .....	26
<b>1-2-8 Bioremediation technique</b> .....	28
<b>1-2-9 Advantages and disadvantages of some remediation technologies of cadmium from groundwater</b> .....	30
<b>1-3 Interaction of cadmium with bacteria</b> .....	33
<b>1-3-1 Transporters of cadmium to a cell and bacterial toxicity</b> .....	33

<b>1-3-2 Mechanisms of cadmium resistance in bacteria</b> .....	36
<b>1-3-2-1 Surface biosorption mechanism</b> .....	48
<b>1-3-2-2 Precipitation of cadmium on the bacterial cell surface</b> .....	49
<b>1-3-2-3 Bioaccumulation of cadmium within the cells</b> .....	50
<b>1-3-2-4 Efflux pumps</b> .....	51
<b>1-3-2-5 Molecular mechanisms of cadmium regulation in bacteria</b> .....	52
<b>1-4 Mechanisms of bacterial bioremediation for cadmium</b> .....	55
<b>1-4-1 Phytoremediation of cadmium</b> .....	57
<b>1-4-2 Bioremediation of cadmium involving extracellular polymeric substance (EPS)</b> .....	57
<b>1-5 Bioremediation techniques for groundwater</b> .....	59
<b>1-5-1 <i>In situ</i> bioremediation techniques</b> .....	59
<b>1-5-2 <i>Ex situ</i> bioremediation techniques and designs of bioremediation reactor</b> .....	60
<b>1-6 The present work</b> .....	63
<b>Chapter 2. General Methodology</b> .....	65
<b>2-1 Preparation of culture media</b> .....	66
<b>2-1-1 Batch culture cultivation and storage</b> .....	66
<b>2-1-2 Growth kinetics</b> .....	67
<b>2-2 Operation of small laboratory bench-scale reactors</b> .....	68
<b>2-2-1 Optimisation of the reactor</b> .....	68
<b>2-2-2 Characterisation of embedding bacterial cells in Ca-alginate beads</b> .....	70
<b>2-3 Scanning electron microscopy</b> .....	73
<b>2-4 Transmission electron microscopy</b> .....	74
<b>2-5 <i>Aqua regia</i> digestion</b> .....	75
<b>2-6 Statistical analyses</b> .....	75
<b>Chapter 3. The isolation and identification of cadmium-resistant <i>Brevibacillus agri</i> C15 and the use of ultraviolet-light mutagenesis to generate a mutant with elevated cadmium resistance, <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd<sup>R</sup></b> .....	77
<b>3-1 Introduction</b> .....	79

<b>3-2 Methodology</b> .....	80
<b>3-2-1 Soil sampling from the contaminated site and isolation of bacteria to resistant cadmium</b> .....	80
<b>3-2-2 Optimising growth conditions and the selection of isolates</b> .....	82
<b>3-2-3 Estimation of the specific growth rate and selection of one isolate</b> .....	83
<b>3-2-4 Characterisation of isolate C15</b> .....	83
<b>3-2-4-1 Morphological and biochemical tests</b> .....	83
<b>3-2-4-2 Genomic DNA extraction and 16S rRNA sequence analysis</b> .....	83
<b>3-2-4-2-1 Agarose gel electrophoresis</b> .....	86
<b>3-2-4-2-2 gDNA quantification using Nanodrop spectrophotometry</b> .....	86
<b>3-2-4-2-3 gDNA quantification using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer</b> .....	87
<b>3-2-4-3 16S rRNA sequence</b> .....	87
<b>3-2-5 Assessment of the inhibition effects of cadmium on <i>B. agri</i> C15</b> .....	88
<b>3-2-6 Mutagenesis of <i>B. agri</i> C15 and screening of mutant Cd<sup>R</sup></b> .....	89
<b>3-2-7 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of cadmium for wild type <i>B. agri</i> C15 and mutant <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd<sup>R</sup></b> .....	91
<b>3-2-8 Characteristics of <i>B. agri</i> C15 and <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd<sup>R</sup></b> .....	93
<b>3-2-8-1 Identification analyses and optimising growth conditions</b> .....	93
<b>3-2-8-2 Measurement of core kinetic parameters</b> .....	94
<b>3-2-9 Inhibition effects of cadmium on the specific growth rate and maximum amount of biomass formed</b> .....	94
<b>3-2-10 Inhibition effects of cadmium on bacterial cell-surface morphology and structure</b> .....	94
<b>3-2-11 Biofilm production assay</b> .....	95
<b>3-2-12 Test for the detection of endospores</b> .....	96
<b>3-2-13 Test for the detection of poly-<math>\beta</math>-hydroxybutyrate granules</b> .....	96
<b>3-2-14 Test for the detection of polyphosphate (volutin) granules</b> .....	97
<b>3-2-15 Statistical analysis</b> .....	97
<b>3-3 Results</b> .....	97
<b>3-3-1 Isolation of bacteria resistant to cadmium</b> .....	97
<b>3-3-2 Optimising growth conditions and selection of isolates</b> .....	99
<b>3-3-3 Estimation of the specific growth rate and selection of one isolate</b> .....	104
<b>3-3-4 Morphological, biochemical and 16S rRNA sequence analysis</b> .....	105

3-3-5 Assessment of the inhibition effects of cadmium on <i>B. agri</i> C15 .....	105
3-3-6 UV-mutagenesis of <i>B. agri</i> C15 and screening of mutant Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	108
3-3-7 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of cadmium for wild type <i>B. agri</i> C15 and mutant <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	111
3-3-8 Characteristics of <i>B. agri</i> C15 and <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	111
3-3-8-1 Identification analyses and optimising growth conditions .....	112
3-3-8-2 Measurement of core kinetic parameters .....	114
3-3-8-3 Inhibition effects of cadmium on the specific growth rate and maximum amount of biomass formed .....	115
3-3-8-4 Inhibition effects of cadmium on bacterial cell-surface morphology and structure .....	115
3-3-8-5 Detections of endospore-forming and poly- $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate and polyphosphate (volutin) granules .....	121
3-4 Discussion .....	122
3-5- Conclusion .....	133
Chapter 4. Cadmium removal with mutant <i>Brevibacillus agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> entrapped in calcium alginate gel: a new process .....	134
4-1 Introduction .....	136
4-2 Methodology .....	141
4-2-1 Removal of cadmium from AGW in a small laboratory bench-scale column reactor .....	141
4-2-2 SEM bead analyses .....	143
4-2-3 Distribution of cadmium in the beads using a dithizone histochemical method .....	143
4-2-4 Elements analyses .....	145
4-2-5 Statistical analysis .....	145
4-3 Results .....	146
4-3-1 Removal of cadmium from AGW in a small laboratory bench-scale column reactor .....	146
4-3-2 Evaluation of the cadmium removal from AGW with higher concentrations of cadmium .....	149
4-3-3 Determination of maximum initial rates of cadmium removals .....	158

<b>4-3-4 Observation of SEM and SEM-EDX of Cd-loaded beads after Cd removal</b> .....	159
<b>4-3-5 Distribution of cadmium in the beads using a dithizone histochemical method</b> .....	162
<b>4-4 Discussion</b> .....	165
<b>4-5 Conclusion</b> .....	170
<b>Chapter 5. Cadmium removal with mutant <i>Brevibacillus agri</i> C15 Cd<sup>R</sup> entrapped in calcium alginate gel: multi-constituent ionic exchange</b> .....	171
<b>5-1 Introduction</b> .....	173
<b>5-2 Methodology</b> .....	175
<b>5-2-1 Cadmium removal from AGW</b> .....	175
<b>5-2-2 Effect of AGW pH on the cadmium removal</b> .....	176
<b>5-2-3 Effect of cation-anion concentrations in AGW on the cadmium removal</b> .....	176
<b>5-2-4 Effect of organic matter in AGW on the cadmium removal</b> .....	177
<b>5-2-5 Cadmium removal from NRW</b> .....	178
<b>5-2-6 Cadmium precipitation and speciation in AGW versus pH; the increase of calcium concentration and the addition of phosphate and humic acid</b> .....	179
<b>5-2-7 Measurement of elements</b> .....	180
<b>5-2-8 Statistical analysis</b> .....	181
<b>5-3 Results</b> .....	182
<b>5-3-1 Cadmium precipitation and speciation in AGW versus pH; the increase of calcium concentration and the addition of phosphate and humic acid</b> .....	182
<b>5-3-2 Cadmium removal from AGW</b> .....	186
<b>5-3-3 Effects of AGW pH on the cadmium removal</b> .....	194
<b>5-3-4 Effects of cation-anion concentrations in AGW on the cadmium removal</b> .....	209
<b>5-3-5 Effects of humic acid in AGW on the cadmium removal</b> .....	223
<b>5-3-6 Cadmium removal from NRW</b> .....	230
<b>5-3-7 Hazard classification of the cadmium-loaded bead</b> .....	239

<b>5-4 Discussion</b> .....	239
<b>5-5 Conclusion</b> .....	244
<b>Chapter 6. <i>In vitro</i> bioaccessibility assessment as a tool to predict the toxicity of bioremediation products</b> .....	246
<b>6-1 Introduction</b> .....	248
<b>6-2 Methodology</b> .....	250
<b>6-2-1 Preparation of Ca-alginate beads and adsorption of cadmium</b> .....	250
<b>6-2-2 Ca- alginate bead samples and pretreatment</b> .....	251
<b>6-2-3 <i>Aqua regia</i> acid digestion of Ca-alginate beads</b> .....	252
<b>6-2-4 Elements analyses</b> .....	253
<b>6-2-5 <i>In vitro</i> human gastrointestinal evaluation of Cd-loaded beads</b> .....	255
<b>6-2-6 Cadmium speciation and precipitation during BARGE experiments</b> .....	257
<b>6-2-7 Statistical analysis</b> .....	258
<b>6-2-8 Calculation of bioaccessibility index for cadmium</b> .....	259
<b>6-3 Results</b> .....	259
<b>6-3-1 Adsorption of cadmium onto Ca-alginate beads</b> .....	259
<b>6-3-2 Total concentrations of elements in Ca-alginate beads</b> .....	260
<b>6-3-3 <i>In vitro</i> bioaccessibility of cadmium in Ca-alginate beads of two phases of the human gastrointestinal system</b> .....	262
<b>6-4 Discussion</b> .....	266
<b>Chapter 7. General discussion, conclusions</b> .....	271
<b>and future work</b> .....	271
<b>7-1 General discussion</b> .....	272
<b>7-1-1 Improvement of <i>B. agri</i> C15 using UV-light mutagenesis</b> .....	272
<b>7-1-2 Evaluation of cadmium removal from AGW</b> .....	274
<b>7-1-3 Evaluation of the cadmium removal from AGW under different conditions and from NRW, Walkham River</b> .....	275
<b>7-1-4 The human health risk arising from products of the bioremediation process in this project</b> .....	276
<b>7-2 Conclusions</b> .....	277
<b>7-3 Future work and recommendations</b> .....	277



8- References .....	282
---------------------	-----

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.</b> Chemical structures of <b>(A)</b> alginate (António et al., 2016), <b>(B)</b> chitin.....	23
<b>Figure 2.</b> The relative proportion of species of the main functional groups of the wall in <i>Shewanella putrefaciens</i> at different pH values.....	30
<b>Figure 3.</b> Schematic representation of Cd transposition into a cell.....	34
<b>Figure 4.</b> Schematic representation of the bacterial resistance mechanisms to Cd. <b>(A)</b> Bacterial defense against Cd.....	47
<b>Figure 5.</b> Molecular regulating of cadmium detoxification systems as an example of <i>cadA</i> operon structure in <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> pl258.....	54
<b>Figure 6.</b> The flow rate curve at different settings of the peristaltic pump pumped through the column reactor filled with Ca-alginate beads.....	69
<b>Figure 7.</b> Characterisation of typical Ca-alginate beads containing live and killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 and <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> , and Ca-alginate without bacterial cells.....	72
<b>Figure 8.</b> Determination of suitable temperature for the growth of eight Cd-resistant isolates.....	100
<b>Figure 9.</b> Determination of suitable carbon sources for the growth of eight Cd-resistant isolates.....	102
<b>Figure 10.</b> Determination of nitrogen sources for the growth of eight Cd-resistant isolates.....	103
<b>Figure 11.</b> Growth curves of four Cd-resistant isolates.....	104
<b>Figure 12.</b> <i>Brevibacillus agri</i> C15.....	106
<b>Figure 13.</b> The effects of Cd on the concentration of biomass (●) and pyruvate utilisation (▼) of <i>B. agri</i> C15. ....	107
<b>Figure 14.</b> The growth scale of <i>B. agri</i> C15 under the effects of Cd .....	109
<b>Figure 15.</b> The lethal time curve for <i>B. agri</i> C15 after UV light exposure.....	110
<b>Figure 16.</b> Morphological characterisation using Gram stain of <b>(A)</b> <i>B. agri</i> C15, <b>(B)</b> <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	112

<b>Figure 17.</b> The amount of dry biomass formed (◆) and pyruvate utilisation (◇) of (A) <i>B. agri</i> C15 and (B) <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> , and their respective (C) Y <sub>s</sub> of (■) <i>B. agri</i> C15 and (□) <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	114
<b>Figure 18.</b> The inhibition effects of Cd on (A) specific growth rate and (B) maximum amounts of biomass formed of (○) <i>B. agri</i> C15 and (●) <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	115
<b>Figure 19.</b> SEM micrographs of (A) <i>B. agri</i> C15 and (B) <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	118
<b>Figure 20.</b> TEM micrographs of (A) <i>B. agri</i> C15 and (B) <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	120
<b>Figure 21.</b> Light microscopic observations of endospores.....	121
<b>Figure 22.</b> Light microscopic observations of poly-β-hydroxybutyrate granules ....	121
<b>Figure 23.</b> Light microscopic observations of polyphosphate granules.....	121
<b>Figure 24.</b> Schematic diagram of the five methods of cell immobilisation: .....	137
<b>Figure 25.</b> The lethal time curves of (◆) <i>B. agri</i> C15 and (◇) <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	142
<b>Figure 26.</b> The amounts of total Cd measured in eluants of AGW with a nominal concentration of 4.4 μM Cd at pH 7.00 in the column reactor .....	148
<b>Figure 27.</b> The evaluation of Cd removal by the Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells .....	151
<b>Figure 28.</b> The evaluation of Cd removal by the Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 .....	153
<b>Figure 29.</b> The evaluation of Cd removal by the Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	154
<b>Figure 30.</b> The evaluation of Cd removal by the Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 .....	156
<b>Figure 31.</b> The evaluation of Cd removal by the Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	157
<b>Figure 32.</b> The respective maximum initial rates of Cd removal.....	158
<b>Figure 33.</b> The SEM micrographs and EDX spectra of the beads obtained after Cd removal.....	160
<b>Figure 34.</b> The SEM micrographs and EDX spectra of the beads.....	161
<b>Figure 35.</b> The SEM microphotographs and EDX spectra of Cd-loaded beads.....	162

<b>Figure 36.</b> The light microscopy observations of <b>(A)</b> Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells, and containing live cells of <b>(B)</b> <i>B. agri</i> C15 and <b>(C)</b> <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	164
<b>Figure 37.</b> Framework structures of <b>(A)</b> Ca-alginate without bacterial cells, <b>(B)</b> cell of <i>B. agri</i> C15 and <b>(C)</b> cell of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> (TEM micrographs).....	167
<b>Figure 38.</b> The effect of different factors on the percentages of Cd species distribution of AGW with a concentration of 10 µM Cd, predicted using Visual MINTEQ. ....	185
<b>Figure 39.</b> Release amounts of <b>(A)</b> Ca, <b>(B)</b> Na, and <b>(C)</b> Mg from the beads into AGW without adding Cd. ....	187
<b>Figure 40.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00.....	189
<b>Figure 41.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00.. ....	190
<b>Figure 42.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00.....	191
<b>Figure 43.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00.. ....	192
<b>Figure 44.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00.. ....	193
<b>Figure 45.</b> Respective cumulative amounts of Cd in Ca-alginate beads measured after <i>aqua regia</i> digestion.....	194
<b>Figure 46.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 4.00.....	195
<b>Figure 47.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.50.....	196
<b>Figure 48.</b> <b>(A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 4.00. ....	197

<b>Figure 49. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.50. ....	198
<b>Figure 50. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 4.00.....	199
<b>Figure 51. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW with a concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.50. ....	200
<b>Figure 52. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 4.00.. ....	201
<b>Figure 53. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.50. ....	202
<b>Figure 54. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 4.00.. ....	203
<b>Figure 55. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.50. ....	204
<b>Figure 56.</b> Respective maximum initial rates of Cd removed at different pH .....	206
<b>Figure 57.</b> Cumulative amounts of Cd at different pH.....	208
<b>Figure 58. (A)</b> Amount of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.00 under the effect of 17.5 mM calcium.....	210
<b>Figure 59. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.00 under the effect of 17.5 mM calcium. ....	211
<b>Figure 60. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 17.5 calcium. ....	212
<b>Figure 61. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 17.5 calcium .....	213

<b>Figure 62. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 17.5 calcium. ....	214
<b>Figure 63.</b> Respective maximum initial rates of Cd removed by the Ca-alginate beads under the effect of 17.5 calcium.....	215
<b>Figure 64.</b> Cumulative amounts of Cd by the beads from AGW under the effect of 17.5 calcium, measured after <i>aqua regia</i> digestion.....	215
<b>Figure 65. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 10 mM phosphate.. ....	217
<b>Figure 66. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 10 mM phosphate .....	218
<b>Figure 67. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 10 mM phosphate.....	219
<b>Figure 68. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 10 mM phosphate.....	220
<b>Figure 69. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW, with a concentration of 10 µM of Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of 10 mM phosphate.....	221
<b>Figure 70.</b> Respective maximum initial rates of Cd removed under the effect of 10 mM phosphate by the Ca-alginate beads.....	222
<b>Figure 71.</b> Cumulative amounts of Cd by the beads from AGW under the effect of 10 mM phosphate, measured after <i>aqua regia</i> digestion. ....	222
<b>Figure 72. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of humic acid (10 mg/L)...	224
<b>Figure 73. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of humic acid (10 mg/L). ....	225

<b>Figure 74. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of humic acid (10 mg/L).....	226
<b>Figure 75. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of humic acid (10 mg/L).....	227
<b>Figure 76. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the AGW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of AGW, with a nominal concentration of 10 µM Cd at pH 7.00, under the effect of humic acid (10 mg/L).....	228
<b>Figure 77.</b> Respective maximum initial rates of Cd removed under the effect of humic acid (10 mg/L).....	229
<b>Figure 78.</b> Cumulative amounts of Cd by the beads from AGW under the effect of the humic acid (10 mg/L), measured after <i>aqua regia</i> digestion.....	230
<b>Figure 79. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the NRW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads without bacterial cells from 100 mL of NRW .....	231
<b>Figure 80. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the NRW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of NRW ....	232
<b>Figure 81. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the NRW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing live <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of NRW .....	233
<b>Figure 82. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the NRW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 from 100 mL of NRW.....	234
<b>Figure 83. (A)</b> Amounts of the remaining Cd in the NRW and Cd accumulated by Ca-alginate beads containing killed-control cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from 100 mL of NRW.....	235
<b>Figure 84.</b> Respective maximum initial rates of Cd removed by the beads from NRW.....	236
<b>Figure 85.</b> Cumulative amounts of Cd by the beads from NRW.....	237
<b>Figure 86.</b> The uptake rates of Cd by all the beads under different matrix. ....	238
<b>Figure 87.</b> Concentrations of Cd adsorbed from AGW or NRW .....	260

<b>Figure 88.</b> Total element concentrations of <b>(A)</b> Ca and Na, <b>(B)</b> K and Mg, and <b>(C)</b> Al, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe and Zn in the dried sieved Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15.....	261
<b>Figure 89.</b> The total element concentrations <b>(A)</b> Ca and Na, <b>(B)</b> K and Mg, and <b>(C)</b> Al, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe and Zn in the dried sieved Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> .....	262
<b>Figure 90.</b> The total (determined after <i>aqua regia</i> acid digestion) and the bioavailable (determined after the gastric and the gastrointestinal digestions) of the element concentrations .....	263
<b>Figure 91.</b> The total (determined after <i>aqua regia</i> acid digestion) and the bioavailable (determined after the gastric and the gastrointestinal digestions) of the element concentrations .....	263
<b>Figure 92.</b> The total (determined after <i>aqua regia</i> acid digestion) and the bioavailable (determined after the gastric and the gastrointestinal digestions) of the element concentrations .....	264
<b>Figure 93.</b> The total (determined after <i>aqua regia</i> acid digestion) and the bioavailable (determined after the gastric and the gastrointestinal digestions) of the element concentrations .....	264
<b>Figure 94.</b> The total (determined after <i>aqua regia</i> acid digestion) and the bioavailable (determined after the gastric and the gastrointestinal digestions) of the concentrations of Cd .....	265
<b>Figure 95.</b> BAFs of Cd in the Ca-alginate beads containing live cells of <b>(A)</b> <i>B. agri</i> C15 and <b>(B)</b> <i>B. agri</i> C15 Cd <sup>R</sup> from different adsorptions.....	266

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1.</b> Total concentrations of Cd in different freshwater bodies reported in the literature.....	10
<b>Table 2.</b> The values of $LC_{50}$ of Cd for some organisms.....	15
<b>Table 3.</b> Cadmium adsorption capacities of some adsorbent materials of different studies.....	19
<b>Table 4.</b> Biosorption capacities for Cd of some plants, algae, fungi, yeasts and bacterial species derived biomass, used as biosorbents for the removal of cadmium.....	21
<b>Table 5.</b> The advantages and disadvantages of some remediation technologies of cadmium removal.....	31
<b>Table 6.</b> Minimum inhibitory concentration value of some cadmium resistant strains with their classifications.....	39
<b>Table 7.</b> The removal capacities of some of the bacterial species derived EPS used previously for the bioremediation of some metals.....	58
<b>Table 8.</b> The conditions of the experimental setup and operating of the study reactor.....	73
<b>Table 9.</b> MTC values of Cd-resistant bacterial isolates.....	98
<b>Table 10.</b> MIC values of $Cd(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (mM) for <i>B. agri</i> C15 and <i>B. agri</i> C15 $Cd^R$ .....	111
<b>Table 11.</b> The growth conditions of the wild type <i>B. agri</i> C15 and the mutant <i>B. agri</i> C15 $Cd^R$ .....	113
<b>Table 12.</b> Chemical composition of the Walkham River.....	178
<b>Table 13.</b> The input file of software Visual MINTEQ contained the components and their concentrations in AGW.....	180
<b>Table 14.</b> The LOD (derived from $5 \times SD$ of $n = 10$ analyses) and procedural blank ( $n = 3$ ), and analytical techniques for each element.....	181
<b>Table 15.</b> The recovery percentage values of CRM, drinking water.....	181
<b>Table 16.</b> Effect of pH on the percentages of dissolved and precipitated components in AGW with a concentration of $10 \mu M$ Cd.....	183



<b>Table 17.</b> Effect of increased calcium (17.5 mM), additional phosphate (10 mM), and humic acid (10 mg/L) on the percentages of dissolved and precipitated components in AGW with a concentration of 10 $\mu$ M Cd.....	184
<b>Table 18.</b> The composition of AGW and NRW (Walkham River) was used in this experiment .....	251
<b>Table 19.</b> The LOD (derived from 5 X SD of $n = 10$ analyses) and procedural blank ( $n = 3$ ), and analytical techniques for each element. ....	254
<b>Table 20.</b> Results of <i>aqua regia</i> acid digestion, certified value, and recovery percentage of soil BGS-102. ....	255
<b>Table 21.</b> The input files for Visual MINTEQ contained the inorganic components and their concentrations in gastric and intestinal BARGE fluids. ....	258

## List of Abbreviations

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Glossary</b>
---------------------	-----------------

AGW	Artificial groundwater
ATSDR	Agency for toxic substances and disease registry
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
ABC	ATP-binding cassettes
CPx	Cysteine-proline-xsequence
CDF	Cation-diffusion facilitator
CFU	Colony-forming unit
CRM	Certificated reference material
ddH <sub>2</sub> O	Deionised water
DOC	Dissolved organic carbon
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EBS	E-Basal salts
EDTA-Na <sub>2</sub>	Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid Di-Sodium
EPS	Extracellular polymeric substances
GSH	Glutamyl L-cysteinyl glycine
HRT	Hydraulic residence time
ICP-MS	ICP-MS Inductively coupled plasma – mass spectrometry
ICP-OES	ICP-OES Inductively coupled plasma – optical emission spectrometry
<i>K</i> <sub>sp</sub>	Constant stability
M	Molar
MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentration
NRAMP	Natural resistance-associated macrophage protein
NRW	Natural river water
<i>OD</i> <sub>x</sub>	Optical density
R2A	Reasoner's 2A

RND	Resistance-nodulation-cell division
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
pKa	Acid dissociation constants
PolyP	Polyphosphate
P $\beta$ HB	Poly- $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
US EPA	United State Environmental Protection Agency
UV	Ultraviolet
WHO	World health organisation



## **Chapter 1. General Introduction**

### **1-1 Introduction**

Human and industrial activities have led to a marked increase in the level of Cd in the environment across the globe. The estimated global average level of Cd in uncontaminated soil is 0.36 mg/kg, in soil water is 5 µg/L and in unpolluted groundwater less than 1 µg/L (Kubier *et al.*, 2019). Cadmium groundwater contamination is a widespread problem that causes severe environmental and health concerns. An average Cd level of 0.2 µg/L was determined in Irish groundwater (Tedd *et al.*, 2017). Nokes and Weaver (2014) reported that health risks are associated with Cd in groundwater. Therefore, Cd is listed as a priority hazardous substance in the European Water Framework Directive (2000). Thus, Cd treatment is needed for water and more effective methods. Water treatment through physical, chemical, and biological techniques is an important aspect of water cleansing, and many studies have been undertaken to identify and develop treatment technologies.

#### **1-1-1 Groundwater**

Most of the water on the Earth's surface is saline (96.5%) and is present in oceans, glaciers, and ice caps, the remainder being freshwater (3.5%). Saline waters are unusable by humans, while the usable water comes from freshwater resources such as groundwater, lakes, and rivers. Groundwater represents 99% of the Earth's freshwater US Geological Survey (US GS, 2011) and is a significant source of drinking water for humans, who require at least 2 litres of water per day.

Groundwater is considered an essential stage in the hydrologic cycle, formed where surface water leaks into the subsurface, into vast reservoirs of water in aquifers and subterranean rivers. In its natural state, the quality of groundwater is high, being almost free from pathogens and water were drawn from uncontaminated aquifers does not usually require complex treatment. However, pollution present in the soil or the

catchment of an aquifer, in general, can be transferred into the groundwater (UK Groundwater Forum, 2011). The importance of groundwater to human endeavour can be illustrated with some examples: In England and Wales, one-third of the domestic water supplies (2,400 million L per year) comes from groundwater (Holman *et al.*, 2010) and in the Middle East, groundwater is a significant source of domestic supplies owing to having just two rivers, Tigris (Turkey and Iraq) and Euphrates (Turkey, Syria, and Iraq). The geochemistry of groundwater varies depending on the local mineralogy present above and throughout the aquifer, the contact time of groundwater with the rock holding the water, and the local biogeochemical processes. Usually, groundwater is high in calcium, magnesium, bicarbonates, calcium chlorides, and magnesium sulphates, contributing to its alkalinity, making it 'hard' (Boyd, 2020). This hardness is, for example, due to the biological process of the organic matter, which is present in the soil zone, producing carbon dioxide, which leads to the formation of carbonic acid and bicarbonate ion:

$\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-$ , which gives a pH of groundwater between 6.0 and 8.5 (Van Nguyen *et al.*, 2020).

Additionally, the occurrences of minor ions such as: borate, nitrate, potassium, strontium, fluoride, iron, and trace ions such as: aluminium, cadmium, arsenic, barium, chromium, copper, lead, manganese, lithium, phosphate, selenium, silver, uranium and zinc dissolved in groundwater at concentrations below 0.1 mg/L, contribute to the minerals content of groundwater. Elevated metal contents in groundwater are generally linked to the abundance of clay minerals, organic matter, carbonates, and hydrous oxides, as well as physicochemical conditions, such as pH, and/or anoxic conditions. For example, differences in arsenic concentration in groundwater were previously documented because of constant redox conditions (Du Laing *et al.*, 2009).

It was found that the metal mobility increased with the decrease of groundwater pH (due to seasonal change in pH or pollution), while the hardness and salinity cause the immobilisation metals (Mulligan *et al.*, 2001). For instance, high pH in the soil immobilises Cd, thus forming  $\text{CdCO}_3$  and  $\text{Cd}(\text{OH})_2$  precipitate (Liang *et al.*, 2014).

### **1-1-2 Groundwater contamination**

Pollution is defined as the condition in which substances that are not normally found or where they occur are above the natural background levels that lead to pollution, causing damage to living resources and risks to human health (Chapman and Anderson, 2005). Population increase, urbanisation, industrialisation, and agricultural activities have led to the deterioration of the quality and the chemistry of groundwater in many areas of the world. While undoubtedly important, assessment and monitoring of aquifer parameters can be expensive, and therefore numerical methods are increasingly applied to groundwater quantity, movement, and quality (Batu, 2005). For example, different models can be used to predict element concentrations in water systems. Recently, Locatelli *et al.* (2019) have estimated the risk to groundwater by modelling the fate of contaminants and their transport. Rader *et al.* (2019) used the tableau-input coupled kinetic equilibrium transport–unit world model (TICKET–UWM) for evaluating of copper in surface water. A study performed by Ramachandran *et al.* (2018) to assess the environmental impact on groundwater, using Piper's diagram and Gibbs plot, showed that the interaction between rock, water, and the anthropogenic activity were the most critical processes that controlled groundwater chemistry.

Notably, due to human activities, approximately two-thirds of groundwater bodies in England and one-third of those in Wales are at risk due to pollution from nitrate and other pollutants, such as phosphate, oil, pesticides, solvents, and cadmium (Zhang and Hiscock, 2011). High concentrations of nitrate, fluoride, and arsenic have



increased groundwater risk in India and Bangladesh (Alagumuthu and Rajan, 2008). Globally, most groundwater is contaminated with some elements, which can affect human health and the overall health of the ecosystem. For example, groundwater contamination in 59 out of 64 regions in Bangladesh has been reported with 300 µg/L of arsenic (Chakraborti *et al.*, 2010) exceeded the maximum recommended concentration for As in drinking water (10 µg/L, World Health Organisation- International Agency for Research on Cancer (WHO-IARC, 2004).

### **1-1-3 Contamination and human health**

Arsenic is commonly used in the manufacturing of herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, and insecticides, which increases its level in the environment. US EPA (2018) reported that wood treatment with arsenic was estimated to release 48.9 million metric tons in 2015. In addition to the agricultural arsenic applications, arsenic has also been used in veterinary medicine to eradicate tapeworms in sheep and livestock and the medical field in the treatment of syphilis, trypanosomiasis, amoebic and dysentery. Furthermore, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recently stated that arsenic trioxide might be used in the treatment of acute leukemia in localised cells. These applications have increased the concentrations of arsenic to 5 mg/kg in soil, 10 µg/L in surface and groundwater, and between 1–2 µg/L in seawater (Ghosh *et al.*, 2018).

Lead is commonly used in many applications such as industrial, agricultural, and domestic products and processes. Lead-acid batteries were estimated to account for 83% of the 152,000 metric tons of lead used in various industries in the United States in 2004. The assessment of metals in the UK recorded that the annual mean concentrations of lead emission in rural locations reached 7.58 ng/mL in 2003 and more contamination of lead (102.88 ng/mL) was recorded in an industrial location, Brookside Bilston Lane (Ireland *et al.*, 2006).

Mercury is used in the manufacture of fungicides, batteries, thermostats, and dental amalgams. In Iraq, the use of treated wheat with methyl mercury as a fungicide in 1955 caused poisoning to livestock and humans a dire consequence, which is referred to as the Iraq poison grain disaster (Al-Damluji, 1976). Because of this, the agricultural demand for mercury began to decline after this disaster suddenly. However, the total mercury consumption by industrial sectors such as artisanal gold mining, vinyl chloride monomer production, batteries, lamps was increased from 3000 metric tonnes in 2005 to 6027 metric tonnes in 2015 in which East and Southeast Asia were the highest consumers of mercury with 2882 metric tonnes (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2017). In water, the dominant form of mercury is methylmercury (MeHg). This strong neurotoxin bioaccumulates easily in the food chain and poses a major risk to human health, primarily through fish consumption (Morway *et al.*, 2017).

### **1-1-4 Cadmium chemistry and geochemistry**

Cadmium is a post-transition metal of the d-block, with an atomic number of 48 and a relative atomic mass of 122.411 g/mol. Under surface environmental conditions (temperature, pressure, redox), its chemistry is dominated by the Cd (II) oxidation state, and Cd (I) is sometimes also observed (Corbett *et al.*, 1961). Redox potential ( $E_h$ ) does not affect the solubility of Cd directly, as Cd (II) is the stable oxidation state, Cd mobility is indirectly related to the redox conditions when forms redox-sensitive aqueous complexes. The impact of  $E_h$  changes on Cd reduction or oxidation in natural environments has not been observed (Rinklebe *et al.*, 2016). Depending on the composition of the groundwater, free  $Cd^{2+}$  comprises 55 % to 90 % of the total soluble Cd, while the remaining forms of Cd are inorganic complexes (Wilkin, 2007).

In the Earth's crust, Cd occurs in association with zinc minerals, mostly in sphalerite (ZnS) in the form of greenockite (CdS), owing to their similar electron configuration

(Clark *et al.*, 2001). Production figures for Cd vary between years in the range of 20,000 to 28,000 metric tons. For example, the US GS (2019) estimated 23,520 metric tons of Cd were produced in 2017 by China (the top producer), South Korea, Japan, Canada, Mexico, Kazakhstan, Russia, Peru, Netherlands, and Poland. The British Geological Survey reported that more than 26,500 metric tons of Cd were produced in 2016 by the countries listed above and in addition: Bulgaria, Germany, Norway, Turkey, USA, Argentina, Brazil, and India (Brown *et al.*, 2018). Secondary Cd minerals include cadmoselite (CdSe), cadmium metacinnabar (Hg, Cd)S, monteponite (CdO), and otavite (CdCO<sub>3</sub>), which are rare and not used commercially (Clark *et al.*, 2001). These natural forms of Cd in the Earth's crust have an average of 890 nmol/kg (Tchounwou *et al.*, 2012). In anaerobic environments, anaerobic, sulfate-reducing microorganisms (SRM) contribute in the biogeochemical cycling of Cd, forming CdS:

$$\text{Cd}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow \text{CdS} + 2\text{H}^+$$

These microorganisms use sulfate as their terminal electron acceptor, producing hydrogen sulfide (Pagnanelli *et al.*, 2010). In addition to SRM, *Geobacillus* species may also immobilise Cd to carbonate precipitation (CdCO<sub>3</sub>) at pH ≥7 (Hetzer *et al.*, 2006).

CdS and CdSe are also chemically produced from mined greenockite and used as CdS and Cd<sub>2</sub>SSe for the production of pigments for use in inks, paints, and plastics. Such pigments are becoming a human health concern in common household products, as high levels of cadmium have been found in paints on enamel drinking glasses, ceramics, and children's toys (Turner, 2019). Cd has wide-ranging applications in the production of nickel-cadmium batteries, plating metals, and as a neutron absorber in nuclear reactors (Scoullos *et al.*, 2012). Rechargeable, nickel-cadmium batteries contribute to 85% of the total cadmium consumption

globally; however, the use of Cd has risen with the increase of the expenditure on coatings, pigments, stabilisers, alloys and electronic compounds (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry [ATSDR], 2012). Like all metals, Cd cannot be degraded biologically after being released into the environment. Cadmium-containing mining waste, products, and compounds have to be recycled or treated before safe storage or disposal into the environment. The majority of waste Cd is found in coal ash, cement production waste, and sewage sludge (Scoullou *et al.*, 2012). For example, in the 1980s, Cd in coal fly ash contributed more than 26% of total Cd globally released into landfills, from where it entered treated landfill leachate, subsequently reached wastewater treatment plants and river systems (Nriagu, 1989). Anthropogenic sources contribute 85% – 90% of the total yearly emission of Cd to the air (19,700 tonnes/year in 2000), while natural sources were estimated at 150-2,600 tonnes/year in 2000 (WHO, 2008). The forms of Cd in the atmosphere predominantly consist of Cd, CdO, CdS, and CdCl. The typical atmospheric residence time of Cd is about 1 – 10 days, which is sufficient for it to be transported up to a thousand kilometers before the deposit occurs. The continuous volcanic emissions, smelting, fossil fuel combustion, and refuse incineration leads to levels of Cd in the air, which exceed the Cd inhaled daily (5 ng/mL), resulting in concern about annual production levels and their consequent impact on human health. The average Cd concentrations which are discharged from the effluents of metal plating industries were 0.13 – 0.17  $\mu\text{M}$ , whereas the highest concentration (8.8  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was discharged from lead-acid mine drainage (Bar and Das, 2016). United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA, 2011) estimated that 0.15 metric tons of Cd was released into surface water in 2009. The concentration of Cd in the flow of rainwater in urban areas ranges from 0.8  $\mu\text{M}$  to 1.2  $\mu\text{M}$  (Cole *et al.*, 1984). Due to the pollution from the Orinoco and the

Amazon rivers, Cd concentration in the Gulf of Mexico is higher, exceeding 4.4  $\mu\text{M}$  (Hernández-Candelario *et al.*, 2019).

Excessive concentrations of Cd, which contaminate water, have been detected in several countries, have consequently attracted attention, and raised significant concern. Cd concentrations in various freshwater types, many of which exceed environmental quality standards (e.g., 4.4  $\mu\text{M}$  in Irish groundwater in the UK, Tedd *et al.*, 2017), are listed in Table 1. High concentrations in rivers and aquifers are possible due to the activities of mining industries and leaching from soil contamination, as reported by Vaessen and Brentführer (2014). Aquifers impacted by past or present mining activities have been reported to contain the highest Cd concentrations by sources collected in Table 1 (e.g., Portugal, 622  $\mu\text{M}$  (Neiva *et al.*, 2015)) and the UK, 427  $\mu\text{M}$  (Banks, 1997)), but also show more modest contamination (< 5  $\mu\text{M}$ , e.g., USA (Davis *et al.*, 2010; Sims *et al.*, 2017)). High concentrations of Cd were also recorded in areas within proximity to industrial activities, such as brass manufacture, metal-working, automotive engineering, jewellery making, armaments, and brewing in Birmingham, UK (61  $\mu\text{M}$  (Shepherd *et al.*, 2006)). Landfill appears to contribute to contamination of the aquifer with Cd in Vision, Denmark (60  $\mu\text{M}$  Cd, Christensen, 1996), but less so in Gazipur, India (0.14  $\mu\text{M}$ , Mor *et al.*, 2006). The groundwater in rural areas, is usually less contaminated with Cd, for example, in India (0.08 to 1.0  $\mu\text{M}$  Cd (Buragohain *et al.*, 2010)).

**Table 1.** Total concentrations of Cd in different freshwater bodies reported in the literature. The standard guideline concentration for Cd in drinking water is 3 µg/L (WHO, 2011), equivalent to 0.027 µM.

Freshwater	Average (range) of concentration of Cd (µM)	pH	Reference
Aquifer impacted by landfill, Gazipur, Delhi, India	0.13 (0.10-0.17)	6.3-6.9	Mor <i>et al.</i> , 2006
Aquifer impacted by mining, Dhanbad, India	22 (20-24)	**	Prasad <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Aquifer impacted by mining, Phoenix, Nevada, USA	3.8 (3.2-4.4)	6.5-7.9	Davis <i>et al.</i> , 2010
Aquifer impacted by mining, Techatticup, Nevada, USA	4.7 (4.1-5.3)	**	Sims <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Rural aquifer, Qatar	7.6 (6.8-8.4)	7.8-7.9	Kuiper <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Pigeon spring, Snake Gulch, Kanab Creek, USA	124.5 (120-128)	6.7-8.0	Beisner <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Aquifer impacted by mining, Antiquary, Bolivian Altiplano	36.4 (31-42)	7.8-9.4	Ramos <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Aquifer impacted by mining, Sorval Guarda, Portugal	622 (603-642)	**	Neiva <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Aquifer impacted by industries, Birmingham, UK	61 (5.8-6.4)	**	Shepherd <i>et al.</i> , 2006
*Aquifer impacted by Landfill, Vejen, Denmark	60 (55-63)	**	Christensen <i>et al.</i> , 1996
Aquifer impacted by mining, North-western Arizona, USA	1.4 (1.1-1.6)	**	Rösner, 1998
Rural aquifer, Reading, Berkshire, UK	2.1 (1.8-2.4)	6-6-8.4	Edmunds <i>et al.</i> , 2003

Freshwater	Average (range) of concentration of Cd ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	pH	Reference
Rural aquifer, Dhemaji, Assam, India	0.5 (0.08-1.0)	**	Buragohain <i>et al.</i> , 2010
Aquifer impacted by a river, Washington state	45 (42-48)	**	Twarakavi and Kaluarachchi, 2005
Aquifer impacted by River Ubeji River, Delta State, Nigeria	1.2 (0.75-1.5)	**	Etchie <i>et al.</i> , 2012
Aquifer impacted by River Basin, Seini, Romania	0.21 (0.12-0.31)	7.8-8.5	Dippong <i>et al.</i> , 2019
Aquifer impacted by mining, Yorkshire, UK	472 (423-432)	**	Banks, 1997
Glacial aquifer, Alaska, USA	1.2 (0.45-25)	**	Groschen <i>et al.</i> , 2009

\* No control of reference site established except for aquifer impacted by Landfill, Vejen, Denmark was 6.3-6.6  $\mu\text{M}$

\*\* No pH established.

These differences in Cd contamination relate not just to the source strength but also to the pathways whereby the Cd transfer to and transport within aquifers depends on its mobility, for which pH is an important parameter. The pH range varied from 6.6 to 8.5. Cd speciation and mobility is strongly affected by pH, with the highest movement in the pH range of 4.5 to 5.5, and likely precipitation occurring at a pH > 7.5 (Mulligan *et al.*, 2001).

### **1-1-5 Effects of cadmium on human health**

Some metals are essential for living organisms in trace amounts, such as cobalt, copper, iron, manganese, molybdenum, and vanadium. In contrast, others, such as cadmium, chromium, mercury, lead, arsenic and antimony, are non-essential. Both essential and non-essential metals cause toxic effects, depending on the dose an organism is experiencing (Bernard, 2008). Cadmium is a non-essential element for life, and it is toxic to *Homo Sapiens*. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified some elements, including Cd, As, Hg, and Pb, as human carcinogens (ATSDR, 2012). Furthermore, chronic exposure to low levels of Cd is associated with several diseases, such as deranged blood pressure regulation, osteoporosis, early onset of diabetic renal complications, and end-stage renal failure.

Humans may be exposed to Cd by drinking polluted water, ingesting contaminated food, and inhaling polluted air, which causes diseases. For example, end-stage renal disease was reported in a Swedish population, living near a Cd battery plant, and using renal replacement therapy (Hellström *et al.*, 2001). Skin exposure to Cd is rare, and it occurs mainly as an occupational hazard through contact with Cd-contaminated workplaces (ATSDR *et al.*, 2012). After absorption, the blood transfers Cd into different organs, such as the liver, kidney, testis, lungs, heart, prostate, and bone, potentially with harmful consequences. One of the biggest concerns about the presence and accumulation of Cd in the human organs is that the Cd can persist for many years, as it has a long biological half-life of 30 years (ATSDR *et al.*, 2012).