



Weak Separation Axioms via Ω – Open Set and Ω – Closure Operator

Luay. A. Al-Swidi, Mustafa H. Hadi

University of Babylon, College of Education Ibn-Hayan,
Mathematics Department

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce a new type of weak separation axioms with some related theorems and show that they are equivalent with these in [1].

Keywords: Weak separation axioms, weak ω – open sets, weak regular spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this article let us prepare the background of the subject. Throughout this paper, (X, T) stands for topological space. Let A be a subset of X . A point x in X is called *condensation* point of A if for each U in T with x in U , the set $U \cap A$ is uncountable [2]. In 1982 the ω – closed set was first introduced by H. Z. Hdeib in [2], and he defined it as: A is ω – closed if it contains all its condensation points and the ω – open set is the complement of the ω – closed set. It is not hard to prove: any open set is ω – open. Also we would like to say that the collection of all ω – open subsets of X forms topology on X . The closure of A will be denoted by $cl(A)$, while the intersection of all ω – closed sets in X which containing A is called the ω – closure of A , and will denote by $cl_\omega(A)$. Note that $cl_\omega(A) \subset cl(A)$.

In 2005 M. Caldas, T. Fukutake, S. Jafari and T. Noiri [3] introduced some weak separation axioms by utilizing the notions of δ – pre – open sets and δ – pre – closure. In this paper we use M. Caldas, T. Fukutake, S. Jafari and T. Noiri [3] definitions to introduce new spaces by using the ω – open sets defined by H. Z. Hdeib in [3], we recall it ω – R_i – Spaces $i = 0, 1, 2$, and we show that ω – R_0 , ω^* – T_1 space and ω – symmetric space are equivalent.

For our main results we need the following definitions and results:

Definition 1.1: [4] A space (X, T) is called a *door space* if every subset of X is either open or closed.

Definition 1.2: [1] The topological space X is called ω^* – T_1 space if and only if, for each $x \neq y \in X$, there exist ω – open sets U and V , such that $x \in U, y \notin U$, and $y \in V, x \notin V$.

Lemma 1.3: [1] The topological X is ω^* – T_1 if and only if for each $x \in X, \{x\}$ is ω – closed set in X .

Definition 1.4: [1] The topological space X is called ω^* – T_2 space if and only if, for each $x \neq y \in X$, there

exist two disjoint ω – open sets U and V with $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

For our main result we need the following property of ω – closure of a set:

Proposition 1.5: Let $\{A_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of subsets of the topological space (X, T) , then

1. $cl_\omega(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} cl_\omega(A_\lambda)$.
2. $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} cl_\omega(A_\lambda) \subseteq cl_\omega(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda)$.

Proof:

1. It is clear that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda \subseteq A_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then by (4) of Theorem 1.5.3 in [1], we have $cl_\omega(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \subseteq cl_\omega(A_\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore $cl_\omega(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} cl_\omega(A_\lambda)$.

Note that the opposite direction is not true. For example consider the usual topology T for \mathbb{R} , If $A_i = \left(0, \frac{1}{i}\right), i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} cl_\omega(A_i) = \{0\}$. But $cl_\omega(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i) = cl_\omega(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} cl_\omega(A_\lambda) \not\subseteq cl_\omega(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda)$.

2. Since $A_\lambda \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then by (4) of Theorem 1.5.3 in [2], we get $cl_\omega(A_\lambda) \subseteq cl_\omega(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda)$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} cl_\omega(A_\lambda) \subseteq cl_\omega(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda)$.

Note that the opposite direction is not true. For example consider the usual topology T for \mathbb{R} , If $A_i = \left\{\frac{1}{i}\right\}, i = 1, 2, \dots$, $cl_\omega(A_i) = \left\{\frac{1}{i}\right\}$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} cl_\omega(A_i) = \left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\}$. But $cl_\omega(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i) = \left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, 0\right\}$. Thus $cl_\omega(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} cl_\omega(A_\lambda)$ \square

2. ω – R_i – SPACES, FOR $i = 0, 1$

In this section we introduce some types of weak separation axioms by utilizing the ω – open sets defined in [3]



Definition 2.1: Let $A \subset (X, T)$, then the ω -kernel of A denoted by $\omega - ker(A)$ is the set $\omega - ker(A) = \bigcap \{O, \text{where } O \text{ is an } \omega\text{-open set in } (X, T) \text{ containing } A\}$.

Proposition 2.2: Let $A \subset (X, T)$, and $x \in X$. Then $\omega - ker(A) = \{x \in X : cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Proof:

Let A be a subset of X , and $x \in \omega - ker(A)$, such that $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $x \notin X \setminus cl_\omega(\{x\})$, which is an ω -open set containing A . This contradicts $x \in \omega - ker(A)$. So $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then Let $x \in X$, be a point satisfied $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Assume $x \notin \omega - ker(A)$, then there exists an ω -open set G containing A but not x . Let $y \in cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap A$. Hence G is an ω -open set containing y but not x . This contradicts $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. So $x \in \omega - ker(A)$

Definition 2.3: A topological space (X, T) is said to be sober $\omega - R_0$ if $\bigcap_{x \in X} cl_\omega(\{x\}) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.4: A topological space (X, T) is sober $\omega - R_0$ if and only if $\omega - ker(\{x\}) \neq X$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof:

Suppose that (X, T) is sober $\omega - R_0$. Assume there is a point $y \in X$, with $\omega - ker(\{y\}) = X$. Let $x \in X$, then $x \in V$ for any ω -open set V containing y , so $y \in cl_\omega(\{x\})$ for each $x \in X$. This implies $y \in \bigcap_{x \in X} cl_\omega(\{x\})$, which is a contradiction with $\bigcap_{x \in X} cl_\omega(\{x\}) = \emptyset$.

Now suppose $\omega - kernal(\{x\}) \neq X$ for every $x \in X$. Assume X is not sober $\omega - R_0$, it mean there is y in X such that $y \in \bigcap_{x \in X} cl_\omega(\{x\})$, then every ω -open set containing y must contain every point of X . This implies that X is the unique ω -open set containing y . Therefore $\omega - kernal(\{y\}) = X$, which is a contradiction with our hypothesis. Hence (X, T) is sober $\omega - R_0$ \square

Definition 2.5: A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called ω -closed, if the image of every ω -closed subset of X is ω -closed in Y .

Proposition 2.6: If X is a space, f is a map defined on X and $A \subseteq X$, then

$$cl_\omega(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl_\omega(A)).$$

Proof:

We have $A \subseteq cl_\omega(A)$, then $f(A) \subseteq f(cl_\omega(A))$. This implies $cl_\omega(f(A)) \subseteq cl_\omega(f(cl_\omega(A))) = f(cl_\omega(A))$. Hence $cl_\omega(f(A)) \subseteq f(cl_\omega(A))$ \square

Theorem 2.7: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is one to one ω -closed map and X is sober $\omega - R_0$, then Y is sober $\omega - R_0$.

Proof:

From Proposition 1.5, we have $\bigcap_{y \in Y} cl_\omega(\{y\}) \subset \bigcap_{x \in X} cl_\omega(\{f(x)\}) \subset \bigcap_{x \in X} f(cl_\omega(\{x\})) = f(\bigcap_{x \in X} cl_\omega(\{x\}))$

$$= f(\emptyset) = \emptyset.$$

Thus Y is sober $\omega - R_0$ \square

Definition 2.8: A topological space (X, T) is called $\omega - R_0$ if every ω -open set contains the ω -closure of each of its singletons.

Theorem 2.9: The topological door space is $\omega - R_0$ if and only if it is $\omega^* - T_1$.

Proof:

Let x, y are distinct points in X . Since (X, T) is door space so that for each x in X , $\{x\}$ is open or closed.

i. 1. When $\{x\}$ is open, hence ω -open set in X . Let $V = \{x\}$, then $x \in V$, and $y \notin V$. Therefore since (X, T) is $\omega - R_0$ space, so that $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \subset V$. Then $x \notin X \setminus V$, while $y \in X \setminus V$, where $X \setminus V$ is an ω -open subset of X .

2. Whenever $\{x\}$ is closed, hence it is ω -closed, $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$, and $X \setminus \{x\}$ is ω -open set in X . Then since (X, T) is $\omega - R_0$ space, so that $cl_\omega(\{y\}) \subset X \setminus \{x\}$. Let $V = X \setminus cl_\omega(\{y\})$, then $x \in V$, but $y \notin V$, and V is an ω -open set in X . Thus we obtain (X, T) is $\omega^* - T_1$.

ii. For the other direction assume (X, T) is $\omega^* - T_1$, and let V be an ω -open set of X , and $x \in V$. For each $y \in X \setminus V$, there is an ω -open set V_y such that $x \notin V_y$, but $y \in V_y$. So $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap V_y = \emptyset$, which is true for each $y \in X \setminus V$. Therefore $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap (\bigcup_{y \in X \setminus V} V_y) = \emptyset$. Then since $y \in V_y$, $X \setminus V \subset \bigcup_{y \in X \setminus V} V_y$, and $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \subset V$. Hence (X, T) is $\omega - R_0$ \square

Definition 2.10: A topological space (X, T) is ω -symmetric if for x and y in the space X , $x \in cl_\omega(\{y\})$ implies $y \in cl_\omega(\{x\})$.

Proposition 2.11: Let X be a door ω -symmetric topological space. Then for each $x \in X$, the set $\{x\}$ is ω -closed.

Proof:

Let $x \neq y \in X$, since X is a door space so $\{y\}$ is open or closed set in X . When $\{y\}$ is open, so it is ω -open, let $V_y = \{y\}$. Whenever $\{y\}$ is ω -closed, $x \notin \{y\} = cl_\omega(\{y\})$. Since X is ω -symmetric we get $y \notin cl_\omega(\{x\})$. Put $V_y = X \setminus cl_\omega(\{x\})$, then $x \notin V_y$ and $y \in V_y$, and V_y is ω -open set in X . Hence we get for each $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ there is an ω -open set V_y such that $x \notin V_y$ and $y \in V_y$. Therefore $X \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} V_y$ is ω -open, and $\{x\}$ is ω -closed \square

Proposition 2.12: Let (X, T) be $\omega^* - T_1$ topological space, then it is ω -symmetric space.

Proof:

Let $x \neq y \in X$. Assume $y \notin cl_\omega(\{x\})$, then since X is $\omega - T_1$ there is an open set U containing x but not y , so $x \notin cl_\omega(\{y\})$. This completes the proof \square



Theorem 2.13: The topological door space is ω -symmetric if and only if it is $\omega^* - T_1$.

Proof:

Let (X, T) be a door ω -symmetric space. Then using Proposition 2.11 for each $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is ω -closed set in X . Then Lemma 1.3, we get that (X, T) is $\omega^* - T_1$. On the other hand, assume (X, T) is $\omega^* - T_1$, then directly by Proposition 2.12. (X, T) is ω -symmetric space \square

Corollary 2.14: Let (X, T) be a topological door space, then the following are equivalent:

1. (X, T) is $\omega - R_0$ space.
2. (X, T) is $\omega^* - T_1$ space.
3. (X, T) is ω -symmetric space.

Proof:

The proof follows immediately from Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.13 \square

Corollary 2.15: If (X, T) is a topological door space, then it is $\omega - R_0$ space if and only if for each $x \in X$, the set $\{x\}$ is ω -closed set.

Proof:

We can prove this corollary by using Corollary 2.14 and Lemma 1.3 \square

Theorem 2.16: Let (X, T) be a topological space contains at least two points. If X is $\omega - R_0$ space, then it is sober $\omega - R_0$ space.

Proof:

Let x and y are two distinct points in X . Since (X, T) is $\omega - R_0$ space so by Theorem 2.8 it is $\omega^* - T_1$. Then Lemma 1.3 implies $cl_\omega(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $cl_\omega(\{y\}) = \{y\}$. Therefore $\bigcap_{p \in X} cl_\omega(\{p\}) \subset cl_\omega(\{x\}) \cap cl_\omega(\{y\}) = \{x\} \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$. Hence (X, T) is sober $\omega - R_0$ space \square

Definition 2.17: A topological door space (X, T) is said to be $\omega - R_1$ space if for x and y in X , with $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \neq cl_\omega(\{y\})$, there are disjoint ω -open set U and V such that $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \subset U$, and $cl_\omega(\{y\}) \subset V$.

Theorem 2.18: The topological door space is $\omega - R_1$ if and only if it is $\omega^* - T_2$ space.

Proof:

Let x and y be two distinct points in X . Since X is door space so

for each x in X , The set $\{x\}$ is open or closed.

i. If $\{x\}$ is open. Since $\{x\} \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$, then $\{x\} \cap cl_\omega\{y\} = \emptyset$. Thus $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \neq cl_\omega(\{y\})$.

ii. Whenever $\{x\}$ is closed, so it is ω -closed and $cl_\omega\{x\} \cap \{y\} = \{x\} \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$. Therefore $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \neq cl_\omega(\{y\})$. We have (X, T) is $\omega - R_1$ space, so that there are disjoint ω -open sets U and V such that $x \in cl_\omega(\{x\}) \subset U$, and $y \in cl_\omega(\{y\}) \subset V$, so X is $\omega^* - T_2$ space.

For the opposite side let x and y be any points in X , with $cl_\omega(\{x\}) \neq cl_\omega(\{y\})$. Since every $\omega^* - T_2$ space is $\omega^* - T_1$ space so by (3) of Theorem 2.2.15 $cl_\omega(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $cl_\omega(\{y\}) = \{y\}$, this implies $x \neq y$. Since X is $\omega^* - T_2$ there are two disjoint ω -open sets U and V such that $cl_\omega(\{x\}) = \{x\} \subset U$, and $cl_\omega(\{y\}) = \{y\} \subset V$. This proves X is $\omega - R_1$ space \square

Corollary 2.19: Let (X, T) be a topological door space. Then if X is $\omega - R_1$ space then it is $\omega - R_0$ space.

Proof:

Let X be an $\omega - R_1$ door space. Then by Theorem 2.17 X is $\omega^* - R_2$ space. Then since every $\omega^* - T_2$ space is $\omega^* - T_1$, so that by Theorem 2.9, X is $\omega - R_0$ space.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. H. Hadi, " Weak forms of ω -open sets and decomposition of separation axioms" , M. Sc. Thesis, Babylon University (2011).
- [2] H. Z. Hdeib, " ω -closed mappings", Rev. Colomb. Mat. 16 (3-4): 65-78 (1982).
- [3] M. Caldas, T. Fukutake, S. Jafari and T. Norani, " Some applications of δ -preopen sets in topological spaces ", Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica, 33(3):261-276 (2005).
- [4] T. Noiri, A. Al-Omari and M. S. M. Noorani, " Weak forms of ω open sets and decomposition of continuity", E.J.P.A.M. 2(1): 73-84 (2009).