Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research
University of Babylon
College of Education for Human Sciences
Department of English



The Use of Ambiguity Expressions by EFL University Students

A Paper

Submitted to the Council of the Department of English/ College of
Education for Human Sciences/ University of Babylon in Partial
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of B. A. in English
Language and Linguistics

By:

Zahraa Ali Talib Obyed

Supervised By:

Dr. Wafaa Mokhlos Faisal

Y.YY A. D.

مسب الله رلانمح ميحرلا

"وَأَنْزَلَ ا ۗ ٧ ُ عَلَىٰ ٓ كَ الْكِتابَ وَالْحِكْمَةَ وَعَلَّ مِكَ مَا لَمْ َ تَكَنْ ُ تَكُنْ ُ تَكُنْ ُ تَكُنْ أَ تَعُنْ أَ مَكَ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ لَمْ لَمُ مَلِي مَا لَمُ مَا مُنْ مَا لَمُ مَا مُعْلَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ لَمْ مَا لَمُ مَا مُعْلَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مَا لَمُ مُا لَمُ مُا لَمُ مَا مُعْلَمُ مَا مُعْلَمُ مَا مُعْلَمُ مَا مُنْ لَمُ مُا لَمُ مُا لَمُ مُنْ لَمُ مُا لَمُ مُا مُعْلَمُ مُا لَمُ لَمُ مُنْ لَمُ مُنْ لَمُ مُلِمُ لَمْ مُنْ لَمْ مُلْ لَمُ مُلْ مُنْ مُلِمُ مُنْ لَمُ مُنْ مُنْ لَمُ مُنْ لَمُ مُنْ لَمُ مُنْ مُنْ مُنْ مُلِمُ مُنْ لَمُ لَمُ مُنْ لَمُ لَمُ مُنْ لَمُ مُنْ مُلِمُ مُنْ مُلِمُ مُنْ مُنْ مُنْ مُنْ مُنْ مُنْ مُلِمُ مُنْ مُنْ م

قدص الله يلعلا ميظعلا

(النساء،الآية ١٣٣)

To My Family

Acknowledgments

Thanks are first due to Almighty Allah for giving me the strength and ability to reach this stage. I am grateful to my supervisor **Dr. Wafaa Mokhols Faisal** for his effort and help to achieve this research. I am very thankful to my family.

Abstract

A word, phrase, or sentence is ambiguous if it has more than one meaning. The ambiguity, however, can be noticed if one really has a linguistic knowledge on how to analyze the phrase or sentence. Of the Three kinds of ambiguity, lexical structural, scope ambiguity. Structural ambiguity occurs when a phrase or sentence has more than one underlying structure. Lexical ambiguity occurs when context is not enough to define the meaning of a word. This paper aims to test a random sample of Iraqi EFL university students taken from the fourth year classes in the Dept. of English, College of Education for Human Sciences, University of Babylon during the academic year(' · ' · ' · ' · ' · ' · '). It is hypothesized that Iraqi EFL university students often find difficulty in using ambiguity expressions.

List of Contents Chapter One: Introduction

1.1 The Problem	١
Y.Y Aims	١
۱.۳ Hypothesis	١
\. EProcedures	١
۱.º Limits	۲
1.7Value	۲

Chapter Two Ambiguity Expressions

Y. \ Definitions	٣

T.Y Types of Ambiguity	٣
Y.Y.Y Lexical Ambiguity	٣
Y.Y.Y.Y Homonymy	٣
Y.Y.Y.YHomophones	٤
Y.Y.I.T Polysemy	٤
Y.Y.Y Structural Ambiguity	0
Y.Y. T Scope Ambiguity	٥

Chapter Three Testing and Data Collection

T.1 Testing	Y
T. Teatures of A good Test	Y
۳.۲.1 Validity	Y
۳.۲.۱.۱ Content Validity	Y
۳.۲.۱.۲ Face Validity	٨
T.Y.Y Reliability	٨
۳.۲.۳ Practicality	٨

۳.٤ Test Design and Material	A
r.o The Sample of the test	A

Chapter Four

The Results of the Test

E. The Result of the Test	٩		
E.Y. \ Test Analysis for question	٩		
(1)			
E.Y.YTest Analysis for question	11		
(٢)			

Chapter Five

Conclusion	15
Bibliography	١٤
Appendix (')The Test	17
Appendix (۲) The Answers of the	14
Test	

Chapter One Introduction

1-1 The Problem

Ambiguity as an actual or uncertainty of meaning occurring when a word, phrase or sentence can be understood in two ways. (McArthur ۱۹۹۲:۳٦)

The problem arises from the state that most EFL university students often find difficulty in using ambiguity expressions because they have no mastery of using ambiguity expressions.

Y.Y Aims

This paper aims to find out the difficulty faced by Iraqi EFL university students in using ambiguity expressions.

'." Hypothesis

It is hypothesized that Iraqi EFL university students often find difficulty in using ambiguity expressions.

۱.٤ Procedures

The following steps will be followed in this paper:

- '-Presenting a comprehensive theoretical study about ambiguity expressions.
- Y-Testing a random sample of students regarding using ambiguity expressions to show the difficulty faced by Iraqi EFL university students.
- r- Analyzing the result of the test to point out the difficulty faced by the students. Y.o **Limits**

This paper is limited to the study of ambiguity expressions. The test will be applied to a random sample of Iraqi EFL university students taken from the fourth year classes in the Dept. of English, College of Education for Human Sciences, University of Babylon during the academic year('\'\'\-\'\\'\'\'\'\').

1.7 Value

This paper is hoped to be of value for English learners and to pave the way for further research in this area.

Chapter Two Ambiguity Expressions

T. Definitions

Gillion (۱۹۹۰:۳۹٤).mentions that ambiguity expressions are the expressions which can have more than one meaning.McArthur (۱۹۹۲:۳٦) defines ambiguity as an actual or uncertainty of meaning occurring when a word, phrase or sentence can be understood in two ways. Pinkal (۱۹۹۰:۱۹) states that "Ambiguous expressions can assume an arbitrarily but finitely large number of readings".

Y.Y Types of Ambiguity

Y.Y. \ Lexical Ambiguity

Lexical ambiguity occurs when context is not enough to define the meaning of a word that has more than one meaning. From kin (۲۰۰۳, p. ۱۲۲) explained that: Lexical ambiguity occurs when at least one word in a phrase or a sentence can produce more than one meaning, for example, 1-This will make you smart.

This sentence is ambiguous because it has two meanings for the word smart which is "clever or burning sensation. According to Lobner, (Y··Y: £Y) there are two types for lexical ambiguity which are homonymy and polysemy.

Y.Y.Y.Y Homonymy

Homonymy is a phenomenon that refers to words which have the same form and pronunciation but they differ in meaning. A very famous example is the sentence: Y- I'll meet you by the bank.

This sentece has two interpretation. The first interpretation is 'I'll meet you by the financial institution'. The second interpretation is 'I'll meet

you by the riverside'. Therefore, this sentence regards an ambiguous sentence.

(Fromkin et al. ۲۰۰۳:۱۸۰)

۲.۲.1.7 Homophones

Homophones can be defined as two or more different (written) words that have the same pronunciation but differ in meaning. For example;

The words "too/two" \(\tilde{\tau} \)-I

love you too.

[£]-There are two teachers in the class.

This leads to ambiguity in speaking and we will not know the meaning of the words unless we know the context.

(Yule, ۲ • ۲ • : ۱۳۲)

۲.۲.۱. Polysemy

Polysemy are those words who have several meanings but they are related to one another. For instance, the verb 'open' has many meanings concerning unfolding, expanding, revealing, moving to an open position, making openings, and so on.Unlike, homonymous words whose meaning have no relevance to another.

(Hirst, 1997:0)

Y.Y.Y Structural Ambiguity

It is also called structural or grammatical ambiguity. It refers to the condition when the sentence has two or more possible meanings because of its structure.(Hurford and Heasley ۱۹۸۳: ۱۲۸), for example:

o-Nicole saw the people with binocular.

The sentence can be interpreted in two ways. The first interpretation is that Nicole used binoculars to see the people. In this case, binoculars modify Nicole (Nicole with binoculars). The other interpretation is that

the people had binoculars when Nicole saw them. In this case, 'binoculars' modify the people.Let's take another example:

7-The chicken is ready to eat.

This sentence also can be interpreted in two ways. The first interpretation is that the chicken is cooked and can be eaten now . Whole the second interpretation could be the chicken is ready to be fed.

(Net source 1)

Y.Y. Scope Ambiguity

This ambiguity occurs when different semantic scope in a sentence ,for example :

∨-Every man loves a woman.

This sentence can be interpreted in two ways. The first interpretation is that for every man, there is a woman. The second interpretation is that each man loves a different woman. Another example, ^-All that glitters is not gold.

This sentence also can be interpreted in two ways. The first interpretation is that everything that glitters is not made of gold. This rock glitters so it cannot be gold. The second interpretation is that not everything that glitters is gold. This rock glitters so it might be gold but it does not have to be.

(Cann, 1997:90)

We see that the sentence is ambiguous because it has two different meanings. Moreover, there is no good reason to say that the ambiguity should be syntactic. So we can say that scope ambiguities are genuine semantic ambiguities.

(Net source 7)

Chapter Three
The Test

۳.۱**Testing**

According to Al-Juboury(\\\^\\^\\^\\^\\))a test can be defined as any means of checking what the students can do with the language and what they cannot do with it.

A test can be also defined as a way of discovering, by questions or practical activities, what someone knows, or what someone or something can do.

(Net sources ")

The Characteristics of a Good Test

The most important features of a good test are:

۳.۲.۱ Validity

The most important types of validity are:

T.Y.Y.Y Content Validity

It is the extent to which the test related to the features of the material to be tested. (Armstrong, ۲...٦:٤٦٣)

T.Y.Y.Y Face Validity

It refers to the way in which the test looks to the examinees, supervisors or to the people concerned with students' education, in order to have its face validity. (Al-Juboury, 1999:17)

The present test has been approved by the following supervisors:

- -Asst. Prof Nasaem Mahdi(Ph.D)
- -Asst. Prof Sadaq Mahdi(Ph.D)
- -Asst. Prof Muneer Ali(Ph.D)

T.Y.Y Reliability

It refers to the standardization of the result of the test. A person who takes a certain score in a certain test, he should be able to take the same score if the test is given to him another time. (Kumar, "\\"):\\")

T.Y.T Practicality

It is also known as usability. A test which is valid and reliable but difficult to administer or score or which is expensive, may fail to gain acceptance. (Ibid: ۲۷)

T.T Test Design

The test consists of two questions, the first question includes (\(\cdot\)) items and the second question includes(\(\cdot\)) items to measure the recognition level and the production level of the student's performance in using ambiguity expressions.

۳.٤ Test Material

Most items of the test are taken from grammar books mentioned in chapter two.

r.o The Sample of the test

The sample of the test consists of $(r \cdot)$ students taken randomly from the fourth year level in the Dept. of English, College of Education for Human Sciences, University of Babylon during the academic year $r \cdot r \cdot r \cdot r \cdot r$.

Chapter Four The Result of the Test

1.1 The Result of the Test

The following table shows the result of the recognition level:.

Table (1) The Result Of The Answers Of Question One

Items	Number of Correct Responses	Percentage%	Number of Incorrect Responses	Percentage%					
					١	١٨	٥٣٪	١٦	٤٧٪
					۲	11	~~ <u>/</u>	74"	17%
٣	١٣	۳۸٪	71	77%					
٤	14	0.%	١٧	0.%					
0	١.	٣٠٪	7 £	٧٠٪					
٦	11	~~X	77"	17%					
٧	١٤	٤١٪	۲.	09%					
٨	71	74%	١٣	٣٨٪					
٩	۲.	09%	١٤	٤١٪					
١.	١٤	٤٢%	۲.	٥٨٪					
Total	1 £ 9	٤٤٪.	191	01%					

E.Y. Test Analysis for question (1)

According to the results shown in the table above. It is noticed that the number and the percentage of incorrect responses (191,07%) are more than those of the correct responses (199,69%).

Item(1) the number and percentage of correct responses(1 , 0 , 0) are more than those of incorrect responses(1 , 1 , 0) because the students are familiar with these items. While Items(1 , 1) the number and percentage of incorrect responses(1 , 1 , 1) are more than those of correct responses(1 , 1 , 1) because the students are unfamiliar with these items.

Item($^{\circ}$) the number and percentage of incorrect responses($^{\circ}$), $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$) are more than those of correct responses($^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$, $^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$) because the students are unfamiliar with these items.In contrast Items($^{\circ}$) the number and percentage of correct responses and incorrect responses are ($^{\circ}$ ($^{\circ}$).

Item($^{\land}$) the number and percentage of correct responses($^{\backprime}$), $^{\backprime}$ $^{\backprime}$ $^{\backprime}$) are more than those of incorrect responses($^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$ $^{\backprime}$ $^{\backprime}$) because the students are familiar with these items. Unlike Item($^{\Lsh}$) the number and percentage of incorrect responses($^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$) are more than those of correct responses($^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$) because the students are unfamiliar with these items. Item($^{\backprime}$) the number and percentage of incorrect responses($^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$) are more than those of correct responses($^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$, $^{\backprime}$) because the students are unfamiliar with these items.

The following table shows the result of the production level:

Table (7) The Result Of The Answers Of Question Two

Items	Number of Correct Responses	Percentage%	Number of Incorrect Responses	Percentage%
١	١٣	٣٧٪	77	17%
۲	١٤	٤٠%	71	٦٠٪
٣	١٣	٣٧٪	77	17%
٤	١٦	٤٦٪.	19	٥٤٪
0	١.	۲۸٪	70	VY%
Total	11	٤٨٪	1.9	٦٢٪

£.Y.Y Test Analysis for question (Y)

Items(1 and $^{\pi}$) the number and percentage of incorrect (1 , $^{\pi}$, $^{\pi}$) are more than those of correct responses(1 , $^{\pi}$) because the students are unfamiliar with these items.

Items(†) the number and percentage of incorrect (†), † . $^{\prime}$) are more than those of correct responses(† , $^{\xi}$. $^{\prime}$) because the students are unfamiliar with these items.

Items(٤) the number and percentage of incorrect (\\quad \quad \qua

incorrect $(Y \circ, YY\%)$ are more than those of correct responses $(Y \cdot, YY\%)$ because the students are unfamiliar with these items.

Chapter Five

Conclusion

Ambiguity expressions are the expressions which can have more than one meaning. There are several types of ambiguity among them lexical ambiguity structural ambiguity and ,scope ambiguity.

A random sample of (**) students was taken from the fourth year classes in the Dept. of English, College of Education for Human Sciences, University of Babylon to apply a diagnostic test to them in using ambiguity expressions are the expressions which can have more than one meaning during the academic year(****\text{\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}). According to the result of the whole test ,the number and the percentage of incorrect responses (*\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}) are more than those of correct responses(*\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}\text{\scir}). The results indicate that Iraqi EFL university learners face difficulty in using ambiguity expressions are the expressions which can have more than one meaning and this confirms the hypothesis of the study.

Bibliography

Cann, R. 1997. **Formal Semantics: an introduction.** Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Fromkin, V.; Rodman, R.; and Hyams, N. Y. T. An Introduction to Language. USA: Heinle, a part of Thomson Corporation.

Gillon, B. 1991. Ambiguity, Generality, and Indeterminacy: Tests and **Definitions** (pp $^{rq}1-\xi17$). Synthese.

Hirst Graeme. 1997. **Semantic Interpretation and the resolution of ambiguity**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hurford, R.S. and B. Heasley 19AF. **Semantics:A coursebook.** Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Löbner, Sebastian. Y. Y. Understanding Semantics. London [a.o.]: Arnold [a.o.].

McArthur, T. 1997. **The Oxford Companion to the English Language.**Oxford: University Press.

Pinkal, M. (1990). Logic and lexicon, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Vo.

Net Sources

Net source(\(\frac{1}{2}\))

Internet. https://www.thoughtco.com/syntactic-ambiguity-grammar-properties and the control of the control of

1797179

Net source (7)

Internet. https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/test.

Net source (r)

Internet.https://www.lexical-resource-

semantics.de/wiki/index.php/Glossary:Scope_Ambiguity **Appendix** (1)

The Test

Q\)Choose the correct answer for the following sentences:

\-This will make you smart. a.Lexical

Ambiguity

- b.Structural Ambiguity
- c.Scope Ambiguity
- Y-The chicken is ready to eat. a.Lexical

Ambiguity

- b.Structural Ambiguity
- c.Scope Ambiguity *-(too,two).
- a. Homophones
- b.Polysemy
- c.Homonymy
- ٤-I'll meet you by the bank. a. Homophones
- b.Polysemy
- c.Homonymy
- o-Nicole saw the people with binocular a.Lexical

Ambiguity

b.Structural Ambiguity

- c.Scope Ambiguity \(\text{-Every} \)
- man loves a woman.
- a.Lexical Ambiguity
- b.Structural Ambiguity
- c.Scope Ambiguity
- ∨(-sew/so)
- a. Homophones
- b.Polysemy
- c.Homonymy
- ۸-(bat,bat)
- a. Homophones
- b.Polysemy
- c.Homonymy <-(mole,mole)
- a. Homophones
- b.Polysemy
- c.Homonymy
- \(\cdot\)-All that glitters is not gold. a.Lexical
- Ambiguity
- b.Structural Ambiguity
- c.Scope Ambiguity

$\mathbf{Q}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\Upsilon}}$ Give well formed sentences for the following:

- \-Lexical Ambiguity.
- Y-Structural Ambiguity.
- ۳-Scope Ambiguity.
- ٤-Homonymy.
- o-Polysemy

Appendix $(^{\gamma})$ The Answers of the Test

Q\)

۱-a

۲-b

۳-a

٤-c

o-b

٦-c

٧-a

۸-c

9-c

1 · - c

Q⁷)

1-This will make you smart.

Y--The chicken is ready to eat.

r-All that glitters is not gold.

٤-I'll meet you by the bank.

•-My father is the head of the department.